

SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF A CLASS OF OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH MAPS IN ONE DIMENSION

David Ruelle

1. Generalities, definitions, and results.

Let f be a piecewise monotone map of the interval $[0,1]$ to itself, and g a function of bounded variation on $[0,1]$. Hofbauer, Keller [3], [4] and Rychlik [10] have studied operators \mathfrak{L} on functions of bounded variation, where

$$\mathfrak{L} \Phi(x) = \sum_{y:fy=x} g(y)\Phi(y) .$$

Among other things, they show that the essential spectral radius of \mathfrak{L} is in many cases strictly smaller than the spectral radius; there exist therefore isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. The purpose of the present paper is to prove similar results for a more general class of operators forming an algebra (and therefore containing sums of operators like \mathfrak{L}). An analogous extension was presented in [9] for operators associated with expanding maps.

Let $\text{Var } \varphi$ denote the total variation of a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Throughout what follows, X will be a finite union of bounded intervals* of \mathbb{R} , and we write

$$\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}(X) = \{ \varphi : \text{Var } \varphi < \infty \text{ and } \varphi \text{ vanishes on } \mathbb{R} \setminus X \}.$$

Then, \mathfrak{B} is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\varphi \mapsto \text{Var } \varphi$.

For each ω in some countable set Ω , the following are supposed to be given:

- (i) a connected subset $V_\omega \subset X$, i.e., V_ω is empty, reduced to a point, or is an interval of \mathbb{R} (not necessarily open or closed);
- (ii) a map $\psi_\omega : V_\omega \rightarrow X$ such that $V_\omega \rightarrow \psi_\omega V_\omega$ is a homeomorphism;
- (iii) a function $\varphi_\omega \in \mathfrak{B}$, vanishing on $X \setminus V_\omega$.

We assume that

$$\sum_{\omega} \text{Var } \varphi_\omega < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

and define linear operators $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}'$ on \mathfrak{B} by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}\Phi(x) &= \sum_{\omega} \varphi_{\omega}(x) \Phi(\psi_{\omega}x) \\ \mathfrak{M}'\Phi(x) &= \sum_{\omega} \varphi_{\omega}(\psi_{\omega}^{-1}x) \Phi(\psi_{\omega}^{-1}x) \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.2}$$

[We let $\varphi_{\omega}(x) \Phi(\psi_{\omega}x) = 0$ when $x \notin V_{\omega}$, $\varphi_{\omega}(\psi_{\omega}^{-1}x) \Phi(\psi_{\omega}^{-1}x) = 0$ when $x \notin \psi_{\omega} V_{\omega}$].

In view of (1.1), \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}' are bounded operators:

*) One interval is all that is really needed, but it is convenient to allow several in view of the discussion in Appendix A.

$$\|\mathfrak{M}\|, \|\mathfrak{M}'\| \leq \sum_{\omega} \text{Var } \varphi_{\omega}.$$

[This follows from $\|u\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Var } u$, $\text{Var}(uv) \leq \|u\|_0 \text{Var } v + \|v\|_0 \text{Var } u \leq \text{Var } u \text{Var } v$, and $\text{Var}(u\psi) \leq \text{Var } u$].

Note that the operators \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}' play symmetric roles, one being formally the transpose of the other.

The setup described above is fairly general, and includes in particular the situation where X is replaced by a 1-dimensional complex \tilde{X} (see Appendix A). One usually considers the case where the ψ_{ω} are local inverses of a map f (see Appendix A). The present more general treatment has the advantage of maintaining the symmetry between \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}' .

1.1. Theorem. Let $|\mathfrak{M}|, |\mathfrak{M}'|$ denote the operators obtained when φ_{ω} is replaced by $|\varphi_{\omega}|$ in the definition of $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}'$, and write *)

$$R = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\| |\mathfrak{M}|^m 1 \|_0)^{1/m} \tag{1.3}$$

$$R' = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\| |\mathfrak{M}'|^m 1 \|_0)^{1/m}$$

(these are the spectral radii of $|\mathfrak{M}|, |\mathfrak{M}'|$ acting on bounded functions $X \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, with the "uniform" norm $\|\cdot\|_0$).

(a) The spectral radius of \mathfrak{M} , acting on \mathfrak{B} , is $\leq \max(R, R')$.

(b) The essential spectral radius of \mathfrak{M} is $\leq R'$.

