

## IV. Quotients of presheaf type theories

### Reminder on presheaf type theories:

- A first-order geometric theory  $\mathbb{T}$  is said to be “presheaf type” if its classifying topos  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$  admits a presentation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$$

as the topos of presheaves on an essentially small category

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

- If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are two essentially small categories, the category of equivalences

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$$

is equivalent to that of equivalences

$$\text{Kar}(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}).$$

- In particular, if  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are Karoubi-complete, equivalences

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$$

correspond to equivalences

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}.$$

## Reminder of semantic and syntactic presentations of classifying toposes of presheaf type theories:

- If  $\mathbb{T}$  is a presheaf type theory, the two categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{T}\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{fp}}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} = \text{full subcategory of "irreducible" formulas} \\ \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}} = \text{geometric syntactic category of } \mathbb{T}, \end{array} \right.$$

are essentially small and Karoubi-complete.

- We have two canonical presentations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{array}$$

- The induced equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}$$

associates with any finitely presentable model  
an irreducible formula which presents this model,  
and the reverse equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$$

associates with any irreducible geometric formula  
a model defined by this formula.

## The special case of Cartesian theories:

- If  $\mathbb{T}$  is a Cartesian theory  
(in particular, if  $\mathbb{T}$  is an empty theory,  
or algebraic  
or “Horn”),

we have a presentation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{car}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$$

as the topos of presheaves on

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{car}} =$  Cartesian syntactic theory of  $\mathbb{T}$   
(consisting of  $\mathbb{T}$ -Cartesian formulas).

- The category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{car}}$  is Cartesian, so Karoubi-complete.

### Corollary. –

If  $\mathbb{T}$  is a Cartesian theory, we have:

- (i) The geometric  $\mathbb{T}$ -Cartesian formulas in the signature  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  are the irreducible geometric formulas.
- (ii) There is identity of the two categories

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{car}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}.$$

## The correspondence between quotient theories and topologies:

- We consider a theory  $\mathbb{T}$  of presheaf type,  
endowed with a presentation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

- The induced functor

$$\ell : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$$

factorizes into

$$\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Kar}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

- Hence a fully faithful functor

$$\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}$$

and

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{any object } X \text{ of } \mathcal{C} \text{ defines an irreducible formula } \varphi_X, \\ \text{any irreducible formula comes from an idempotent of an object of } \mathcal{C}. \end{array} \right.$

- The morphisms between two objects  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$

$$X \xrightarrow{u} Y$$

correspond to  $\mathbb{T}$ -provably functional formulas

$$\theta_u(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) : \varphi_X(\vec{x}) \longrightarrow \varphi_Y(\vec{y}).$$

## From topologies to quotient theories:

**Proposition.** –

Consider a presentation  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$   
with the induced fully faithful functor

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \mathcal{C} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}, \\ \mathcal{X} & \mapsto & \varphi_{\mathcal{X}}, \\ (\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{Y}) & \mapsto & (\theta_u : \varphi_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \varphi_{\mathcal{Y}}). \end{array} \right.$$

Consider a topology  $J$  over  $\mathcal{C}$   
generated by some covering families of the form

$$(\mathcal{X}_i \xrightarrow{u_i} \mathcal{X})_{i \in I}.$$

Then adding to the axioms of  $\mathbb{T}$  the axioms

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{X}}(\vec{x}) \vdash_{\vec{x}} \bigvee_{i \in I} (\exists \vec{x}_i) \theta_{u_i}(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x})$$

defines a quotient theory  $\mathbb{T}_J$  of  $\mathbb{T}$

such that the equivalence  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$   
induces an equivalence

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_J}.$$

## From quotient theories to topologies:

**Proposition.** – Consider a presentation  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$   
with the induced fully faithful functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \mathcal{X} & \mapsto & \varphi_{\mathcal{X}} \end{array}$$

whose image is dense for the topology  $J_{\mathbb{T}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Consider a quotient theory  $\mathbb{T}'$  of  $\mathbb{T}$

defined by adjoining to the axioms of  $\mathbb{T}$  some axioms  $\varphi \vdash_{\vec{x}} \psi$ .

For each such additional axiom  $\varphi \vdash_{\vec{x}} \psi$ , proceed as follows:

- Consider the monomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$   

$$(\varphi \wedge \psi)(\vec{x}) \hookrightarrow \varphi(\vec{x}).$$
- Cover  $\varphi(\vec{x})$  by a  $J_{\mathbb{T}}$ -covering family of images of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$   

$$\varphi_{X_i} \longrightarrow \varphi(\vec{x}), \quad i \in I.$$
- Cover each fiber product in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$   

$$(\varphi \wedge \psi) \times_{\varphi} \varphi_{X_i}$$
  
by a  $J_{\mathbb{T}}$ -covering family of images of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$   

$$\varphi_{X_{i,k}} \longrightarrow (\varphi \wedge \psi) \times_{\varphi} \varphi_{X_i}, \quad k \in K_i.$$

Then the topology  $J'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  which corresponds to  $\mathbb{T}'$

is generated by the covering families  $(X_{i,k} \longrightarrow X_i)_{k \in K_i}$ .

