

Coaction structure for Feynman amplitudes and a small graphs principle

Francis Brown, IHÉS-CNRS Member IAS, Princeton

New geometric structures in scattering amplitudes, Oxford University, 23rd September 2014

The main goals:

- Formulate O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture for scalar massless amplitudes. Explain its remarkable predictive power for high-loop amplitudes.
- ② Define motivic amplitudes. This a vast generalisation of the notion of 'symbol', but contains more information.
- O Prove a version of the coaction conjecture. The small graphs principle allows one to deduce *all-order results in perturbation theory* from a finite computation.

The main goals:

- Formulate O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture for scalar massless amplitudes. Explain its remarkable predictive power for high-loop amplitudes.
- Of Define motivic amplitudes. This a vast generalisation of the notion of 'symbol', but contains more information.
- Prove a version of the coaction conjecture. The small graphs principle allows one to deduce *all-order results in perturbation theory* from a finite computation.

The main goals:

- Formulate O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture for scalar massless amplitudes. Explain its remarkable predictive power for high-loop amplitudes.
- Of Define motivic amplitudes. This a vast generalisation of the notion of 'symbol', but contains more information.
- Prove a version of the coaction conjecture. The small graphs principle allows one to deduce *all-order results in perturbation theory* from a finite computation.

The main goals:

- Formulate O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture for scalar massless amplitudes. Explain its remarkable predictive power for high-loop amplitudes.
- Of Define motivic amplitudes. This a vast generalisation of the notion of 'symbol', but contains more information.
- Prove a version of the coaction conjecture. The small graphs principle allows one to deduce *all-order results in perturbation theory* from a finite computation.

# A simple analogy

An analogy is Erastosthenes' sieve. Suppose that we have a set S of natural numbers with the following property:

• If  $n \in S$ , and m is a divisor of n, then  $m \in S$ .

Write the natural numbers in a table:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7		9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	

Now suppose that we have some low-order information:

- $2 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 2
- $3 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 3

# A simple analogy

An analogy is Erastosthenes' sieve. Suppose that we have a set S of natural numbers with the following property:

• If  $n \in S$ , and m is a divisor of n, then  $m \in S$ .

Write the natural numbers in a table:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30

Now suppose that we have some low-order information:

- $2 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 2
- $3 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 3

# A simple analogy

An analogy is Erastosthenes' sieve. Suppose that we have a set S of natural numbers with the following property:

• If  $n \in S$ , and m is a divisor of n, then  $m \in S$ .

Write the natural numbers in a table:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30

Now suppose that we have some low-order information:

- $2 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 2
- $3 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 3

# A simple analogy

An analogy is Erastosthenes' sieve. Suppose that we have a set S of natural numbers with the following property:

• If  $n \in S$ , and m is a divisor of n, then  $m \in S$ .

Write the natural numbers in a table:

1	3	5	7	9
11	13	15	17	19
21	23	25	27	29

Now suppose that we have some low-order information:

- $2 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 2
- $3 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 3

# A simple analogy

An analogy is Erastosthenes' sieve. Suppose that we have a set S of natural numbers with the following property:

• If  $n \in S$ , and m is a divisor of n, then  $m \in S$ .

Write the natural numbers in a table:

1	3	5	7	9
11	13	15	17	19
21	23	25	27	29

Now suppose that we have some low-order information:

- $2 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 2
- $3 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 3

# A simple analogy

An analogy is Erastosthenes' sieve. Suppose that we have a set S of natural numbers with the following property:

• If  $n \in S$ , and m is a divisor of n, then  $m \in S$ .

Write the natural numbers in a table:

1		5	7	
11	13		17	19
	23	25		29

Now suppose that we have some low-order information:

- $2 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 2
- $3 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 3

# A simple analogy

An analogy is Erastosthenes' sieve. Suppose that we have a set S of natural numbers with the following property:

• If  $n \in S$ , and m is a divisor of n, then  $m \in S$ .

Write the natural numbers in a table:

1		5	7	
11	13		17	19
	23	25		29

Now suppose that we have some low-order information:

- $2 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 2
- $3 \notin S$ . Cross off all multiples of 3

Let P be the vector space of amplitudes of, e.g. massless  $\phi^4$ . The coaction conjecture predicts the following property for amplitudes.

• If  $\xi \in P$ , and  $\xi'$  is a *Galois conjugate* of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi' \in P$ .

At low loop orders, the amplitudes are multiple zeta values. Write a basis for multiple zeta values in a table.

Now look at amplitudes of small graphs (with  $\leq$  4 loops). There are very few of them. We see that:

- $\zeta(2) \notin P$ . Cross off all linear terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- $\zeta(2)^2 \notin P$ . Cross off all quadratic terms in  $\zeta(2)$

Let P be the vector space of amplitudes of, e.g. massless  $\phi^4$ . The coaction conjecture predicts the following property for amplitudes.

• If  $\xi \in P$ , and  $\xi'$  is a *Galois conjugate* of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi' \in P$ .

At low loop orders, the amplitudes are multiple zeta values. Write a basis for multiple zeta values in a table.

Now look at amplitudes of small graphs (with  $\leq$  4 loops). There are very few of them. We see that:

- $\zeta(2) \notin P$ . Cross off all linear terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- $\zeta(2)^2 \notin P$ . Cross off all quadratic terms in  $\zeta(2)$

Let P be the vector space of amplitudes of, e.g. massless  $\phi^4$ . The coaction conjecture predicts the following property for amplitudes.

• If  $\xi \in P$ , and  $\xi'$  is a *Galois conjugate* of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi' \in P$ .

At low loop orders, the amplitudes are multiple zeta values. Write a basis for multiple zeta values in a table.

Now look at amplitudes of small graphs (with  $\leq$  4 loops). There are very few of them. We see that:

- $\zeta(2) \notin P$ . Cross off all linear terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- $\zeta(2)^2 \notin P$ . Cross off all quadratic terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- A finite calculation leads to constraints at all higher loop orders.

Let P be the vector space of amplitudes of, e.g. massless  $\phi^4$ . The coaction conjecture predicts the following property for amplitudes.

• If  $\xi \in P$ , and  $\xi'$  is a *Galois conjugate* of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi' \in P$ .

At low loop orders, the amplitudes are multiple zeta values. Write a basis for multiple zeta values in a table.

Now look at amplitudes of small graphs (with  $\leq$  4 loops). There are very few of them. We see that:

- $\zeta(2) \notin P$ . Cross off all linear terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- $\zeta(2)^2 \notin P$ . Cross off all quadratic terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- A finite calculation leads to constraints at all higher loop orders.

Let P be the vector space of amplitudes of, e.g. massless  $\phi^4$ . The coaction conjecture predicts the following property for amplitudes.

• If  $\xi \in P$ , and  $\xi'$  is a *Galois conjugate* of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi' \in P$ .

At low loop orders, the amplitudes are multiple zeta values. Write a basis for multiple zeta values in a table.