*) In (1.3) and later formulae one may replace 1 by the characteristic function of X (which is in \mathfrak{B} , unlike 1); we let $\|\Phi\|_0 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)|$.

1.2. Theorem. If $\varphi_\omega \geq 0$ for all ω , then the spectral radius of \mathfrak{M} is $\geq R$. If furthermore $R' < R$, then R is an eigenvalue of \mathfrak{M} , and there is a corresponding eigenfunction $\Phi_R \geq 0$.

These theorems are proved in Section 2.

Let us say that two functions with bounded variation are equivalent if they differ on a countable set, and let \mathfrak{B}_c be the quotient Banach space. It is of interest that the proofs of the above theorems also apply if \mathfrak{B} is replaced by \mathfrak{B}_c .

1.3. Proposition. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_c$ be the quotient map and \mathfrak{M}_c the operator on \mathfrak{B}_c such that $\mathfrak{M}_c \pi = \pi \mathfrak{M}$. If $|\lambda| > R'$ and E^λ, E_c^λ are the generalized eigenspaces of $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}_c$ to the eigenvalue λ , then π maps E^λ bijectively to E_c^λ .

Let Φ_c denote the image of Φ in B_c . If $(\mathfrak{M} - \lambda)^n \Phi = 0$, then $(\mathfrak{M}_c - \lambda)^n \Phi_c = 0$. Suppose $\Phi_c = 0$ and write $\Psi = (\mathfrak{M} - \lambda)^{n-1} \Phi$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var } \Psi &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_x |\Psi(x)| = \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{-m} \sum_x |(\mathfrak{M}^{-m} \Psi)(x)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{-m} \sum_x (|\mathfrak{M}^{-m} \Psi|)(x) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{-m} \sum_x (|\mathfrak{M}^{-m} 1|)(x) \cdot |\Psi(x)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{-m} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-m} 1\|_0 \sum_x |\Psi(x)| \\
 &= |\lambda|^{-m} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-m} 1\|_0 \text{Var } \Psi .
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda > R'$, this implies $\text{Var } \Psi = 0$, i.e., $\Psi = 0$. By induction also $\Phi = 0$. Therefore $E^\lambda \mapsto E_c^\lambda$ is injective.

Let E (resp. E_c) be the sum of the E^λ (resp. E_c^λ) with $|\lambda| > R' + \varepsilon$ for given $\varepsilon > 0$. Take Φ such that $\Phi_c \in E_c$, and write $\Phi = \Phi' + \Phi''$ where $\Phi' \in E$ and Φ'' corresponds to the part of the spectrum of \mathfrak{M} in $\{\lambda: |\lambda| \leq R' + \varepsilon\}$, i.e.,

$$\lim \| \mathfrak{M}^m \Phi'' \|^{1/m} \leq R' + \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\lim \| \mathfrak{M}_c^m \Phi''_c \|^{1/m} \leq R' + \varepsilon$$

hence Φ''_c corresponds to the part of the spectrum of \mathfrak{M}_c in $\{\lambda: |\lambda| \leq R' + \varepsilon\}$. But since $\Phi_c, \Phi'_c \in E_c$, also $\Phi''_c \in E_c$, hence $\Phi''_c = 0$, i.e., $\Phi_c = \Phi'_c$. This shows that $E \mapsto E_c$ is surjective. Therefore all maps $E^\lambda \mapsto E_c^\lambda$ are surjective, concluding the proof of the proposition.

In the case where the Ψ_ω are local inverses of a single piecewise monotone map f , Baladi and Keller [1] have been able to relate the zeros of a ζ -function counting f -periodic points (with certain weights) and the spectrum of \mathfrak{M} . Such a relation is well-known in other situations*). If one tries to obtain an analogous result in our case, one is confronted with the following technical problem: relate the condition $\psi J \cap J \neq \emptyset$, and the choice of a fixed point of the homeomorphism ψ in the interval J . This can be done in various ways. In [1], forward expansiveness of f is assumed. Here we shall arrange that ψ be orientation reversing, so that $\psi J \cap J \neq \emptyset$ if and only if ψ has a fixed point in J , and the latter is unique. This leads to the Conjecture 1.4 below.

*) See [8] for a review, and the references given there, in particular to the important work of Haydn [2], and Tangerman [11]. See also [9] for the case where the Ψ_ω are not local inverses of a single map f .