## The special case of expressing axioms in irreducible terms:

**Lemma.** – Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a geometric theory of presheaf type.  
Then any implication relation between geometric formulas  
is  $\mathbb{T}$ -provably equivalent to a family of axioms of the form

$$\varphi \vdash_{\vec{x}} \psi$$

$$\varphi_i \vdash_{\vec{x}_i} \bigvee_{k \in K_i} (\exists \vec{x}_k) \theta_{i,k}(\vec{x}_k, \vec{x}_i)$$

where

- each  $\varphi_i = \varphi_i(\vec{x}_i)$  is an irreducible formula,
- each  $\varphi_{i,k}(\vec{x}_k) \xrightarrow{\theta_{i,k}} \varphi_i(\vec{x}_i)$   
is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -provably functional formula  
relating two irreducible formulas  $\varphi_{i,k}$  and  $\varphi_i$ .

**Proof.** – This is the special case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}$  of the previous proposition.  
We choose a  $J_{\mathbb{T}}$ -covering of  $\varphi$  by irreducible formulas

$$\varphi_i = \varphi_i(\vec{x}_i)$$

then a  $J_{\mathbb{T}}$ -covering of each fiber product in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$

$$(\varphi \wedge \psi) \times_{\varphi} \varphi_i$$

by irreducible formulas

$$\varphi_{i,k} = \varphi_{i,k}(\vec{x}_k).$$

## The syntactic expression of the correspondence between quotient theories and topologies:

**Corollary.** –

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a geometric theory of presheaf type, with the induced representation of its classifying topos

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{T}'$  be a quotient theory of  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by adjoining to the axioms of  $\mathbb{T}$  some axioms of the form

$$\varphi \vdash_{\vec{x}} \bigvee_{k \in K} (\exists \vec{x}_k) \theta_k(\vec{x}_k, \vec{x})$$

where the

$$\theta_k : \varphi_k = \varphi_k(\vec{x}_k) \longrightarrow \varphi(\vec{x}) = \varphi$$

are morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}$ .

Then the topology  $J'$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}$  which corresponds to  $\mathbb{T}'$  is generated by the covering families

$$(\varphi_k \xrightarrow{\theta_k} \varphi)_{k \in K}.$$



## Characterization of models of quotient theories:

**Corollary.** – Consider a presentation  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$   
with the induced fully faithful functor

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \mathcal{C} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}}, \\ \mathbf{X} & \mapsto & \varphi_{\mathbf{X}}, \\ (X \xrightarrow{u} Y) & \mapsto & (\theta_u : \varphi_X \rightarrow \varphi_Y). \end{array} \right.$$

Consider a topology  $J$  of  $\mathcal{C}$   
generated by covering families of the form

$$(X_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X)_{i \in I},$$

and the quotient theory  $\mathbb{T}_J$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  which corresponds to  $J$ .

Then a model  $M$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  in a topos  $\mathcal{E}$

is a model of  $\mathbb{T}_J$  if and only if, for any such covering family

$$(X_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X)_{i \in I},$$

the associated morphism of  $\mathcal{E}$

$$\prod_{i \in I} M\varphi_{X_i} \xrightarrow{\prod_{i \in I} M\theta_{u_i}} M\varphi_X$$

is an epimorphism.

## Interpretations of formulas and model morphisms:

**Theorem.** –

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a presheaf type theory, with the induced presentation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{T}\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{fp}}.$$

Let  $M$  be an object of  $\mathcal{M}$  presented by an irreducible formula  $\varphi$ .

Then for any model  $N$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  in a topos  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can write

$$N\varphi = \mathcal{H}om(p^*M, N)$$

where

- $N\varphi$  is the object of  $\mathcal{E}$  which interprets the formula  $\varphi$  in the model  $N$ ,
- $p^*M$  is the model of  $\mathbb{T}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  deduced from  $M$  by the topos morphism  
 $p = (p^*, p_*) : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$ ,
- $\mathcal{H}om(p^*M, N)$  is the object of  $\mathcal{E}$  characterized by the property that,  
for any object  $E$  of  $\mathcal{E}$

$$\text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{H}om(p^*M, N)) = \text{Hom}(p_E^* p^*M, p_E^*N)$$

if  $p_E^*$  denotes the pull-back component  
of the canonical morphism of toposes

$$p_E : \mathcal{E}/E \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

## Application to a semantic characterization of quotient theories:

**Corollary.** –

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a presheaf type theory, with the induced presentation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Let  $J$  be a topology over  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$  which defines a quotient theory  $\mathbb{T}_J$  of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a topos endowed with the morphism  $p = (p^*, p_*) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ .

Then a model  $N$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  is a model of  $\mathbb{T}_J$  if and only if it is

“ $J$ -homogeneous”

in the sense that, for any  $J$ -covering family of  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$

$$(M_i \rightarrow M)_{i \in I},$$

the induced morphism of  $\mathcal{E}$

$$\prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(p^* M_i, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(p^* M, N)$$

is an epimorphism.

**Remark.** – It suffices to consider a family of  $J$ -covering families

$(M_i \rightarrow M)_{i \in I}$  which generates the topology  $J$  of  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ .

## To prove the theorem: relative toposes

**Proposition.** – Let  $E$  be an object of a topos  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then:

(i) The relative category  $\mathcal{E}/E$  whose

- objects are the morphisms  $E' \rightarrow E$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ ,
- morphisms are the commutative triangles of  $\mathcal{E}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E'_1 & \longrightarrow & E'_2 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & E & \end{array}$$

is a topos.

(ii) The functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_E^* : \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}/E, \\ E' & \longmapsto & E' \times E \end{array}$$

is the pull-back component of a topos morphism

$$p_E : \mathcal{E}/E \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

**Sketch of proof:**

(i) If  $\mathcal{E}$  is the topos of sheaves on a site  $(\mathcal{C}, J)$ ,

$\mathcal{E}/E$  is identified with the topos of sheaves on the “category of elements” of  $E$

$\int E = \mathcal{C}/E$  endowed with the topology induced by  $J$ .

(ii) Indeed,  $p_E^*$  respects both arbitrary colimits and (arbitrary) limits.

## To prove the theorem: sheaves of functor morphisms

**Proposition.** – Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category.

Consider two functors with values in a topos  $\mathcal{E}$

$$F_1, F_2 : \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

Then the contravariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}, \\ E &\longmapsto \text{Hom}(p_E^* \circ F_1, p_E^* \circ F_2) \end{aligned}$$

is representable by an object of  $\mathcal{E}$  denoted  $\mathcal{H}om(F_1, F_2)$ .

**Proof sketch:**

- For any objects  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , the contravariant functor

$$E \longmapsto \text{Hom}(p_E^* E_1, p_E^* E_2) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(E_1 \times E, E_2)$$

is representable by an object of  $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2)$ .

- The functor under consideration is representable by a subobject of

$$\prod_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \mathcal{H}om(F_1(X), F_2(X))$$

because the conditions that define functors with values in  $\mathcal{E}$  are local for the canonical topology of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

## To prove the theorem: sheaves of model morphisms

**Corollary.** – Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a first-order geometric theory.

Let  $M_1, M_2$  be two models of  $\mathbb{T}$  in a topos  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Then the contravariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}, \\ E &\longmapsto \text{Hom}(\rho_E^* M_1, \rho_E^* M_2) \end{aligned}$$

is representable by an object of  $\mathcal{E}$  denoted

$$\mathcal{H}om(M_1, M_2).$$

**Proof.** – Let's choose a presentation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Then the category of models of  $\mathbb{T}$  in a topos  $\mathcal{E}$

is related to that of functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{E}$

by a fully faithful functor

$$\mathbb{T}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}]$$

whose image is made up of flat and  $J$ -continuous functors.

## To prove the theorem: a topos-theoretic Yoneda lemma

**Lemma.** – Consider a contravariant functor from a small category  $\mathcal{C}$  to a topos  $\mathcal{E}$

$$F : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

Let  $p = (p^*, p_*) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  be the canonical morphism of toposes.  
Then we have for any object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , of associated presheaf

$y(X) = \text{Hom}(\bullet, X) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$ ,  
a canonical isomorphism in  $\mathcal{E}$

$$\text{Hom}(p^* \circ y(X), F) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(X).$$

**Proof.** –

- It suffices to show that there exists a canonical bijection

$$\text{Hom}(p^* \circ y(X), F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(1_{\mathcal{E}}, F(X)).$$

- As  $1_{\mathcal{E}} = p^* 1_{\text{Ens}}$  and  $p^*$  admits the right-adjoint  $p_* : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , we are reduced to showing that there exists a canonical bijection

$$\text{Hom}(y(X), p_* \circ F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(1_{\text{Ens}}, (p_* \circ F)(X)) = p_* \circ F(X).$$

This is Yoneda's lemma.

## End of the proof of the theorem:

- We consider a theory  $\mathbb{T}$  of presheaf type, with the presentation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$$

and the canonical equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$$

which associates to any irreducible formula  $\varphi$  a model  $M_{\varphi}$  that it presents.

- The models  $N$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  in a topos  $\mathcal{E}$  endowed with  $\rho = (\rho^*, \rho_*) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  can be seen as the flat functors

$$\begin{aligned} N &: \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}, \\ &(\varphi \leftrightarrow M_{\varphi}) &\longmapsto & N\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

- We deduce from the “topos-theoretic Yoneda lemma” that there exists, for any such flat functor  $N : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  and any object  $(\varphi \leftrightarrow M_{\varphi})$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ , a canonical isomorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$

$$\text{Hom}(\rho^* \circ M_{\varphi}, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} N\varphi.$$

- In other words, if an object  $M$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined by a formula  $\varphi$ , the interpretation of  $\varphi$  in a model  $N$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  identifies with

$$\text{Hom}(\rho^* M, N).$$



# Three examples of quotient theories of the theory of commutative rings:

## Program:

- Introduce the algebraic theory of commutative rings.
- Present its classifying topos.
- Introduce the three quotient theories that are
  - the theory of local rings,
  - the theory of integral domains,
  - the theory of commutative fields.
- Calculate the presentations of the classifying toposes of these theories derived from that of the classifying topos of commutative ring theory.
- Compute another presentation of the classifying topos of the theory of commutative fields, derived from its presentation as a quotient theory of another algebraic theory, the theory of Von Neumann regular commutative rings.