Now look at amplitudes of small graphs (with  $\leq$  4 loops). There are very few of them. We see that:

- $\zeta(2) \notin P$ . Cross off all linear terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- $\zeta(2)^2 \notin P$ . Cross off all quadratic terms in  $\zeta(2)$

Let P be the vector space of amplitudes of, e.g. massless  $\phi^4$ . The coaction conjecture predicts the following property for amplitudes.

• If  $\xi \in P$ , and  $\xi'$  is a *Galois conjugate* of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi' \in P$ .

At low loop orders, the amplitudes are multiple zeta values. Write a basis for multiple zeta values in a table.

1 
$$\zeta(3)$$
  $\zeta(5)$   $\zeta(3)^2$   $\zeta(7)$   $\zeta(3,5)$   
 $\zeta(2)^3$ 

Now look at amplitudes of small graphs (with  $\leq$  4 loops). There are very few of them. We see that:

- $\zeta(2) \notin P$ . Cross off all linear terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- $\zeta(2)^2 \notin P$ . Cross off all quadratic terms in  $\zeta(2)$

Let P be the vector space of amplitudes of, e.g. massless  $\phi^4$ . The coaction conjecture predicts the following property for amplitudes.

• If  $\xi \in P$ , and  $\xi'$  is a *Galois conjugate* of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi' \in P$ .

At low loop orders, the amplitudes are multiple zeta values. Write a basis for multiple zeta values in a table.

1 
$$\zeta(3)$$
  $\zeta(5)$   $\zeta(3)^2$   $\zeta(7)$   $\zeta(3,5)$   
 $\zeta(2)^3$ 

Now look at amplitudes of small graphs (with  $\leq$  4 loops). There are very few of them. We see that:

- $\zeta(2) \notin P$ . Cross off all linear terms in  $\zeta(2)$
- $\zeta(2)^2 \notin P$ . Cross off all quadratic terms in  $\zeta(2)$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Amplitudes in parametric form

General form of Feynman amplitude:

$$\frac{I_G(q,m)}{\Gamma(N_G - h_G d/2)} = \int_{[0,\infty]^{N_G}} \frac{\Psi_G^{N_G - (h_G + 1)d/2}}{(\Psi_G \sum_e m_e^2 \alpha_e - \Phi_G(q))^{N_G - h_G d/2}} \,\delta(\sum_e \alpha_e - 1)$$

for a graph G with  $N_G$  edges,  $h_G$  loops in  $d \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  space-time dimensions, internal masses  $m_e$ . Symanzik polynomials:

$$\Psi_G = \sum_{T \subset G} \prod_{e \notin E_T} \alpha_e$$
  
$$\Phi_G = \sum_{T_1 \cup T_2} \prod_{e \notin T_1 \cup T_2} \alpha_e(q^{T_1})^2$$

where the first sum is over spanning trees of G, the second over spanning 2-trees, and  $q^{T_1}$  is momentum flow through  $T_1$ .

Almost everything that follows is valid for such integrals. I will focus on the *massless, single-scale* case.

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Amplitudes in parametric form

General form of Feynman amplitude:

$$\frac{I_G(q,m)}{\Gamma(N_G - h_G d/2)} = \int_{[0,\infty]^{N_G}} \frac{\Psi_G^{N_G - (h_G + 1)d/2}}{(\Psi_G \sum_e m_e^2 \alpha_e - \Phi_G(q))^{N_G - h_G d/2}} \,\delta(\sum_e \alpha_e - 1)$$

for a graph G with  $N_G$  edges,  $h_G$  loops in  $d \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  space-time dimensions, internal masses  $m_e$ . Symanzik polynomials:

$$\Psi_G = \sum_{T \subset G} \prod_{e \notin E_T} \alpha_e$$
  
$$\Phi_G = \sum_{T_1 \cup T_2} \prod_{e \notin T_1 \cup T_2} \alpha_e(q^{T_1})^2$$

where the first sum is over spanning trees of G, the second over spanning 2-trees, and  $q^{T_1}$  is momentum flow through  $T_1$ .

Almost everything that follows is valid for such integrals. I will focus on the *massless, single-scale* case.

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Amplitudes in parametric form

General form of Feynman amplitude:

$$\frac{I_G(q,m)}{\Gamma(N_G - h_G d/2)} = \int_{[0,\infty]^{N_G}} \frac{\Psi_G^{N_G - (h_G + 1)d/2}}{(\Psi_G \sum_e m_e^2 \alpha_e - \Phi_G(q))^{N_G - h_G d/2}} \,\delta(\sum_e \alpha_e - 1)$$

for a graph G with  $N_G$  edges,  $h_G$  loops in  $d \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  space-time dimensions, internal masses  $m_e$ . Symanzik polynomials:

$$\Psi_G = \sum_{T \subset G} \prod_{e \notin E_T} \alpha_e$$
  
$$\Phi_G = \sum_{T_1 \cup T_2} \prod_{e \notin T_1 \cup T_2} \alpha_e(q^{T_1})^2$$

where the first sum is over spanning trees of G, the second over spanning 2-trees, and  $q^{T_1}$  is momentum flow through  $T_1$ .

Almost everything that follows is valid for such integrals. I will focus on the *massless, single-scale* case.

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Massless single-scale amplitudes

Suppose d = 4. Assume

- G is overall log-divergent:  $N_G = 2h_G$
- G is primitive:  $N_{\gamma} > 2h_{\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma \subsetneq G$ .

The Feynman amplitude reduces to the convergent integral

$$I_G = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\Omega_G}{\Psi_G^2} \in \mathbb{R}$$

It is the coefficient of  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  in dim. reg. Here

$$\Omega_G = \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} (-1)^i \alpha_i d\alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{d\alpha_i} \wedge \ldots d\alpha_{N_G}$$

and the domain of integration  $\sigma$  is the real coordinate simplex

$$\sigma = \{ (\alpha_1 : \ldots : \alpha_{N_G}) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_G - 1}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \alpha_i \ge 0 \}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Massless single-scale amplitudes

Suppose d = 4. Assume

- G is overall log-divergent:  $N_G = 2h_G$
- G is primitive:  $N_{\gamma} > 2h_{\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma \subsetneq G$ .

The Feynman amplitude reduces to the convergent integral

$$I_{\mathcal{G}} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}}{\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}^2} \in \mathbb{R}$$

It is the coefficient of  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  in dim. reg. Here

$$\Omega_G = \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} (-1)^i \alpha_i d\alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{d\alpha_i} \wedge \ldots d\alpha_{N_G}$$

and the domain of integration  $\sigma$  is the real coordinate simplex

$$\sigma = \{ (\alpha_1 : \ldots : \alpha_{N_G}) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_G - 1}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \alpha_i \ge 0 \}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Massless single-scale amplitudes

Suppose d = 4. Assume

- G is overall log-divergent:  $N_G = 2h_G$
- G is primitive:  $N_{\gamma} > 2h_{\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma \subsetneq G$ .