We need at this point some definitions. Write $\varepsilon_\omega = \pm 1$ depending on whether ψ_ω is increasing or decreasing (an arbitrary choice is made if V_ω is reduced to a point), and define

$$\mathfrak{M}^\varepsilon \Phi(x) = \sum_{\omega} \varepsilon_\omega \varphi_\omega(x) \Phi(\psi_\omega x) .$$

Let also

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m^- = \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m}^- & \varphi_{\omega_m}(x(\bar{\omega})) \varphi_{\omega_{m-1}}(\psi_{\omega_m} x(\bar{\omega})) \dots \\ & \dots \varphi_{\omega_1}(\psi_{\omega_2} \dots \psi_{\omega_m} x(\bar{\omega})) \end{aligned}$$

where Σ^- extends over those m -tuples such that $\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_m} V_{\omega_m} \cap V_{\omega_m} \neq \emptyset$, and $\prod_1^m \varepsilon_{\omega_k} = -1$; the unique fixed point of $\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_m}$ is denoted by $x(\bar{\omega})$.

1.4. Conjecture. *The power series*

$$\zeta^-(z) = \exp 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m} \zeta_m^-$$

extends to a meromorphic function for $|z| < 1/R'$, and the order $^)$ of ζ^- at λ^{-1} is $n^\varepsilon(\lambda) - n(\lambda)$ where $n(\lambda)$ and $n^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ are the multiplicities $^{**})$ of λ as eigenvalues of \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}^ε respectively.*

$^*)$ We define the order of the meromorphic function ζ at z_0 to be the unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(z-z_0)^{-n} \zeta(z)$ is holomorphic and non zero at z_0 .

$^{**})$ The multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ is the dimension of the corresponding generalized eigenspace. If λ is not an eigenvalue, we say that λ has multiplicity 0.

A special case of this conjecture is proved in Appendix A as a corollary of the work of Baladi and Keller [1]. (This extends slightly a result of Milnor and Thurston [5]).

Probably one can, along the lines of [9], give a fairly general definition of ζ -functions in the present setup so that $1/\zeta(z)$ is holomorphic for $|z| < 1/R'$ and the zeros of $1/\zeta$ are the inverses of the eigenvalues of \mathfrak{M} with the same multiplicity. The definition of ζ would however involve some *arbitrary choices* due to the nonuniqueness of fixed points for the maps $\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_m}$.

2. Proof of Theorems 1.1. and 1.2.

2.1. Estimating Var Φ .

We shall *demi-measure* on \mathbb{R} a triple $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \sigma_-, \sigma_+)$ of complex measures with compact support in \mathbb{R} such that σ_0 is nonatomic and σ_{\pm} are atomic. We may thus write

$$\sigma_{\pm} = \sum_k a_{k\pm} \delta_{x(k)}$$

where $\delta_{x(k)}$ is the unit mass at $x(k)$. (Intuitively, we may think of σ_{\pm} as consisting of masses $a_{k\pm}$ just to the right or left of $x(k)$). The norm of the demi-measure σ is the quantity

$$\int |\sigma| = \int |\sigma_0| + \sum_k (|a_{k+}| + |a_{k-}|) .$$

We define now two different products $\sigma\Phi$ and $\Phi\sigma$ of the demi-measure σ and the function Φ with bounded variation and compact support $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Both $\sigma\Phi$ and $\Phi\sigma$ are demi-measures:

$$\sigma\Phi = (\Phi\sigma_0, \sum_k a_{k-} \Phi(x(k))\delta_{x(k)},$$

$$\sum_k a_{k+} \Phi(x(k+))\delta_{x(k)})$$

$$\Phi\sigma = (\Phi\sigma_0, \sum_k a_{k-} \Phi(x(k)-)\delta_{x(k)},$$

$$\sum_k a_{k+} \Phi(x(k))\delta_{x(k)}) ,$$

where $\Phi(x(k)\pm)$ are the limits of $\Phi(x)$ when $x \rightarrow x(k)$ from right or left; these limits exist because Φ has bounded variation. The maps $(\Phi, \sigma) \mapsto \sigma\Phi, \Phi\sigma$ are bilinear, and we have the associativity properties