# Commutative ring theory:

**Definition.** –

(i) The signature  $\Sigma_a$  of commutative ring theory consists of

- a sort  $A$ ,
- five function symbols

$+$	:	$AA$	$\longrightarrow$	$A$	(addition),
$\cdot$	:	$AA$	$\longrightarrow$	$A$	(multiplication),
$0$	:		$\longrightarrow$	$A$	(unit element of addition),
$1$	:		$\longrightarrow$	$A$	(unit element of multiplication),
$-$	:	$A$	$\longrightarrow$	$A$	(switch to the opposite).

(ii) The theory  $\mathbb{T}_a$  of commutative rings is defined  
in the signature  $\Sigma_a$  by the following axioms:

- Associativity:  $\top \vdash (a_1 + a_2) + a_3 = a_1 + (a_2 + a_3)$   
 $\top \vdash (a_1 \cdot a_2) \cdot a_3 = a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot a_3)$
- Commutativity:  $\top \vdash a_1 + a_2 = a_2 + a_1$   
 $\top \vdash a_1 \cdot a_2 = a_2 \cdot a_1$
- Neutrality:  $\top \vdash 0 + a = a$   
 $\top \vdash 1 \cdot a = a$
- Opposition:  $\top \vdash a + (-a) = 0$

## The classifying topos of commutative ring theory:

**Observation.** – *The theory  $\mathbb{T}_a$  of commutative rings is an algebraic theory.*

**Corollary.** – *The classifying topos  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_a}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_a$  takes the form*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_a}, \\ \widehat{\text{Sch}}_{\text{apf}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_a}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{T}_a}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_a}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{T}_a}^{\text{car}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_a} \end{array} \right.$$

where

- $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}$   
*is the category of commutative rings of finite presentation,*
- $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} = \text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$  *is the category of affine schemes of finite presentation,*
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_a}^{\text{ir}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_a} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_a}^{\text{geo}}$   
*is the full subcategory of the geometric syntactic category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_a}$   
made up of irreducible formulas,*
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_a}^{\text{car}}$  *is the Cartesian syntactic category of  $\mathbb{T}_a$ , equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_a}^{\text{ir}}$ .*

## Local ring theory:

**Definition.** – *The theory of local rings  $\mathbb{T}_\ell$  is the quotient theory of that of commutative rings  $\mathbb{T}_a$  defined by adding the coherent axiom*

$$(\exists b)(b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = 1) \vdash_{a_1, a_2} (\exists b_1)(b_1 \cdot a_1 = 1) \vee (\exists b_2)(b_2 \cdot a_2 = 1).$$

**Observation.** –

- The three formulas

$$\begin{aligned} &(\exists b)(b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = 1), \\ &(\exists b_1)(b_1 \cdot a_1 = 1), \\ &(\exists b_2)(b_2 \cdot a_2 = 1) \end{aligned}$$

are Cartesian, hence irreducible.

- This is still the case with the two formulas

$$\begin{aligned} &(\exists b)(b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = 1) \wedge (\exists b_1)(b_1 \cdot a_1 = 1), \\ &(\exists b)(b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = 1) \wedge (\exists b_2)(b_2 \cdot a_2 = 1). \end{aligned}$$

## Affine schemes presented by irreducible formulas:

**Lemma.** –

(i) The irreducible formulas

$$(\exists b_1)(b_1 \cdot a_1 = 1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\exists b_2)(b_2 \cdot a_2 = 1)$$

present the affine open subscheme

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}])$$

complement of point 0 in the affine line

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X]).$$

(ii) The irreducible formula

$$(\exists b)(b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = 1)$$

presents the affine open subscheme

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y][(X + Y)^{-1}])$$

complement of the line of equation  $X + Y = 0$  in the affine plane

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]).$$

(iii) The irreducible formulas

$$(\exists b)(b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = 1) \wedge (\exists b_1)(b_1 \cdot a_1 = 1) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\exists b)(b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = 1) \wedge (\exists b_2)(b_2 \cdot a_2 = 1)$$

present the affine open subschemes

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y][X^{-1}][(X + Y)^{-1}]) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y][Y^{-1}][(X + Y)^{-1}]).$$

## The classifying topos of local ring theory:

**Proposition.** –

- (i) *The classifying topos of the theory  $\mathbb{T}_\ell$  of local rings can be presented in the form*

$$\widehat{(\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}})}_{J_\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_\ell}$$

where  $J_\ell$  is the smallest topology of  $\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$  for which the monomorphisms

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y][X^{-1}][(X + Y)^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y][(X + Y)^{-1}]),$$

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y][Y^{-1}][(X + Y)^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y][(X + Y)^{-1}])$$

form a covering.

- (ii) *This topology  $J_\ell$  on*

$\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$  (= category of affine schemes of finite presentation) is none other than the Zariski topology.