The Feynman amplitude reduces to the convergent integral

$$I_{\mathcal{G}} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}}{\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}^2} \in \mathbb{R}$$

It is the coefficient of  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  in dim. reg. Here

$$\Omega_{G} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{G}} (-1)^{i} \alpha_{i} d\alpha_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{d\alpha}_{i} \wedge \ldots d\alpha_{N_{G}}$$

and the domain of integration  $\sigma$  is the real coordinate simplex

$$\sigma = \{ (\alpha_1 : \ldots : \alpha_{N_G}) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_G - 1}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \alpha_i \ge 0 \}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Massless single-scale amplitudes

Suppose d = 4. Assume

- G is overall log-divergent:  $N_G = 2h_G$
- G is primitive:  $N_{\gamma} > 2h_{\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma \subsetneq G$ .

The Feynman amplitude reduces to the convergent integral

$$I_{\mathcal{G}} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}}{\Psi_{\mathcal{G}}^2} \in \mathbb{R}$$

It is the coefficient of  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  in dim. reg. Here

$$\Omega_{G} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{G}} (-1)^{i} \alpha_{i} d\alpha_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{d\alpha}_{i} \wedge \ldots d\alpha_{N_{G}}$$

and the domain of integration  $\sigma$  is the real coordinate simplex

$$\sigma = \{ (\alpha_1 : \ldots : \alpha_{N_G}) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_G - 1}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \alpha_i \ge 0 \}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Examples in massless $\phi^4$

Examples of primitive, log-divergent graphs in  $\phi^4$  theory, at 3, 4, 5 and 6 loops, and their amplitudes (Broadhurst-Kreimer):



 $I_G: 6\zeta(3) = 20\zeta(5) = 36\zeta(3)^2 = N_{3,5}$ 

where  $N_{3,5} = \frac{27}{5}\zeta(5,3) + \frac{45}{4}\zeta(5)\zeta(3) - \frac{261}{20}\zeta(8)$ . Multiple Zeta Values are defined for integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_{r-1} \ge 1$ , and  $n_r \ge 2$  by

$$\zeta(n_1,\ldots,n_r) = \sum_{1 \le k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_r} \frac{1}{k_1^{n_1} \ldots k_r^{n_r}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Examples in massless $\phi^4$

Examples of primitive, log-divergent graphs in  $\phi^4$  theory, at 3, 4, 5 and 6 loops, and their amplitudes (Broadhurst-Kreimer):



where  $N_{3,5} = \frac{27}{5}\zeta(5,3) + \frac{45}{4}\zeta(5)\zeta(3) - \frac{261}{20}\zeta(8)$ . Multiple Zeta Values are defined for integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_{r-1} \ge 1$ , and  $n_r \ge 2$  by

$$\zeta(n_1,\ldots,n_r)=\sum_{1\leq k_1< k_2<\ldots< k_r}\frac{1}{k_1^{n_1}\ldots k_r^{n_r}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

- Calculus of weights. Combinatorial criteria for graphs to have maximal weight or weight-drop (B.-K. Yeats, B.-Doryn).
- Sufficient combinatorial conditions for graphs to be multiple zeta values (B.).
- Observe and the second sec
- Solution Polylogarithms at roots of unity. Amplitudes at ≥ 7 loops which are analogues of MZV's but with 2nd or 6th roots of unity in numerator (Panzer and Schnetz).
- Effective algorithms for the symbolic computation of amplitudes at high loop orders (Panzer, Bogner-B. for linearly-reducible graphs; Schnetz, using graphical functions).
- Explicit results for an infinite family of graphs. Proof of zig-zag conjecture (B. -Schnetz).

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

- Calculus of weights. Combinatorial criteria for graphs to have maximal weight or weight-drop (B.-K. Yeats, B.-Doryn).
- Sufficient combinatorial conditions for graphs to be multiple zeta values (B.).
- Observe and the second sec
- O Polylogarithms at roots of unity. Amplitudes at ≥ 7 loops which are analogues of MZV's but with 2nd or 6th roots of unity in numerator (Panzer and Schnetz).
- Effective algorithms for the symbolic computation of amplitudes at high loop orders (Panzer, Bogner-B. for linearly-reducible graphs; Schnetz, using graphical functions).
- *Explicit results for an infinite family of graphs.* Proof of zig-zag conjecture (B. -Schnetz).

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

- Calculus of weights. Combinatorial criteria for graphs to have maximal weight or weight-drop (B.-K. Yeats, B.-Doryn).
- Sufficient combinatorial conditions for graphs to be multiple zeta values (B.).
- Modular counter-examples. There exist graphs with ≥ 8 loops whose amplitudes are periods of a mixed modular motive (expected not to be an MZV) (B.-Schnetz).
- Solution Polylogarithms at roots of unity. Amplitudes at ≥ 7 loops which are analogues of MZV's but with 2nd or 6th roots of unity in numerator (Panzer and Schnetz).
- Seffective algorithms for the symbolic computation of amplitudes at high loop orders (Panzer, Bogner-B. for linearly-reducible graphs; Schnetz, using graphical functions).
- Explicit results for an infinite family of graphs. Proof of zig-zag conjecture (B. -Schnetz).

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

- Calculus of weights. Combinatorial criteria for graphs to have maximal weight or weight-drop (B.-K. Yeats, B.-Doryn).
- Sufficient combinatorial conditions for graphs to be multiple zeta values (B.).
- Modular counter-examples. There exist graphs with ≥ 8 loops whose amplitudes are periods of a mixed modular motive (expected not to be an MZV) (B.-Schnetz).
- Polylogarithms at roots of unity. Amplitudes at ≥ 7 loops which are analogues of MZV's but with 2nd or 6th roots of unity in numerator (Panzer and Schnetz).
- Seffective algorithms for the symbolic computation of amplitudes at high loop orders (Panzer, Bogner-B. for linearly-reducible graphs; Schnetz, using graphical functions).
- Explicit results for an infinite family of graphs. Proof of zig-zag conjecture (B. -Schnetz).

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

# Known results

- Calculus of weights. Combinatorial criteria for graphs to have maximal weight or weight-drop (B.-K. Yeats, B.-Doryn).
- Sufficient combinatorial conditions for graphs to be multiple zeta values (B.).
- Modular counter-examples. There exist graphs with ≥ 8 loops whose amplitudes are periods of a mixed modular motive (expected not to be an MZV) (B.-Schnetz).
- Polylogarithms at roots of unity. Amplitudes at ≥ 7 loops which are analogues of MZV's but with 2nd or 6th roots of unity in numerator (Panzer and Schnetz).
- Effective algorithms for the symbolic computation of amplitudes at high loop orders (Panzer, Bogner-B. for linearly-reducible graphs; Schnetz, using graphical functions).

 Explicit results for an infinite family of graphs. Proof of zig-zag conjecture (B. -Schnetz).

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

- Calculus of weights. Combinatorial criteria for graphs to have maximal weight or weight-drop (B.-K. Yeats, B.-Doryn).
- Sufficient combinatorial conditions for graphs to be multiple zeta values (B.).
- Modular counter-examples. There exist graphs with ≥ 8 loops whose amplitudes are periods of a mixed modular motive (expected not to be an MZV) (B.-Schnetz).
- Polylogarithms at roots of unity. Amplitudes at ≥ 7 loops which are analogues of MZV's but with 2nd or 6th roots of unity in numerator (Panzer and Schnetz).
- Effective algorithms for the symbolic computation of amplitudes at high loop orders (Panzer, Bogner-B. for linearly-reducible graphs; Schnetz, using graphical functions).
- *Explicit results for an infinite family of graphs.* Proof of zig-zag conjecture (B. -Schnetz).