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sigma(\Phi_1\Phi_2) &= (\sigma\Phi_1)\Phi_2 \\ \Phi_1(\sigma\Phi_2) &= (\Phi_1\sigma)\Phi_2 \\ (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)\sigma &= \Phi_1(\Phi_2\sigma) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Note also that

$$\int |\sigma\Phi| \leq \|\Phi\|_0 \int |\sigma| , \quad \int |\Phi\sigma| \leq \|\Phi\|_0 \int |\sigma| .$$

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ have bounded variation and compact support. The derivative of Φ in the sense of distributions is then a measure ρ which does not change if Φ is modified at a point. We can also define a demi-measure $\nabla\Phi = (\rho_0, \rho_-, \rho_+)$ where ρ_0 is the nonatomic part of ρ , and

$$\rho_- = \sum_k (\Phi(x(k)) - \Phi(x(k)-)) \delta_{x(k)}$$

$$\rho_+ = \sum_k (\Phi(x(k+)) - \Phi(x(k))) \delta_{x(k)} .$$

With this definition, we have

$$\text{Var } \Phi = \int |\nabla \Phi|^2$$

and the crucial property

$$\nabla(\Phi_1 \Phi_2) = \Phi_1(\nabla \Phi_2) + (\nabla \Phi_1)\Phi_2$$

which is readily verified.

If $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism, and σ a demi-measure, there is a naturally defined direct image $\psi\sigma = (\psi\sigma_+, \psi\sigma_-)$, where the choice of signs depends on whether ψ is an increasing or decreasing function. We have

$$\psi(\Phi_1 \sigma \Phi_2) = (\Phi_1 \circ \psi) (\psi\sigma) (\Phi_2 \circ \psi), \quad \nabla(\Phi \circ \psi) = \psi \nabla \Phi$$

if ψ is increasing, and

$$\psi(\Phi_1 \sigma \Phi_2) = (\Phi_2 \circ \psi) (\psi\sigma) (\Phi_1 \circ \psi), \quad \nabla(\Phi \circ \psi) = -\psi \nabla \Phi$$

if ψ is decreasing. Note also that

$$\int |\psi\sigma| = \int |\sigma|.$$

2.2. The spectral radius of \mathfrak{M} .

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } \mathfrak{M}^m \Phi &= \int |\nabla \mathfrak{M}^m \Phi|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int |(\varphi_{\omega_m}) \dots (\nabla \varphi_{\omega_k} \circ \psi_{k+1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m}) \dots \\ &\quad (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_2} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m}) (\Phi \circ \psi_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m})| \\ &+ \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int |(\varphi_{\omega_m}) \dots (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_2} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m}) (\nabla \Phi \circ \psi_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m})|. \end{aligned}$$

Since every $\psi_{\omega_{k+1}} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m}$ preserves or reverses orientation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } \mathfrak{M}^m \Phi &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int |(\varphi_{\omega_m} \circ \psi_{\omega_m}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_{k+1}}^{-1}) \dots (\nabla \varphi_{\omega_k}) \dots \\ &\quad (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_2} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_k}) (\Phi \circ \psi_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_k})| \\ &+ \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int |(\varphi_{\omega_m} \circ \psi_{\omega_m}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_1}^{-1}) \dots (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_1}^{-1}) (\nabla \Phi)| \\ &+ \text{symmetric terms} \end{aligned}$$

where the factors to the left and to the right of $\nabla \varphi_{\omega_k}$ or $\nabla \Phi$ are interchanged in the "symmetric terms". Therefore, this is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int \sum_{\omega_k} |(\mathfrak{M}^{m-k} 1)(\nabla \varphi_{\omega_k})| |\mathfrak{M}^{k-1} \Phi| \\ &+ \int |(\mathfrak{M}^m 1) \nabla \Phi| \\ &+ \text{symmetric terms} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathfrak{M}^{m-k} 1\|_0 (\sum_{\omega} \text{Var } \varphi_{\omega}) \|\mathfrak{M}^{k-1} 1\|_0 \|\Phi\|_0 \\ &+ 2 \|\mathfrak{M}^m 1\|_0 \text{Var } \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the norm Var on \mathfrak{B} we have thus

$$\|\mathfrak{M}^m\| \leq \text{const} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathfrak{M}^{m-k} 1\|_0 \|\mathfrak{M}^{k-1} 1\|_0 + \|\mathfrak{M}^m 1\|_0 \right]$$

and the spectral radius of \mathfrak{M} is

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|\mathfrak{M}^m\|)^{1/m} \leq \max(R, R').$$

Note that, since the ψ_{ω_k} are not defined everywhere, they are some inconsequential ambiguities in the formulae of the above proof.