**Note.** – According to the “Grothendieck comparison lemma”, we have an equivalence

$$\widehat{(\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}})}_{\text{Zar}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{(\text{Sch}_{\text{pf}})}_{\text{Zar}}.$$

## Verification of the generation of the Zariski topology:

- The topology  $J_\ell$  is contained in the Zariski topology.  
We have to prove the inclusion in the reverse direction.

- We recall that for any element  $a$  of a commutative ring  $A$ ,

$$A_a = A[X]/(a \cdot X - 1)$$

is the ring deduced from  $A$  by formally inverting the element  $a$ .

- As  $J$  satisfies the stability axiom,  
we see that for any elements  $a, b$  of a ring  $A$ ,  
the two monomorphisms

$\text{Spec}(A_{(a+b) \cdot a}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A_{(a+b)})$  and  $\text{Spec}(A_{(a+b) \cdot b}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A_{(a+b)})$   
form a covering.

- By stability and transitivity, we deduce that  
for any elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of a ring  $A$ , the family of  $n$  morphisms

$$\text{Spec}(A_{(a_1 + \dots + a_n) \cdot a_i}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A_{(a_1 + \dots + a_n)}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

forms a covering.

- If there exist elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$  such that  $a_1 \cdot b_1 + \dots + a_n \cdot b_n = 1$ ,  
the morphisms  $\text{Spec}(A_{a_i \cdot b_i}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  form a covering, so also the

$$\text{Spec}(A_{a_i}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A).$$

## The theory of integral domains:

### Definition. –

The theory of integral domains  $\mathbb{T}_i$   
is the quotient theory of that of commutative rings  $\mathbb{T}_a$   
defined by adding the coherent axiom

$$a \cdot b = 0 \vdash a = 0 \vee b = 0.$$

### Observation. –

- The three formulas in the variables  $a$  and  $b$

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &= 0, \\ a &= 0, \\ b &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

are Cartesian, hence irreducible.

- The reverse implications

$$\begin{aligned} a = 0 &\vdash a \cdot b = 0, \\ b = 0 &\vdash a \cdot b = 0 \end{aligned}$$

are  $\mathbb{T}_a$ -provable.



## Affine schemes presented by irreducible formulas:

**Lemma.** –

The irreducible formulas in the variables  $a$  and  $b$

$$\begin{aligned}a \cdot b &= 0, \\ a &= 0, \\ b &= 0\end{aligned}$$

present the closed affine subschemes

$$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(X \cdot Y)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]), \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(X)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]), \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(Y)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y])\end{array}$$

of the affine plane

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]).$$

## The classifying topos of the theory of integral domains:

**Proposition.** –

- (i) The classifying topos of the theory  $\mathbb{T}_i$  of integral domains can be presented in the form

$$\widehat{(\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}})}_{J_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_i}$$

where  $J_i$  is the smallest topology of  $\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$  for which the closed immersions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(X)) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(X \cdot Y)), \\ \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(Y)) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(X \cdot Y)) \end{array}$$

form a covering.

- (ii) A sieve  $C$  on an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$

$$\text{Spec}(A)$$

is  $J_i$ -covering if and only if

there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that

$$a_1 \cdots a_n = 0$$

and that the closed embeddings

$$\text{Spec}(A/(a_i)) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

are elements of the sieve  $C$ .

## Identification of the generated topology:

- By stability of  $J_i$ , we see that for any elements  $a_1, a_2$  of a commutative ring  $A$  such that  $a_1 \cdot a_2 = 0$ , the closed immersions

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A/(a_1)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Spec}(A/(a_2)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$$

form a  $J_i$ -covering.

- By transitivity of  $J_i$ , we deduce that for any elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of a commutative ring  $A$  such that  $a_1 \cdots a_n = 0$ , the family of closed embeddings

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A/(a_i)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{forms a } J_i\text{-covering.}$$

- It remains to prove that the sieves  $C$  of the objects  $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$  of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathrm{apf}}$  which satisfy the condition of (ii) form a topology.

The axioms of maximality and stability are clearly verified.

For transitivity, it suffices to note that if elements of a ring  $A$

$$a_1, \dots, a_n \quad \text{and} \quad a_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_i,$$

satisfy the conditions

$$a_1 \cdots a_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k_i} a_{i,j} \in a_1 \cdot A, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

then one also has

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k_i} a_{i,j} = 0.$$

## The theory of commutative fields:

### Definition. –

The theory of commutative fields  $\mathbb{T}_c$   
is the quotient theory of that of commutative rings  $\mathbb{T}_a$   
defined by adding the coherent axiom

$$\top \vdash_a a = 0 \vee (\exists b) \cdot (b \cdot a = 1).$$

### Observation. –

The two formulas in the variable  $a$

$$a = 0,$$

$$(\exists b)(b \cdot a = 1)$$

are Cartesian, hence irreducible.

## Affine schemes presented by irreducible formulas:

**Lemma.** –

The irreducible formulas in the variable  $a$

$$a = 0,$$

$$(\exists b)(a \cdot b = 1)$$

present the closed subscheme

$$0 : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X])$$

and the open subscheme

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X]),$$

which are the closed point  $0$  of the affine line  
and its complementary open subscheme.

