V. Operations on topologies, generation formula and applications

Let's begin by introducing the presheaf Ω of sieves on objects of an essentially small category:

Definition. – Let C be an essentially small category. We denote Ω the presheaf

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Set}\,, \\ & X & \longmapsto & \Omega(X) = \textit{set of sieves of } \mathcal{C} \text{ on } X, \\ & X' \xrightarrow{x} X) & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} x^* : \Omega(X) & \to & \Omega(X')\,, \\ & \mathcal{C} & \mapsto & x^*\mathcal{C} = \{U \xrightarrow{u} X' \mid x \circ u \in \mathcal{C}\}\,. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Remark. – The presheaf Ω is called the "subobject classifier" of \widehat{C} because, for any presheaf *P*, the map

 $(P \xrightarrow{\chi} \Omega) \longmapsto (P_{\chi} : X \mapsto \{p \in P(X) \mid \chi(p) = \text{ maximal sieve on } X\})$ defines a bijection

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Hom}(P,\Omega) \longrightarrow \{ \text{set of subobjects } P' \hookrightarrow P \} \\ \text{nose } \underline{\operatorname{reverse bijection}} \text{ is } \\ (P' \hookrightarrow P) \longmapsto \\ \left(\chi \colon P \to \Omega, \left\{ \begin{array}{c} p \in P(X) \text{ seen as a} \\ \operatorname{morphism } \operatorname{Hom}(\bullet, X) \to P \end{array} \right\} \longmapsto \text{sieve } \operatorname{Hom}(\bullet, X) \times_P P' \right) . \end{array}$$

L. Lafforgue

wł

Subobjects of the subobject classifier:

We still consider the topos \widehat{C} of presheaves on an essentially small category C. The endomorphisms of its subobject classifier $\Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$ correspond to subobjects $D \longrightarrow \Omega$. Lemma. – The subobjects $D \longrightarrow \Omega$ are the maps $X \longmapsto D(X) =$ subset of $\Omega(X)$ which satisfy the axiom of "stability": For any morphism $X' \xrightarrow{x} X$ of C, the map $x^* : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(X')$ sends D(X) into D(X').

Remark. – Such a subobject $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$ is a topology on \mathcal{C}

if it additionally satisfies both axioms:

- Maximality: the maximal sieve on any object X of C is an element of the subset $D(X) \subseteq \Omega(X)$.
- Transitivity: the endomorphism which corresponds to *D*

 $\chi:\Omega\longrightarrow\Omega\text{ is }\underline{\text{idempotent}}\text{, i.e. }\chi\circ\chi=\chi\text{.}$

The sieve closing operation defined by a topology:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Lemma.} - \textit{For any } \underline{\textit{subobject}} \ D \hookrightarrow \Omega, \ \textit{the corresponding } \underline{\textit{endomorphism}} \\ \chi: \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega \end{array}$

associates with any sieve C on an object X the sieve on X

$$\overline{C} = \{ U \xrightarrow{u} X \mid u^*C \in D(U) \}.$$

Remarks. –

- If $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$ satisfies the axiom of maximality, we always have $C \subseteq \overline{C}$.
- In this case, D is a topology J if and only if

$$\overline{\widetilde{C}} = \overline{C}$$
 for any sieve *C*.

- If *D* is a topology *J*, a <u>sieve</u> *C* over *X* is called "<u>*J*-closed</u>" if $\overline{C} = C$ i.e. if an arrow $U \xrightarrow{u} X$ is in *C* as soon as it is locally in *C*.
- In this case, for any <u>sieve</u> C, we call J-<u>closure</u> of C the <u>sieve</u>

$$\overline{C} = \{ U \xrightarrow{u} X \mid u \text{ is locally in } C \}.$$

Intersections, unions and generated topologies:

The set of subobjects $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$ is endowed with the <u>order relation</u> defined by <u>inclusion</u>. Any family of subobjects has an intersection and a union.

Lemma. – For any family of topologies J_i , $i \in I$, on C, seen as subobjects $J_i \hookrightarrow \Omega$, their intersection is still a topology denoted $\bigwedge_{i \in I} J_i$.

Remark. -

On the other hand, a union of topologies is not in general a topology.

Corollary. -

(i) For any subobject $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$, there is a smallest topology J_D over C which contains D. It is called the topology generated by D.

(ii) In particular, for any family of topologies J_i , $i \in I$, on C,

there is a smallest topology $\bigvee_{i \in I} J_i$

which contains all topologies J_i , $i \in I$.