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Motivic multiple zeta values

Algebra of *motivic multiple zeta values*  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{H}_n$$

It is equipped with a *period homomorphism* 

$$\mathrm{per}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$$

which sends  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$  to  $\zeta(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ . We gain an action of a motivic Galois group on  $\mathcal{H}$ . This is equivalent to a *coaction* 

$$\Delta:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{H}$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}/\langle \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2) \rangle$ . It respects all algebraic relations between motivic MZV's, and is effectively computable (Goncharov, B.).

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Motivic multiple zeta values

Algebra of *motivic multiple zeta values*  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{H}_n$$

It is equipped with a period homomorphism

$$\mathrm{per}:\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$$

which sends  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$  to  $\zeta(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ . We gain an action of a motivic Galois group on  $\mathcal{H}$ . This is equivalent to a *coaction* 

$$\Delta: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}/\langle \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2) \rangle$ . It respects all algebraic relations between motivic MZV's, and is effectively computable (Goncharov, B.).
Structure of motivic multiple zeta values

We have a model for  $\mathcal{H}.$  Let

$$\mathcal{U}' = \mathbb{Q}\langle f_3, f_5, f_5, \ldots \rangle$$

denote the graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by words in  $f_{2i+1}$ , where  $f_{2i+1}$  has degree 2i + 1, with shuffle product. Set

 $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}'\otimes \mathbb{Q}[f_2]$ 

where  $f_2$  has degree 2, and commutes with all  $f_{2i+1}$ . Coaction

$$\Delta: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{U}$$
$$f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_m} f_2^{f'} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^m f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_k} \otimes f_{i_{k+1}} \dots f_{i_m} f_2^{f'}$$

#### Structure theorem (B.)

Structure of motivic multiple zeta values

We have a model for  $\mathcal{H}.$  Let

$$\mathcal{U}' = \mathbb{Q}\langle f_3, f_5, f_5, \ldots \rangle$$

denote the graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by words in  $f_{2i+1}$ , where  $f_{2i+1}$  has degree 2i + 1, with shuffle product. Set

$$\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}'\otimes \mathbb{Q}[\mathit{f}_2]$$

where  $f_2$  has degree 2, and commutes with all  $f_{2i+1}$ . Coaction

$$\Delta: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{U}$$

$$f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_m} f_2^r \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^m f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_k} \otimes f_{i_{k+1}} \dots f_{i_m} f_2^r$$

#### Structure theorem (B.)

Structure of motivic multiple zeta values

We have a model for  $\mathcal{H}.$  Let

$$\mathcal{U}' = \mathbb{Q}\langle f_3, f_5, f_5, \ldots \rangle$$

denote the graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by words in  $f_{2i+1}$ , where  $f_{2i+1}$  has degree 2i + 1, with shuffle product. Set

$$\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}'\otimes \mathbb{Q}[\mathit{f}_2]$$

where  $f_2$  has degree 2, and commutes with all  $f_{2i+1}$ . Coaction

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Delta:\mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}'\otimes\mathcal{U} \\ f_{i_1}\ldots f_{i_m}f_2^r & \mapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^m f_{i_1}\ldots f_{i_k}\otimes f_{i_{k+1}}\ldots f_{i_m}f_2' \end{array}$$

#### Structure theorem (B.)

Structure of motivic multiple zeta values

We have a model for  $\mathcal{H}.$  Let

$$\mathcal{U}' = \mathbb{Q}\langle f_3, f_5, f_5, \ldots \rangle$$

denote the graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by words in  $f_{2i+1}$ , where  $f_{2i+1}$  has degree 2i + 1, with shuffle product. Set

$$\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}'\otimes \mathbb{Q}[\mathit{f}_2]$$

where  $f_2$  has degree 2, and commutes with all  $f_{2i+1}$ . Coaction

$$\Delta: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathcal{U}$$

$$f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_m} f_2^r \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^m f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_k} \otimes f_{i_{k+1}} \dots f_{i_m} f_2'$$

#### Structure theorem (B.)

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

The theorem says that to every motivic MZV, we can uniquely associate a linear combination of words in  $f_{2i+1}, f_2$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2n+1) & \leftrightarrow & f_{2n+1} \\ \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)^{r} & \leftrightarrow & f_{2}^{r} \end{array}$$

By shuffle product:

 $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \leftrightarrow f_3f_5 + f_5f_3$ 

A more complicated example:

 $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \quad \leftrightarrow -5f_3f_5 + \frac{1586}{4725}f_2^4$ 

The (de Rham) Galois conjugates of a motivic MZV  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  are elements of the comodule generated by  $\xi$  under  $\Delta$ . They spanned by right factors of the corresponding elements in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Examples:  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5)$  has Galois conjugates  $\in \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5)$  has Galois conjugates  $\in \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

European

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

The theorem says that to every motivic MZV, we can uniquely associate a linear combination of words in  $f_{2i+1}, f_2$ :



By shuffle product:

 $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \leftrightarrow f_3f_5 + f_5f_3$ 

A more complicated example:

 $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \quad \leftrightarrow -5f_3f_5 + \frac{1586}{4725}f_2^4$ 

The (de Rham) Galois conjugates of a motivic MZV  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  are elements of the comodule generated by  $\xi$  under  $\Delta$ . They spanned by right factors of the corresponding elements in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Examples:  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5)$  has Galois conjugates  $\in \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5)$  has Galois conjugates  $\in \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

European

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

The theorem says that to every motivic MZV, we can uniquely associate a linear combination of words in  $f_{2i+1}, f_2$ :



By shuffle product:

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \leftrightarrow f_3f_5 + f_5f_3$$

A more complicated example:

 $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \quad \leftrightarrow -5f_3f_5 + \frac{1586}{4725}f_2^4$ 

The (de Rham) Galois conjugates of a motivic MZV  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  are elements of the comodule generated by  $\xi$  under  $\Delta$ . They spanned by right factors of the corresponding elements in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Examples:  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5)$  has Galois conjugates  $\in \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5)$  has Galois conjugates  $\in \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

European

Overview Ampl Coaction conjecture Motiv Motivic amplitudes The o

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

The theorem says that to every motivic MZV, we can uniquely associate a linear combination of words in  $f_{2i+1}, f_2$ :



By shuffle product:

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \leftrightarrow f_3f_5 + f_5f_3$$

A more complicated example:

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \quad \leftrightarrow -5f_3f_5 + \frac{1586}{4725}f_2^4$$

The (de Rham) Galois conjugates of a motivic MZV  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  are elements of the comodule generated by  $\xi$  under  $\Delta$ . They spanned by right factors of the corresponding elements in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Examples:  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5)$  has Galois conjugates  $\in \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

 $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \qquad \text{has Galois conjugates} \ \in \qquad \langle 1, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(5), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

European

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Coaction conjecture

O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture states that the amplitudes  $I_G$  in  $\phi^4$  theory are closed under the coaction.