# The classifying topos of the theory of commutative fields:

**Proposition.** –

- (i) The classifying topos of the theory  $\mathbb{T}_c$  of commutative fields can be presented in the form

$$(\widehat{\text{Sch}}_{\text{apf}})_{J_c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_c}$$

where  $J_c$  is the smallest topology of  $\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$  for which the two closed and open embeddings

$$0 : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X]), \\ \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X]) \end{array}$$

form a covering.

- (ii) A sieve  $C$  on an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$

$$\text{Spec}(A)$$

is  $J_c$ -covering if and only if

there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ , such that,

for any subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  and if  $A_I$  denotes the ring deduced from  $A$  by cancelling elements  $a_i, i \in I$ , and inverting elements  $a_j, j \notin I$ , the locally closed morphism

is an element of  $C$ .

$$\text{Spec}(A_I) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$$

## Identification of the generated topology:

- By stability of  $J_C$ , we see that for any element  $a$  of a commutative ring  $A$ , the pair of closed and open embeddings

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A/(a)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Spec}(A_a) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$$

forms a  $J_I$ -covering.

- By transitivity of  $J_C$ , we deduce that for any elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of a commutative ring  $A$ , the family of associated locally closed embeddings

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A_I) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A), \quad I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\},$$

forms a  $J_C$ -covering.

- It remains to check that the sieves  $C$  of the objects  $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$  of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathrm{apf}}$  which satisfy the conditions of (ii) form a topology.  
Indeed, the axioms of maximality, stability and transitivity are clearly verified.

## A remark on topologies:

**Lemma.** –

On the category  $\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}}$  of affine schemes of finite presentation, one has:

- (i) The topology  $J_{\ell} = \text{Zar}$  is subcanonical.
- (ii) The topology  $J_i$  is not subcanonical.
- (iii) A fortiori, the topology  $J_c \supseteq J_i$  is not subcanonical.

**Proof.** –

- (i) Indeed, for any Zariski open covering of an affine scheme

$$U_i \hookrightarrow X, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

the datum of an affine scheme morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$

is equivalent to the datum of a family of morphisms  $U_i \rightarrow Y$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which match on the  $U_i \cap U_j$ .

- (ii) The morphism

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^2))$$

forms a  $J_i$ -covering but it has no section.

- (iii) Indeed, the topology  $J_c$  contains the topology  $J_i$ .



## Looking for an alternative presentation:

### Program:

Define a first-order geometric theory  $\mathbb{T}'_c$  such that:

- The theory  $\mathbb{T}'_c$  is “syntactically equivalent” to the theory of commutative fields  $\mathbb{T}_c$  (in the sense that there exists an equivalence between their geometric syntactic categories

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}'_c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_c} ).$$

- The theory  $\mathbb{T}'_c$  is naturally written as a quotient of a theory  $\mathbb{T}_r$  of presheaf type.
- If  $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}$  with the canonical equivalence

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}_r^{\text{op}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_r},$$

the unique topology  $J_c$  on  $\mathcal{M}_r^{\text{op}}$  such that

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{M}_r^{\text{op}}})_{J_c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}'_c}$$

is subcanonical.

## Syntactic equivalence and semantic equivalence:

We have the two notions of equivalence:

**Definition.** – Two first-order geometric theories  $\mathbb{T}_1$  and  $\mathbb{T}_2$  are said

- (i) “syntactically equivalent” if there exists  
an equivalence between their geometric syntactic categories

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_2},$$

- (ii) “semantically equivalent” (or “Morita-equivalent”) if there exists  
an equivalence between their classifying toposes

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_2}.$$

**Remarks.** –

- Two theories which are syntactically equivalent are a fortiori semantically equivalent.
- Indeed, the syntactic topology  $J_{\mathbb{T}}$  of a geometric syntactic category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$  is induced by the categorical structure of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$ :  
A family of morphisms  $\theta_i : \varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$  is  $J_{\mathbb{T}}$ -covering if and only if it is globally epimorphic.

## Von Neumann's theory of regular commutative rings:

### Definition. –

(i) The signature  $\Sigma_r$  of the theory of regular commutative rings consists of the signature  $\Sigma_a$  of the theory of commutative rings supplemented by

- an additional function symbol

$$(\bullet)^+ : A \rightarrow A \quad (\text{switch to pseudo-inverse}).$$

(ii) The theory  $\mathbb{T}_r$  of regular commutative rings is defined in the signature  $\Sigma_r$  by the axioms of the theory  $\mathbb{T}_a$  of commutative rings supplemented by the two additional axioms:

- Pseudo-inversion:  $\top \vdash_a a^+ \cdot a \cdot a = a$
- Involution:  $\top \vdash_a (a^+)^+ = a$

## A theory syntactically equivalent to field theory:

**Definition.** – Let  $\mathbb{T}'_c$  be the quotient theory of  $\mathbb{T}_r$  defined by the same axiom which defines the theory of commutative fields  $\mathbb{T}_c$  as a quotient of that of commutative rings  $\mathbb{T}_a$

$$\top \vdash_a a = 0 \vee (\exists b)(b \cdot a = 1).$$

**Lemma.** – The theories  $\mathbb{T}_c$  and  $\mathbb{T}'_c$  are syntactically equivalent.

**Proof.** –

- The formula in the two variables  $a$  and  $b$  of sort  $A$

$$(a = 0 \wedge b = 0) \vee (b \cdot a = 1)$$

is  $\mathbb{T}_c$ -provably functional from  $\top(a)$  to  $\top(b)$ .