2.3. The operators $T^{(m)}$.

If $\Lambda \subset \Omega$, let us write

$$\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda \Phi(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda} \varphi_\omega(x) \Phi(\psi_\omega x).$$

For each integer $m \geq 1$ we select $\Lambda = \Lambda(m)$ finite such that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}^m - \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m\| \leq R^m \quad (2.1)$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may now choose finite sets $A, B \subset X$ such that $X \setminus A$ is a union of disjoint open intervals $J(b)$ of \mathbb{R} , and furthermore

(i) $b \in J(b)$,

(ii) the ranges of $\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_k}$ (for $1 \leq k \leq m$, $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k \in \Lambda$) are unions of points $a \in A$ and intervals $J(b)$, $b \in B$,

(iii) φ_{ω_k} has variation $\leq \varepsilon$ on $\psi_{\omega_1}^{-1} \dots \psi_{\omega_1}^{-1} J(b)$ for each $J(b)$ in the range of $\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_k}$.

If $\Phi \in \mathfrak{B}$, we define

$$T^{(m)}\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi(a) & \text{for } x = a \in A \\ \Phi(b) & \text{for } x \in J(b) \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin X \end{cases}$$

By construction, $T^{(m)}$ is a linear operator on \mathfrak{B} , with $\|T^{(m)}\| \leq 1$, and

$$\sum_{b \in B} \|(\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi)|_{J(b)}\|_0 \leq \text{Var } \Phi \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{Var} (\Phi - T^{(m)} \Phi) \leq 2 \text{Var} \Phi \quad (2.3)$$

2.4. The essential spectral radius of \mathfrak{M}_Λ .

Since $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m T^{(m)}$ has finite rank, Nussbaum's formula [6] implies that the essential spectral radius of \mathfrak{M}_Λ is

$$\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m - \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m T^{(m)}\|)^{1/m} .$$

We shall prove that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m - \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m T^{(m)}\|)^{1/m} \leq R'e^\delta \quad (2.4)$$

with arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$ for suitable $T^{(m)}$. In view of (2.1) this will show that the spectral radius of \mathfrak{M}_Λ is $\leq R'$.

We begin with an ancillary estimate. For $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda|^\ell |\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi| \|_0 \\ & \leq \sup_x \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_\ell \in \Lambda} |\varphi_{\omega_\ell}(x) \dots \varphi_{\omega_1}(\psi_{\omega_2} \dots \psi_{\omega_\ell} x)| \\ & \quad |\Phi(\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_\ell} x) - (T^{(m)}\Phi)(\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_\ell} x)| . \end{aligned}$$

For fixed x consider the points $\psi_{\omega_1} \dots \psi_{\omega_\ell} x$ which are in a given interval $J(b)$. For all $k \leq \ell$ and $\omega_k \in \Lambda$ we have

$$|\varphi_{\omega_k}(\psi_{\omega_{k+1}} \dots \psi_{\omega_\ell} x) - \varphi_{\omega_k}(\psi_{\omega_k}^{-1} \dots \psi_{\omega_1}^{-1} b)| \leq \varepsilon$$

by assumption, hence

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda |^2 | \Phi - T^{(m)} \Phi \|_0$$

$$\leq \sum_b \sum_{\omega_2} \varphi_{\omega_2 \varepsilon}^{-1} (\psi_{\omega_2}^{-1} \dots \psi_{\omega_1}^{-1} b) \dots \sum_{\omega_1} \varphi_{\omega_1 \varepsilon}^{-1} (\psi_{\omega_1}^{-1} b) \|(\Phi - T^{(m)} \Phi) | J(b) \|_0$$

where we have written $\varphi_{\omega \varepsilon}(x) = |\varphi_\omega(x)| + \varepsilon$ when $x \in \Lambda_\omega$, $\varphi_{\omega \varepsilon}(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Therefore, using also (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda |^2 | \Phi - T^{(m)} \Phi \|_0 \\ & \leq \|(\mathfrak{M}'_{\Lambda \varepsilon})^2 1 \|_0 \sum_b \|(\Phi - T^{(m)} \Phi) | J(b) \|_0 \\ & \leq \|(\mathfrak{M}'_{\Lambda \varepsilon})^2 1 \|_0 \text{Var } \Phi \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda \varepsilon}$ is obtained if we replace φ_ω by $\varphi_{\omega \varepsilon}$ in the definition of \mathfrak{M}_Λ .