- $\bullet\,$  Tested by Schnetz for  $\sim 250$  amplitudes up to 11 loops.
- Recent work of Panzer and Schnetz gave first explicit computation of amplitudes in  $\phi^4$  which are not MZV's but polylogarithms at 2*nd* and 6*th* roots of unity. Deligne proved analogue of the structure theorem for such numbers. The coaction conjecture still holds true for such examples.
- Equivalent formulation: if  $P_{\phi^4}$  is the algebra generated by the (motivic) amplitudes of  $\phi^4$  theory then it is *stable under the action of the motivic Galois group G*:

$$G \times P_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P_{\phi^4}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Coaction conjecture

O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture states that the amplitudes  $I_G$  in  $\phi^4$  theory are closed under the coaction.

- $\bullet\,$  Tested by Schnetz for  $\sim 250$  amplitudes up to 11 loops.
- Recent work of Panzer and Schnetz gave first explicit computation of amplitudes in  $\phi^4$  which are not MZV's but polylogarithms at 2*nd* and 6*th* roots of unity. Deligne proved analogue of the structure theorem for such numbers. The coaction conjecture still holds true for such examples.
- Equivalent formulation: if  $P_{\phi^4}$  is the algebra generated by the (motivic) amplitudes of  $\phi^4$  theory then it is stable under the action of the motivic Galois group G:

$$G \times P_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P_{\phi^4}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Coaction conjecture

O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture states that the amplitudes  $I_G$  in  $\phi^4$  theory are closed under the coaction.

- $\bullet\,$  Tested by Schnetz for  $\sim 250$  amplitudes up to 11 loops.
- Recent work of Panzer and Schnetz gave first explicit computation of amplitudes in  $\phi^4$  which are not MZV's but polylogarithms at 2*nd* and 6*th* roots of unity. Deligne proved analogue of the structure theorem for such numbers. The coaction conjecture still holds true for such examples.
- Equivalent formulation: if  $P_{\phi^4}$  is the algebra generated by the (motivic) amplitudes of  $\phi^4$  theory then it is *stable under the action of the motivic Galois group G*:

$$G \times P_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P_{\phi^4}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Coaction conjecture

O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture states that the amplitudes  $I_G$  in  $\phi^4$  theory are closed under the coaction.

- $\bullet\,$  Tested by Schnetz for  $\sim 250$  amplitudes up to 11 loops.
- Recent work of Panzer and Schnetz gave first explicit computation of amplitudes in  $\phi^4$  which are not MZV's but polylogarithms at 2nd and 6th roots of unity. Deligne proved analogue of the structure theorem for such numbers. The coaction conjecture still holds true for such examples.
- Equivalent formulation: if  $P_{\phi^4}$  is the algebra generated by the (motivic) amplitudes of  $\phi^4$  theory then it is *stable under the action of the motivic Galois group G*:

$$G \times P_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P_{\phi^4}$$

Amplitudes Motivic MZVs The coaction conjecture

#### Coaction conjecture

O. Schnetz' coaction conjecture states that the amplitudes  $I_G$  in  $\phi^4$  theory are closed under the coaction.

- $\bullet\,$  Tested by Schnetz for  $\sim 250$  amplitudes up to 11 loops.
- Recent work of Panzer and Schnetz gave first explicit computation of amplitudes in  $\phi^4$  which are not MZV's but polylogarithms at 2nd and 6th roots of unity. Deligne proved analogue of the structure theorem for such numbers. The coaction conjecture still holds true for such examples.
- Equivalent formulation: if  $P_{\phi^4}$  is the algebra generated by the (motivic) amplitudes of  $\phi^4$  theory then it is *stable under the action of the motivic Galois group G*:

$$G \times P_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P_{\phi^4}$$

#### The coaction conjecture in action I

Look at all graphs with 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 loops. By an earlier theorem, we know they are MZV's. The coaction conjecture unravels much of the structure of the possible amplitudes:

Loops	Weights	Possible MZV's
1	1	1
3	3	f <sub>3</sub>
4	5	$f_5$ $f_3f_2$
5	7	$f_7  f_5 f_2  f_3 f_2^2$
wd	6	$f_3^2 f_2^3$
6	9	$f_9  f_7 f_2  f_5 f_2^2  f_3 f_2^3  f_3^3$
wd	8	$f_3f_5$ $f_5f_3$ $f_3^2f_2$ $f_2^4$

No amplitudes of weights 2 and 4  $\Rightarrow$  no  $f_2, f_2^2$ . We know which graphs have weight-drops (B.- Yeats)  $\Rightarrow$  no  $f_2^3$ .

#### The coaction conjecture in action I

Look at all graphs with 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 loops. By an earlier theorem, we know they are MZV's. The coaction conjecture unravels much of the structure of the possible amplitudes:

Loops	Weights	Possible MZV's
1	1	1
3	3	f <sub>3</sub>
4	5	f <sub>5</sub>
5	7	f <sub>7</sub>
wd	6	$f_{3}^{2}$
6	9	$f_9   f_3^3$
wd	8	$f_3 f_5 f_5 f_3 f_2^4$

No amplitudes of weights 2 and 4  $\Rightarrow$  no  $f_2, f_2^2$ . We know which graphs have weight-drops (B.- Yeats)  $\Rightarrow$  no  $f_2^3$ .

#### The coaction conjecture in action II

The coaction conjecture imposes stronger and stronger constraints as we increase the loop order.

At 6 loops and weight 8, one expects to see  $f_3f_5$ ,  $f_5f_3$  and  $f_2^4$  but because there are few graphs, only these combinations occur:

$$f_3f_5 + f_5f_3$$
,  $f_3f_5 + \alpha f_2^4$ 

At 7 loops: we expect a vector space of MZV's of dimension 9. In reality, we only have a vector space of dimension 4 of amplitudes. The terms  $f_3f_3f_5$ ,  $f_3f_5f_3$ ,  $f_3f_2^4$  must occur in the linear combination

 $f_3 f_3 f_5 + f_3 f_5 f_3$ ,  $f_3 f_3 f_5 + \alpha f_3 f_2^4$ 

There are many more striking examples. At each loop order, there are new constraints ('holes' in the set of amplitudes) which in turn propagate to all higher loop orders.

#### The coaction conjecture in action II

The coaction conjecture imposes stronger and stronger constraints as we increase the loop order.

At 6 loops and weight 8, one expects to see  $f_3f_5$ ,  $f_5f_3$  and  $f_2^4$  but because there are few graphs, only these combinations occur:

$$f_3f_5 + f_5f_3$$
,  $f_3f_5 + \alpha f_2^4$ 

At 7 loops: we expect a vector space of MZV's of dimension 9. In reality, we only have a vector space of dimension 4 of amplitudes. The terms  $f_3f_3f_5$ ,  $f_3f_5f_3$ ,  $f_3f_2^4$  must occur in the linear combination

$$f_3f_3f_5 + f_3f_5f_3$$
,  $f_3f_3f_5 + \alpha f_3f_2^4$ 

There are many more striking examples. At each loop order, there are new constraints ('holes' in the set of amplitudes) which in turn propagate to all higher loop orders.

#### Swiss cheese

The amplitudes  $P_{\phi^4}$  are stable under a group  $G_{\phi^4}$  (coaction conjecture). But  $P_{\phi^4}$  is full of holes (there are few small graphs).