- Associating with the  $\mathbb{T}'_c$ -provably functional formula of  $\Sigma_r$

$$(b = a^+) : \top(a) \rightarrow \top(b)$$

the  $\mathbb{T}_c$ -provably functional formula of  $\Sigma_a$

$$(a = 0 \wedge b = 0) \vee (b \cdot a = 1) : \top(a) \rightarrow \top(b)$$

defines an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}'_c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_c}.$$

## The classifying topos of the theory of regular commutative rings:

### Observation. –

Von Neumann's theory  $\mathbb{T}_r$  of regular commutative rings is an algebraic theory.

**Corollary.** – *The classifying topos  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_r}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_r$  can be presented in the forms*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_r^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_r}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{T}_r}^{\text{ir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_r}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{T}_r}^{\text{car}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_r} \end{array} \right.$$

where

- $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}$   
is the category of regular commutative rings of finite presentation,
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_r}^{\text{ir}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_r}$   
is the full subcategory of the geometric syntactic category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_r}$   
consisting of irreducible formulas,
- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_r}^{\text{car}}$  is the Cartesian syntactic category of  $\mathbb{T}_r$ , equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}_r}^{\text{ir}}$ .

## Regular rings and commutative rings:

**Proposition.** – Consider the forgetting functor of the operation  $(\bullet)^+$  of regular rings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_R\text{-mod}(\text{Set}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set}), \\ (A, (\bullet)^+) &\longmapsto A. \end{aligned}$$

Then:

(i) For any regular commutative ring  $(A, (\bullet)^+)$ , the diagonal morphism

$$A \longrightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}(A)} A/p \hookrightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}(A)} \text{Frac}(A/p)$$

is injective, and each of its components

$$A \longrightarrow A/p \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(A/p) = \kappa_p$$

transforms the operation  $(\bullet)^+$  of  $A$  into the operation

$$\begin{aligned} (\bullet)^+ : \kappa_p &\longrightarrow \kappa_p, \\ k &\longmapsto k^+ = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ k^{-1} & \text{if } k \neq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) This forgetting functor admits for left-adjoint the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_R\text{-mod}(\text{Set}), \\ B &\longmapsto B^+ \end{aligned}$$

where  $B^+$  is the smallest subring of  $\prod_{q \in \text{Spec}(B)} \kappa_q$  which

- contains the image of  $B$  by the diagonal morphism  $B \rightarrow \prod_{q \in \text{Spec}(B)} \kappa_q$ ,
- is respected by the product of the involutions  $(\bullet)^+ : \kappa_q \rightarrow \kappa_q$ .

(iii) The composite functor

$$\mathbb{T}_R\text{-mod}(\text{Set}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_R\text{-mod}(\text{Set}), \quad (A, (\bullet)^+) \longmapsto A \longmapsto A^+$$

is canonically isomorphic to the identity functor.

## Identification of the structure of regular rings:

Prove (i). For this, consider a regular ring  $(A, (\bullet)^+)$ .

- For any element  $a$  of  $A$ , one has

$$a^+ \cdot a^2 = a$$

and therefore, for any integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(a^+)^{n-1} \cdot a^n = a.$$

It follows that any nilpotent element of  $A$  is zero, and therefore that

$$A \longrightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}(A)} A/p$$

is an embedding.

- For any prime ideal  $p \in \text{Spec}(A)$  and any element  $a \in A$ , the images  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{a}^+$  of  $a$  and  $a^+$  in

$$A/p \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(A/p) = \kappa_p$$

satisfy the equations

$$\bar{a}^+ \cdot \bar{a}^2 = \bar{a} \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{a}^+)^2 \cdot \bar{a} = \bar{a}^+$$

which imply

$$\begin{cases} \bar{a}^+ = 0 & \text{if } \bar{a} = 0, \\ \bar{a}^+ = \bar{a}^{-1} & \text{if } \bar{a} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

## Construction of a left-adjoint:

Prove (ii). For this, consider

- a regular commutative ring  $(A, (\bullet)^+)$ ,
- a commutative ring  $B$ ,
- the smallest subring  $B^+$  of  $\prod_{q \in \text{Spec}(B)} \kappa_q$  which
  - $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{contains the image of } B \text{ by } B \rightarrow \prod_q \kappa_q, \\ \text{is respected by the product of the involutions } (\bullet)^+ : \kappa_q \rightarrow \kappa_q. \end{array} \right.$
- Any morphism of regular rings  $B^+ \rightarrow (A, (\bullet)^+)$  induces a morphism of commutative rings  $B \rightarrow A$ .
- Conversely, let us consider a morphism of commutative rings

$$u : B \longrightarrow A.$$

It induces a map  $u^{-1} : \text{Spec}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$

and, for any prime ideal  $p \in \text{Spec}(A)$  sent to  $u^{-1}(p) = q \in \text{Spec}(B)$ ,

a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B/q & \longrightarrow & A/p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \kappa_q & \longrightarrow & \kappa_p \end{array}$$

The pull-back of  $A \hookrightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}(A)} A/p \hookrightarrow \prod_p \kappa_p$  in the product  $\prod_{q \in \text{Spec}(B)} \kappa_q$

is a  $(\bullet)^+$ -stable subring which contains the image of  $B$ , so it contains  $B^+$ .