We can get an upper bound to the first factor of the right-hand side by using the upper semi-continuity of the spectral radius (for the $\| \cdot \|_0$ norm). Indeed, given $\delta > 0$, we may assume that ε has been chosen so small that

$$\|(\mathfrak{M}'_{\Lambda \varepsilon})^2 1 \|_0 \leq C(R' e^{\delta/2})^2 \tag{2.6}$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

We compute now

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var} (\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m - \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m T^{(m)}) \Phi \\ & = \int |\nabla \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^m (\Phi - T^{(m)} \Phi)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int |(\varphi_{\omega_m}) \dots (\nabla \varphi_{\omega_k} \circ \psi_{\omega_{k+1}} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m}) \\
&\quad \dots (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_2} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m}) ((\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi) \circ \psi_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m})| \\
&+ \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int |(\varphi_{\omega_m}) \dots (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_2} \dots \psi_{\omega_m}) (\nabla (\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi) \circ \psi_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_m})| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int (\varphi_{\omega_m} \circ \psi_{\omega_m}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_{k+1}}^{-1}) \dots (\nabla \varphi_{\omega_k}) \\
&\quad \dots (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_2} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_k}) ((\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi) \circ \psi_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_k})| \\
&+ \sum_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m} \int |(\varphi_{\omega_m} \circ \psi_{\omega_m}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\omega_1}^{-1}) \dots (\varphi_{\omega_1} \circ \psi_{\omega_1}^{-1}) (\nabla (\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi))| \\
&+ \text{symmetric terms} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int \sum_{\omega_k} |(\mathfrak{M}'_{\Lambda} |^m \mathbf{1}) (\nabla \varphi_{\omega_k}) (|\mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda} |^{k-1} |\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi|)| \\
&+ \int |(|\mathfrak{M}'_{\Lambda} |^m \mathbf{1}) \nabla (\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi)| \\
&+ \text{symmetric terms} \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \| |\mathfrak{M}'_{\Lambda} |^{m-k} \mathbf{1} \|_0 \left(\sum_{\omega} \text{Var } \varphi_{\omega} \| |\mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda} |^{k-1} |\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi| \|_0 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \| |\mathfrak{M}'_{\Lambda} |^m \mathbf{1} \|_0 \text{Var}(\Phi - T^{(m)}\Phi) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.5) and (2.3) we have therefore

$$\text{Var}(\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}^m - \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}^m T^{(m)})\Phi$$

$$\leq \text{const} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathfrak{M}'_{\Delta}\|^{m-k} \|\mathfrak{M}'_{\Delta}\| \|\mathfrak{M}'_{\Delta}\|^{k-1} \|\mathfrak{M}'_{\Delta}\| \text{Var } \Phi + \|\mathfrak{M}'_{\Delta}\|^m \|\mathfrak{M}'_{\Delta}\| \text{Var } \Phi \right].$$

Using (1.3) and (2.6), this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}^m - \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}^m T^{(m)}\| &\leq \text{const. } (m+1) (R'e^{\delta/2})^m \\ &\leq \text{const } (R'e^{\delta})^m. \end{aligned}$$

From this (2.4) follows, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.5. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

If $\varphi_{\omega} \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{M}^m\|^{1/m} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{M}^m\|^{1/m} \\ &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|\mathfrak{M}^m\|)^{1/m} \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|\mathfrak{M}^m\|_0)^{1/m} = R \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

so that the spectral radius of \mathfrak{M} is $\geq R$. In particular if $R' \leq R$, Theorem 1.1. (a) shows that the spectral radius of \mathfrak{M} is equal to R .