#### Each hole engenders infinitely many more holes. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Of course, it is better to speak about which numbers actually *occur* rather than don't occur. There is a precise, but technical mathematical formulation to express this. For the exposition, I will keep talking about holes.

# Part II: Plan

The previous picture is a conjectural prototype for the general structure of any perturbative quantum field theory. In order to turn it into a theory, we must modify the problem slightly. We must:

- *Enlarge* the class of amplitudes considered.
- Oefine 'motivic' versions of these amplitudes. With the right definition, there is automatically a coaction, and furthermore, the coaction conjecture is true for this class.
- There is an underlying *operad* structure. It is the same structure which governs the renormalisation group equation.
- Using the theory of weights in mixed Hodge theory, we reduce the calculation of the Galois conjugates to studying motivic amplitudes of small graphs.
- Since there are very few small graphs, we get lots of holes.

## Motivic periods

Let  ${\mathcal T}$  be a Tannakian category over  ${\mathbb Q}$  with two fiber functors:

$$\omega_B, \omega_{dR} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Suppose that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{comp}_{B,dR}:\omega_{dR}(M)\otimes \mathbb{C}\longrightarrow \omega_B(M)\otimes \mathbb{C}$$

for all  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ . Define the *ring of motivic periods*  $P_T^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  to be the affine ring  $\mathcal{O}(\operatorname{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\omega_{dR}, \omega_B))$ . The ring of *de Rham periods* is  $P_T^{dR} = \mathcal{O}(\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}(\omega_{dR}))$ . There is a period homomorphism

$$\mathrm{per}:P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{T}\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

and a coaction

$$P_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow P_{\mathcal{T}}^{dR} \otimes P_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$$

The algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  of motivic MZV's  $\subseteq P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{T}}$ , where  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$ 

## Motivic periods

Let  ${\mathcal T}$  be a Tannakian category over  ${\mathbb Q}$  with two fiber functors:

$$\omega_B, \omega_{dR} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Suppose that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{comp}_{B,dR}:\omega_{dR}(M)\otimes \mathbb{C}\longrightarrow \omega_B(M)\otimes \mathbb{C}$$

for all  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ . Define the *ring of motivic periods*  $P_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  to be the affine ring  $\mathcal{O}(\operatorname{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\omega_{dR}, \omega_B))$ . The ring of *de Rham periods* is  $P_{\mathcal{T}}^{dR} = \mathcal{O}(\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}(\omega_{dR}))$ . There is a period homomorphism

$$\mathrm{per}:P^\mathfrak{m}_\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$$

and a coaction

$$P_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow P_{\mathcal{T}}^{dR} \otimes P_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$$

The algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  of motivic MZV's  $\subseteq P_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ , where  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Various possibilities for T. The weakest is to take a category of realisations H. Objects are pairs:

 $(M_B, M_{dR})$  where  $M_B, M_{dR} \in \operatorname{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

with an isomorphism  $M_{dR} \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_B \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , and various filtrations so that  $M_B$  is a Q-mixed Hodge structure.

For a Feynman graph G one can associate an object

 $M_G \in H$ 

the 'graph mixed Hodge structure', and elements  $\omega_G \in \omega_{dR}(M_G)$ and  $\sigma \in \omega_B(M)^{\vee}$ . We will obtain a *motivic amplitude* 

 $[M, \omega_G, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} \in P_H^{\mathfrak{m}}$ 

It is the function  $\phi :\mapsto \langle \phi(\omega_G), \sigma \rangle : \operatorname{Isom}(\omega_{dR}, \omega_B)(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}.$ 

$$\operatorname{per}[M,\omega_{\mathcal{G}},\sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}}=\int_{\sigma}\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$$
 = Feynman amplitude

Various possibilities for T. The weakest is to take a category of realisations H. Objects are pairs:

 $(M_B, M_{dR})$  where  $M_B, M_{dR} \in \operatorname{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

with an isomorphism  $M_{dR} \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_B \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , and various filtrations so that  $M_B$  is a Q-mixed Hodge structure.

For a Feynman graph G one can associate an object

$$M_G \in H$$

the 'graph mixed Hodge structure', and elements  $\omega_G \in \omega_{dR}(M_G)$ and  $\sigma \in \omega_B(M)^{\vee}$ . We will obtain a *motivic amplitude* 

 $[M, \omega_G, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} \in P_H^{\mathfrak{m}}$ 

It is the function  $\phi :\mapsto \langle \phi(\omega_G), \sigma \rangle : \operatorname{Isom}(\omega_{dR}, \omega_B)(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\operatorname{per}[M,\omega_G,\sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} = \int_{\sigma} \omega_G = \operatorname{Feynman} \operatorname{amplitude}$$

Various possibilities for T. The weakest is to take a category of realisations H. Objects are pairs:

 $(M_B, M_{dR})$  where  $M_B, M_{dR} \in \operatorname{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

with an isomorphism  $M_{dR} \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_B \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , and various filtrations so that  $M_B$  is a Q-mixed Hodge structure.

For a Feynman graph G one can associate an object

$$M_G \in H$$

the 'graph mixed Hodge structure', and elements  $\omega_G \in \omega_{dR}(M_G)$ and  $\sigma \in \omega_B(M)^{\vee}$ . We will obtain a *motivic amplitude* 

$$[M, \omega_G, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} \in P_H^{\mathfrak{m}}$$

It is the function  $\phi :\mapsto \langle \phi(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}), \sigma \rangle : \operatorname{Isom}(\omega_{dR}, \omega_{B})(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\operatorname{per}[M, \omega_{G}, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} = \int_{\sigma} \omega_{G} = \operatorname{Feynman} \operatorname{amplitude}$$

Various possibilities for T. The weakest is to take a category of realisations H. Objects are pairs:

 $(M_B, M_{dR})$  where  $M_B, M_{dR} \in \operatorname{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

with an isomorphism  $M_{dR} \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_B \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , and various filtrations so that  $M_B$  is a Q-mixed Hodge structure.

For a Feynman graph G one can associate an object

$$M_G \in H$$

the 'graph mixed Hodge structure', and elements  $\omega_G \in \omega_{dR}(M_G)$ and  $\sigma \in \omega_B(M)^{\vee}$ . We will obtain a *motivic amplitude* 

$$[M, \omega_G, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} \in P_H^{\mathfrak{m}}$$

It is the function  $\phi :\mapsto \langle \phi(\omega_G), \sigma \rangle : \operatorname{Isom}(\omega_{dR}, \omega_B)(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}.$ 

$$\operatorname{per}[M, \omega_{\mathcal{G}}, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} = \int_{\sigma} \omega_{\mathcal{G}} = \operatorname{Feynman} \operatorname{amplitude}$$

# Motivic amplitudes: what do we gain?

#### We gain:

- A rigorous notion of weight. There is weight filtration on the ring P<sup>m</sup><sub>H</sub>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

- Subdivergence-free, massless amplitudes in φ<sup>4</sup> (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer)
- Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).
- General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

We gain:

- A rigorous notion of *weight*. There is weight *filtration* on the ring P<sup>m</sup><sub>H</sub>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