## Full faithfulness of the forgetting functor:

Prove (iii).

For any regular commutative ring  $(A, (\bullet)^+)$ , the embedding

$$A \hookrightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}(A)} A/p \hookrightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}(A)} \kappa_p$$

identifies  $A$  with the smallest subring

$$A^+ \hookrightarrow \prod_{p \in \text{Spec}(A)} \kappa_p$$

which  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{contains the image of } A, \\ \text{is respected by the product of the involutions } (\bullet)^+ : \kappa_p \rightarrow \kappa_p. \end{array} \right.$

**Corollary.** – The forgetting functor of the operation  $(\bullet)^+$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set}), \\ (A, (\bullet)^+) & \longmapsto & A \end{array}$$

is fully faithful.

## Transport of finitely presented models:

**Corollary.** – *The left-adjoint functor of the forgetting functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set}), \\ B & \longmapsto & B^+ \end{array}$$

*induces a functor*

$$\text{Sch}_{\text{apf}} = \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}^{\text{op}}$$

*which associates to any model of  $\mathbb{T}_a$  presented by a  $\mathbb{T}_a$ -Cartesian formula  $\varphi$  of  $\Sigma_a$*

$$\text{Spec}(B_\varphi)$$

*a model of  $\mathbb{T}_r$  presented by  $\varphi$  seen as a  $\mathbb{T}_r$ -Cartesian formula of  $\Sigma_r$ .*

**Proof.** – *Indeed, for any regular commutative ring  $(A, (\bullet)^+)$ , the set*

$$\text{Hom}(B_\varphi^+, (A, (\bullet)^+))$$

*identifies by adjunction with*

$$\text{Hom}(B_\varphi, A)$$

*and so with the interpretation of the formula  $\varphi$  in  $A$*

$$A\varphi.$$

## Regular rings presented by irreducible formulas:

**Corollary.** –

The irreducible formulas in the variable  $a$

$$a = 0,$$

$$(\exists b)(a \cdot b = 1)$$

present the transforms by the left-adjoint functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sch}_{\text{apf}} = \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}^{\text{op}} = \text{Sch}_{\text{arpf}} \\ \text{Spec}(\mathbf{B}) & \longmapsto & \text{Spec}(\mathbf{B}^+) \end{array}$$

of the closed embedding

$$0 : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}])$$

and of the open embedding

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}]).$$

## An alternative presentation of the classifying topos of field theory:

**Corollary.** –

The classifying topos of the theory  $\mathbb{T}_c$  of commutative fields can be presented in the form

$$(\widehat{\text{Sch}}_{\text{arpf}})_{J_c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}_c}$$

where  $J_c$  is the smallest topology of the full subcategory

$$\mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}^{\text{op}} = \text{Sch}_{\text{arpf}} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}_{\text{apf}} = \mathbb{T}_a\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}^{\text{op}}$$

for which the two morphisms

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}^+) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}]^+),$$

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^{-1}]^+) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{X}]^+)$$

form a covering.

## Identification of the generated topology:

**Corollary.** –

A sieve  $C$  on an object

$$(\mathrm{Spec}(A), (\bullet)^+)$$

of

$$\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathrm{arpf}} = \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\mathrm{Set})_{\mathrm{pf}}^{\mathrm{op}}$$

is  $J_C$ -covering if and only if

there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that,

for any subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$

and if  $A_I$  denotes the ring deduced from  $A$  by

cancelling elements  $a_i, i \in I$ , and inverting elements  $a_j, j \notin I$ ,

the morphism induced by adjunction

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A_I^+) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Spec}(A), (\bullet)^+)$$

is an element of  $C$ .

## Decomposition of regular commutative rings:

**Lemma.** – For any element  $a$  of a regular commutative ring  $A$ , one has

- $A/aA$  and  $A_a = A[X]/(a \cdot X - 1)$  are regular,
- the morphism  $A \rightarrow A/aA \times A_a$  is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** –

For any prime ideal  $p \in \text{Spec}(A)$ , the image of  $a$  in  $A/p$  is

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \in \text{Spec}(A/aA), \\ \text{invertible} & \text{if } p \in \text{Spec}(A_a). \end{cases}$$

The equations  $a^+ \cdot a^2 = a$  and  $(a^+)^2 \cdot a = a^+$  then imply that the image of  $a^+ \cdot a$  in  $A/p$  is

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \in \text{Spec}(A/aA), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \in \text{Spec}(A_a). \end{cases}$$

Hence the conclusion.

**Corollary.** –

On the category of regular affine schemes of finite presentation

$$\text{Sch}_{\text{arpf}} = \mathbb{T}_r\text{-mod}(\text{Set})_{\text{pf}}^{\text{op}},$$

the topology  $J_c$  is subcanonical.