We assume now that $R' < R$ and prove (following [8] Section 4.9) that R is an eigenvalue of \mathfrak{M} , and has an eigenfunction $\Phi_R \geq 0$. We may write

$$1 = \Psi + \sum_j \Psi_j \quad (2.8)$$

where, for each j , λ_j is an eigenvalue of \mathfrak{M} with $|\lambda_j| = R$, and Ψ_j is in the corresponding generalized eigenspace; Ψ is such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathfrak{M}^m \Psi\|}{\tilde{\lambda}^m} = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

with $0 < \tilde{\lambda} < R$. In view of (2.7),

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \|\mathfrak{M}^m\| = \log R$$

and therefore the Ψ_j do not all vanish. Write the restriction of \mathfrak{M} to the generalized eigenspaces corresponding to the λ_j in Jordan normal form. It is then readily seen that there is an integer $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{m, m^k}} \mathfrak{M}^m \Psi_j = \Phi_j \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M} \Phi_j = \lambda_j \Phi_j$$

for all j , and $\Phi_j \neq 0$ for some j . From (2.8) we get

$$0 \leq \frac{\mathfrak{M}^m \mathbf{1}}{R^m} = \frac{\mathfrak{M}^m \Psi}{R^m} + \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{R}\right)^m \frac{\mathfrak{M}^m \Psi_j}{\lambda_j^m}.$$

Using (2.9) and (2.10) this gives

$$\sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{R}\right)^m \Phi_j \geq -\epsilon(m) \quad (2.11)$$

where $\epsilon(m) \rightarrow 0$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the sum is finite, and that $|\lambda_j/R| = 1$ for all j . Therefore (2.11) can hold only if R is an eigenvalue, say $R = \lambda_0$, while $\Phi_0 \geq 0$ and Φ_0 does not vanish identically.

A. Appendix

We call *compact interval* a set homeomorphic to $[0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$. A *1-dimensional complex* \tilde{X} will then be a finite union $\tilde{X} = \cup_{i \in I} \bar{X}_i$ of compact intervals which are disjoint except possibly for their endpoints. In particular, we may take \tilde{X} to be the interval $[0,1]$ or the circle $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ the Banach space of functions $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with bounded variation, with the norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_0 + \text{var } \varphi$$

where $\text{var } \varphi = \sum_i \text{var}(\varphi|_{\bar{X}_i})$, i.e., $\text{var } \varphi$ is the sum of the variations on the compact intervals \bar{X}_i .

Choose now $X_i \subset \bar{X}_i$ such that (X_i) is a partition of \tilde{X} , and identify the X_i with disjoint subsets of \mathbb{R} . Writing $\cup X_i = X$, we can identify $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ and we see that the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\text{Var} \cdot$ are equivalent. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 apply therefore directly to the situation where X is replaced by \tilde{X} and \mathfrak{B} by $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$.

We may say that $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is *piecewise monotone* if (for suitable choice of the X_i), each $f|_{X_i}$ is a homeomorphism $X_i \rightarrow fX_i$. Let also $g : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given, and suppose that g has bounded variation. Define

$$\mathfrak{M} \Phi(x) = \sum_{y:fy=x} g(y)\Phi(y)$$

$$\mathfrak{M}'\Phi(y) = g(y)\Phi(fy).$$

These operators are the same as those defined in section 1 if we make a suitable choice of data. Let indeed $\Omega = I \times I$, let $V_{(i,j)} = X_i \cap fX_j$, let $\psi_{(i,j)}$ be the local inverse $V_{(i,j)} \rightarrow X_j$ of f , let finally $\varphi_{(i,j)} = g \circ \psi_{(i,j)}$ on $V_{(i,j)}$ and 0 on $X \setminus V_{(i,j)}$. The properties (i), (ii), (iii) of section 1 are then satisfied, and the operators \mathfrak{M} , \mathfrak{M}' are the same as those defined by (1.2). Note that in the present situation

$$R' = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_x |g(f^{m-1}x) \dots g(fx) g(x)|^{1/m}.$$

With the above notation we formulate now and prove a special case of Conjecture 1.4.

A.1. Proposition. *Let $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a piecewise monotone map, and $g : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a piecewise constant function. Specifically, we assume that the finite partition of \tilde{X} in connected sets X_i is such that f is monotone and g constant on each X_i . If $x \in X_i$, let $\epsilon(x) = \pm 1$ depending on*

whether $\text{fl}X_i$ is increasing or decreasing (arbitrary choice if X_i is a point). Define

$$\mathfrak{M}^\varepsilon \Phi(x) = \sum_{y:fy=x} \varepsilon(y)g(y)\Phi(y)$$

$$\text{Fix}^- f^m = \left\{ x \in X : f^m x = x \text{ and } \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \varepsilon(f^k x) = -1 \right\}$$

$$\zeta^-(z) = \exp 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}^- f^m} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} g(f^k x).$$

Then, $\zeta^-(z)$ is meromorphic for $|z| < 1/R'$, and the order of ζ^- at λ is $n^\varepsilon(\lambda) - n(\lambda)$ where $n(\lambda)$ and $n^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ are the multiplicities of λ as eigenvalues of \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}^ε respectively.