- Subdivergence-free, massless amplitudes in φ<sup>4</sup> (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer)
- Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).
- General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

We gain:

- A rigorous notion of *weight*. There is weight *filtration* on the ring P<sup>m</sup><sub>H</sub>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- **2** A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

- Subdivergence-free, massless amplitudes in φ<sup>4</sup> (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer)
- Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).
- General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

We gain:

- A rigorous notion of *weight*. There is weight *filtration* on the ring P<sup>m</sup><sub>H</sub>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- **2** A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

- Subdivergence-free, massless amplitudes in φ<sup>4</sup> (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer)
- Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).
- General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

We gain:

- A rigorous notion of *weight*. There is weight *filtration* on the ring P<sup>m</sup><sub>H</sub>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- **2** A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

- Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).
- General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

We gain:

- A rigorous notion of *weight*. There is weight *filtration* on the ring P<sup>m</sup><sub>H</sub>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- **2** A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

- Subdivergence-free, massless amplitudes in φ<sup>4</sup> (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer)
- ② Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).
- **③** General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

We gain:

- A rigorous notion of *weight*. There is weight *filtration* on the ring P<sub>H</sub><sup>m</sup>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- **2** A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

The graph mixed Hodge structure is known explicitly in the following cases:

- Subdivergence-free, massless amplitudes in \u03c6<sup>4</sup> (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer)
- **2** Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).

General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

We gain:

- A rigorous notion of *weight*. There is weight *filtration* on the ring P<sup>m</sup><sub>H</sub>. The 'transcendental weight' can be a half-integer.
- **2** A coaction from the general formalism.
- The motivic amplitude (in the case when there are external kinematics) knows everything about differential equations, monodromy equations, etc. Recover symbol from coaction.

- Subdivergence-free, massless amplitudes in \$\phi^4\$ (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer)
- **2** Renormalised single-scale amplitudes (B.-Kreimer).
- General sub-divergence free case not too hard (in progress).

### **Coaction** Theorem

Let  $P_{\phi^4}^{\mathfrak{m}}$  denote the space of the *specific* motivic amplitudes  $I_G^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of sub-divergence free graphs in  $\phi^4$  (as considered above).

Coaction conjecture (Schnetz)

 $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$  is stable under the coaction,  $\Delta: P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P^{dR}_H \otimes P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$ 

Idea: Enlarge the class of amplitudes. Let  $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4}$  denote the space of all the motivic amplitudes of the same class of graphs.

#### Theorem (B. available shortly)

$$P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4}$$
 is stable under the coaction,  $\Delta: P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4} \longrightarrow P^{dR}_H \otimes P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4}$ 

There are many *more* periods in  $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4} \supset P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$ .

## **Coaction** Theorem

Let  $P_{\phi^4}^{\mathfrak{m}}$  denote the space of the *specific* motivic amplitudes  $I_G^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of sub-divergence free graphs in  $\phi^4$  (as considered above).

Coaction conjecture (Schnetz)

 $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$  is stable under the coaction,  $\Delta: P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P^{dR}_{H} \otimes P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$ 

Idea: Enlarge the class of amplitudes. Let  $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4}$  denote the space of *all the* motivic amplitudes of the same class of graphs.

#### Theorem (B. available shortly)

```
P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\delta}^4} is stable under the coaction, \Delta: P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\delta}^4} \longrightarrow P^{dR}_H \otimes P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\delta}^4}
```

There are many *more* periods in  $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4} \supset P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$ .

### **Coaction** Theorem

Let  $P_{\phi^4}^{\mathfrak{m}}$  denote the space of the *specific* motivic amplitudes  $I_G^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of sub-divergence free graphs in  $\phi^4$  (as considered above).

Coaction conjecture (Schnetz)

$$P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$$
 is stable under the coaction,  $\Delta: P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4} \longrightarrow P^{dR}_{H} \otimes P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$ 

Idea: Enlarge the class of amplitudes. Let  $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4}$  denote the space of *all the* motivic amplitudes of the same class of graphs.

#### Theorem (B. available shortly)

$$P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4}$$
 is stable under the coaction,  $\Delta: P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4} \longrightarrow P^{dR}_H \otimes P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}}$ 

There are many *more* periods in  $P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4} \supset P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\phi^4}$ .
## Generalising the amplitudes: $\phi^4$ versus $\phi^4$

The amplitudes we considered in  $\phi^4$  are of the form

$$I_G = \int_\sigma \omega_G$$
 where  $\omega_G = rac{\Omega_G}{\Psi_G^2}$ 

where  $\Psi_G$  is the graph polynomial. They are periods of motivic amplitudes  $[M_G, \omega_G, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}}$  in  $P_{\phi^4}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The generalised motivic amplitudes we need are of the form

$$[M_G, \omega, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}} \in P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^4}$$

where  $\omega \in \omega_{dR}(M_G)$  is any differential form that can be integrated along  $\sigma$ . This includes convergent integrals of the form

$$I_G = \int_{\sigma} \omega$$
 where  $\omega = \frac{P(\alpha_e)\Omega_G}{\Psi_G^N}$ 

where P is any polynomial in the  $\alpha_e$  with rational coefficients.

## Generalising the amplitudes: $\phi^4$ versus $\phi^4$

The amplitudes we considered in  $\phi^4$  are of the form

$$I_G = \int_{\sigma} \omega_G$$
 where  $\omega_G = rac{\Omega_G}{\Psi_G^2}$ 

where  $\Psi_G$  is the graph polynomial. They are periods of motivic amplitudes  $[M_G, \omega_G, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}}$  in  $P_{\phi^4}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The generalised motivic amplitudes we need are of the form

$$[M_{\mathcal{G}},\omega,\sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}}\in P^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widetilde{\phi}^{4}}$$

where  $\omega \in \omega_{dR}(M_G)$  is any differential form that can be integrated along  $\sigma$ . This includes convergent integrals of the form

$$I_G = \int_{\sigma} \omega$$
 where  $\omega = \frac{P(\alpha_e)\Omega_G}{\Psi_G^N}$ 

where P is any polynomial in the  $\alpha_e$  with rational coefficients.

#### The graph MHS (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer 2007)

Recall that

$$I_G = \int_\sigma \omega_G$$
 where  $\omega_G = rac{\Omega_G}{\Psi_G^2}$ 

How to interpret this as a period? Consider the graph hypersurface, and coordinate hyperplanes in projective space:



### The graph mixed Hodge structure (II)

The naive mixed Hodge structure is

$$H^{N_G-1}(\mathbb{P}^{N_G-1}\setminus \overline{X}_G, B\setminus (B\cap \overline{X_G}))$$

However, in reality, the domain of integration  $\sigma$  meets the singular locus  $\overline{X}_G$  so we must do some blow-ups. B-E-K construct an explicit local resolution of singularities  $\pi : P \to \mathbb{P}^{N_G - 1}$  and define

$$M_G = H^{N_G - 1}(P \setminus \widetilde{\overline{X}}_G, \widetilde{B} \setminus (\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{\overline{X}}_G))$$

Theorem (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer 2007)

The Feynman amplitude  $I_G$  is a period of  $M_G$ 

However,  $M_G$  has other periods. The coaction conjecture is true when we include these new periods.

#### The graph mixed Hodge structure (II)

The naive mixed Hodge structure is

$$H^{N_G-1}(\mathbb{P}^{N_G-1} ackslash \overline{X}_G, B ackslash (B \cap \overline{X_G}))$$

However, in reality, the domain of integration  $\sigma$  meets the singular locus  $\overline{X}_G$  so we must do some blow-ups. B-E-K construct an explicit local resolution of singularities  $\pi: P \to \mathbb{P}^{N_G-1}$  and define

$$M_G = H^{N_G-1}(P \setminus \widetilde{\overline{X}}_G, \widetilde{B} \setminus (\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{\overline{X}}_G))$$

Theorem (Bloch-Esnault-Kreimer 2007)

The Feynman amplitude  $I_G$  is a period of  $M_G$ 

However,  $M_G$  has other periods. The coaction conjecture is true when we include these new periods.

# The power of the method comes from two features: the coaction and the fact that there are missing periods (holes).

However, we added new periods to make the coaction conjecture into a theorem. Have we inadvertently filled in all the holes too?

The answer is no. But now we need much stronger results to prove that the holes are still there. We now need to understand the *amplitudes in*  $\tilde{\phi}_4$  up to a given weight.

The power of the method comes from two features: the coaction and the fact that there are missing periods (holes).

## However, we added new periods to make the coaction conjecture into a theorem. Have we inadvertently filled in all the holes too?

The answer is no. But now we need much stronger results to prove that the holes are still there. We now need to understand the *amplitudes in*  $\tilde{\phi}_4$  up to a given weight.

The power of the method comes from two features: the coaction and the fact that there are missing periods (holes).

However, we added new periods to make the coaction conjecture into a theorem. Have we inadvertently filled in all the holes too?

The answer is no. But now we need much stronger results to prove that the holes are still there. We now need to understand the *amplitudes in*  $\tilde{\phi}_4$  up to a given weight.

The power of the method comes from two features: the coaction and the fact that there are missing periods (holes).

However, we added new periods to make the coaction conjecture into a theorem. Have we inadvertently filled in all the holes too?

The answer is no. But now we need much stronger results to prove that the holes are still there. We now need to understand the *amplitudes in*  $\tilde{\phi}_4$  up to a given weight.

### Factorization property of graph polynomials

Let  $\gamma \subset G$  be any subgraph. Let  $G//\gamma$  be the quotient graph: it is obtained by contracting  $\gamma$ .

Key factorisation property:

$$egin{aligned} \Psi_G &= \Psi_\gamma \Psi_{G/\!/\gamma} + R^1_{\gamma,G} \ \Phi_G(q) &= \Psi_\gamma \Phi_{G/\!/\gamma}(q) + R^2_{\gamma,G} \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials  $R^i_{\gamma,G}$  are of higher degree in the  $\gamma$ -variables.

$$\underbrace{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3}_{\Psi_G} = \underbrace{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}_{\Psi_\gamma} \underbrace{\alpha_3}_{\Psi_{\Gamma//\gamma}} + \underbrace{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}_{R_{\gamma,G}^1}$$

In the limit as the subgraph variables (here  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ ) go to zero, the graph polynomials factorise

$$\Psi_{G} \sim \Psi_{\gamma} \Psi_{\Gamma//\gamma}$$

#### The small graphs principle

Geometrically, each boundary facet is a product of graph hyper-surfaces. Gives an operad structure on the cohomology.

#### Theorem (Small graphs principle)

The elements in the right-hand side of the coaction  $\Delta[M_G, \omega, \sigma]^m$  can be expressed in the form

$$\prod_{i} [M_{\gamma_i}, \omega_i, \sigma]^{\mathfrak{m}}$$

where  $\gamma_i$  are sub and quotient graphs of G.

By general theorems on weights in mixed Hodge structures, the weight  $\leq k$  part of the RHS of the coaction come from sub and quotient graphs with approx. k + 1 edges in total.

#### Example: logarithms

Any  $\log^{m}(p)$  occurring in the RHS of the coaction come from graphs with at most 3 edges. Write down all possibilities:



 $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$   $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3$   $\alpha_1 (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$   $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3$ 

The corresponding mixed Hodge structures are very simple. You can never get log(p) as an integral with these denominators.

#### Corollary

There is no  $\log^{m}(p)$  in the right hand side of the coaction.

From these easy calculations + the theorems we actually deduce highly non-trivial constraints at all loop orders using the coaction.

### Some immediate corollaries

Let  $G \in \phi^4$  be primitive divergent.

#### Theorem

Suppose that  $I_G^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a motivic MZV at 2nd roots of unity. Then  $\log^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)$  is not a Galois conjugate of  $I_G^{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

Let  $\zeta_6$  be a primitive 6th root of unity. Similarly, an inspection of 4-edge graphs immediately gives the following corollary.

#### Theorem

Suppose that  $I_G^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a motivic MZV at 6th roots of unity. Then  $\operatorname{Li}_2^{\mathfrak{m}}(\zeta_6)$  is not a Galois conjugate of  $I_G^{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

Recent examples ( $P_{7,11}$ ,  $P_{8,33}$ ,  $P_{9,136}$ ,  $P_{9,36}$ ,  $P_{9,108}$ ) due to Panzer and Schnetz satisfy these conditions. We get strong *a priori* constraints on the possible amplitudes at 7, 8, 9 loops from a back-of-an envelope calculation.

### Non-appearance of $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)$

Expectation: There is no  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)$  in  $\phi_4$ . To prove this, it suffices to look at graphs  $\gamma$  with at most 6 edges:



and compute the mixed Hodge structures. One must show

$$\operatorname{gr}_4^W M_\gamma = 0$$

for every 6-edge graph  $\gamma$ . If so, then there is no  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)$  in  $\phi_4$  and this propagates to an infinite number of constraints at all loop orders by the coaction theorem.

Remark: It appears that  $P_{\phi^4} = P_{\tilde{\phi}_4}$  in low weights. If it is true up a given weight, then Schnetz' coaction conjecture follows as a consequence, up to some loop order.

## Generalizations

We can also look at processes depending on external parameters by replacing mixed Hodge structures with variations of MHS. Expect a coaction theorem and small graphs theorem.

Because there are very few small graphs, we expect to see many holes in the space of amplitudes.

Many known physical results should be interpretable as describing different pieces in the coaction (differential equations, monodromy, Cutcosky rules, etc). In the special case when we have variations of mixed Hodge-Tate structures (polylogarithms), then the symbol is obtained from the motivic amplitude by sending all constants to 0. The coaction reduces to the coproduct on the symbol.

## Conclusion

- The theory of motivic periods gives an organising principle for much of the known structure of amplitudes.
- Surprising new structural features such as the coaction conjecture emerge. It gives extremely strong constraints on the possible numbers which can occur as amplitudes.
- By enlarging the space of amplitudes slightly, the coaction conjecture becomes a theorem.
- Programme: compute the mixed Hodge structures underlying the amplitudes of small graphs. This lead to constraints *to all orders in perturbation theory.*