If $g = 1$, we see that $\zeta^-(z)$ is meromorphic for $|z| < 1$ as noted earlier by Milnor and Thurston [5] (see also Preston [7] for this theory).

We shall obtain a proof of the Proposition by following the analysis of Baladi and Keller [1]. First, we "double" a countable set of points of X (preimages of the endpoints of X_i for iterates of f). In this manner, X is replaced by an ordered set \hat{X} , and f by a continuous map $\hat{f}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$. The sets X_i are replaced by \hat{X}_i , and (\hat{X}_i) is now a partition of \hat{X} into compact sets. If we extend g by continuity, the operators $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}^\varepsilon$ are essentially unchanged by the above replacements, and ζ^- is changed at most trivially.

Next, we introduce an equivalence relation \sim on \hat{X} , where $x \sim y$ means that $\hat{f}^k x$ and $\hat{f}^k y$ belong to the same $\hat{X}_{i(k)}$ for all $k \geq 0$. The equivalence classes are either points or closed intervals (a countable number of them). Let \tilde{X} be the quotient \hat{X}/\sim (obtained by collapsing a countable family of intervals to points). The map \hat{f} passes to the quotient, giving a map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, and \tilde{f} is positively expansive by construction. Note that g , being constant on each X_i , corresponds to a function \tilde{g} on \tilde{X} . Let $\tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}^\varepsilon$ be defined by

$$\tilde{\zeta}(z) = \exp \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix } \tilde{f}^m} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \tilde{g}(\tilde{f}^k x)$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}^\varepsilon(z) = \exp \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix } \tilde{f}^m} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{g}(\tilde{f}^k x) .$$

Then

$$\zeta^-(z) = \tilde{\zeta}(z) / \tilde{\zeta}^\varepsilon(z) .$$

We know by [1] that the orders of $\tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}^\varepsilon$ at λ^{-1} are minus the multiplicities of λ as eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^\varepsilon$ respectively. It remains to show that these are also the multiplicities as eigenvalues of \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}^ε . If Φ is an eigenfunction of \mathfrak{M} to the eigenvalue λ , with $|\lambda| > R'$, we have

$$\Phi = \lambda^{-n} \mathfrak{M}^m \Phi .$$

On an equivalence class for \sim , the variation of $\mathfrak{M}^m \Phi$ is $\leq \text{const} \cdot (R'e^\delta)^m \cdot \text{var } \Phi$, and Φ is thus constant. Therefore, Φ corresponds to an eigenfunction of $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. The converse is clear. A similar argument applies to generalized eigenfunctions. Therefore, the multiplicity of λ is the same with respect to \mathfrak{M} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, and similarly for \mathfrak{M}^ε and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^\varepsilon$. This concludes the proof of the proposition.

References.

- [1] V. Baladi and G. Keller. Zeta functions and transfer operators for piecewise monotone transformations. Commun. Math. Phys. To appear.
- [2] N. Haydn. Meromorphic extension of the zeta function for Axiom A flows. Preprint.
- [3] F. Hofbauer and G. Keller. Ergodic properties of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. Math. Z. 180, 119-140 (1982).
- [4] F. Hofbauer and G. Keller. Zeta-functions and transfer-operators for piecewise linear transformations. J. reine angew. Math., 352, 100-113 (1984).
- [5] J. Milnor and W. Thurston. On iterated maps of the interval I. The kneading matrix II. Periodic points. Princeton University preprint, 1977.
- [6] R.D. Nussbaum. The radius of the essential spectrum. Duke Math. J. 37 , 473-478 (1970).
- [7] C. Preston. What you need to know to knead. Preprint.
- [8] D. Ruelle. The thermodynamic formalism for expanding maps. Commun. Math. Phys. 125, 239-262 (1989).
- [9] D. Ruelle. An extension of the theory of Fredholm determinants. Preprint.
- [10] M. Rychlik. Bounded variation and invariant measures. Studia Math. 76, 69-80 (1983).
- [11] F. Tangerman. *Meromorphic continuation of Ruelle zeta functions.* Boston University thesis, 1986 (unpublished).