Intersections and unions of theories:

Let \mathbb{T}_0 be a geometric theory which admits $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ for classifying topos. Then the topologies J on \mathcal{C} correspond to the quotient theories of \mathbb{T}_0 \mathbb{T} considered up to equivalence.

Reminder. – The order relation between topologies on *C* corresponds to the order relation $\mathbb{T}_1 \leq \mathbb{T}_2$, defined by requiring that any geometric property which is \mathbb{T}_1 -provable is \mathbb{T}_2 -provable.

Corollary. -

(i) The intersection of topologies corresponds to the operation (T_i)_{i∈I} → ∧T_i
 defined by requiring that a geometric property be
 provable in ∧T_i
 if and apply if it is provable in each T_i i ∈ I

if and only if it is provable in each \mathbb{T}_i , $i \in I$.

(ii) The join of topologies corresponds to the operation $(\mathbb{T}_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbb{T}_i$ defined by requiring that $\bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbb{T}_i$ be the smallest theory in which a property is provable if it is provable in at least one of the theories \mathbb{T}_i , $i \in I$.

L. Lafforgue

The implication operation between topologies:

Proposition. – For any topology J on C, the <u>intersection functor</u> with Jhas a <u>right adjoint</u> $J \land \bullet : K \longmapsto J \land K$ $J' \longmapsto (J \Rightarrow J')$,

characterized by the property that, for any topology K, we have

if and only if

$$K \leq (J \Rightarrow J')$$

 $J \wedge K \leq J'$.

Remark. – In other words, if \mathbb{T}_0 is a theory classified by the topos \widehat{C} , the intersection functor with any theory \mathbb{T} quotient of \mathbb{T}_0

has a right adjoint

$$\mathbb{T} / 0$$

$$\mathbb{\Gamma}'\longmapsto(\mathbb{T}\Rightarrow\mathbb{T}')$$

characterized by the property that, for any quotient theory \mathbb{T}'' of \mathbb{T}_0 , we have if and only if $\mathbb{T}'' \leq (\mathbb{T} \Rightarrow \mathbb{T}')$ $\mathbb{T} \land \mathbb{T}'' < \mathbb{T}'.$

Distributivity of intersections and joins:

Corollary. -

(i) For any topologies J and J_i , $i \in I$, on C, we have

$$J \wedge \bigvee_{i \in I} J_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} (J \wedge J_i).$$

(ii) For any topologies J and J_1, \dots, J_n on C, we have

 $J \vee (J_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge J_n) = (J \vee J_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (J \vee J_n).$

Remark. – These properties carry over to the ordered set of quotient theories of a theory \mathbb{T}_0 classified by the topos $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proof. -

(i) The functor $J \land \bullet$ admits a right adjoint so it respects colimits $\bigvee_{i \in I}$

(ii) It suffices to consider the case n = 2. Then

$$(J \lor J_1) \land (J \lor J_2) = (J \land J) \lor (J_1 \land J) \lor (J \land J_2) \lor (J_1 \land J_2) = J \lor (J_1 \land J_2).$$

Beginning of the construction of the adjoint: a necessary condition

Lemma. – Let J, J' and K be three topologies on C such that

 $J \wedge K \leq J'$.

Consider

X an object of C, C a sieve on X which belongs to K(X), $(U \xrightarrow{u} X)$ a morphism, C' a sieve on U element of J(U), J'-closed and such that $u^*C \subseteq C'$.

Then the sieve C' on U is maximal.

Proof. -

The sieve *C'* over *U* is *J*-covering. It is also *K*-covering since it contains $u^*(C)$. As $J \wedge K \leq J'$, this implies that *C'* is *J'*-covering. As it is *J'*-closed, it contains $U \stackrel{\text{id}}{\longrightarrow} U$, that is to say is maximal.

Verification that the necessary condition defines a topology:

Proposition. – Let *J* and *J'* be two topologies on *C*. For any object *X* of *C*, let $D(X) \subseteq \Omega(X)$ be the <u>set of sieves</u> *C* on *X* such that, for <u>any morphism</u> $U \xrightarrow{u} X$ and <u>any sieve</u> *C'* on *U*, the conditions

- C' is J-covering, C' is J'-closed.
- C' contains $u^*(C)$

imply that C' is the maximal sieve. Then D is a topology on C.

Proof. -

- As the definition makes appear a quantification on all morphisms U → X of target X, D satisfies the axiom of stability, i.e. is a subobject D → Ω.
- *D* satisfies the axiom of maximality because, if *C* is the maximal sieve on *X*, its pull-back $u^*(C)$ by any $U \xrightarrow{u} X$ is the maximal sieve of *U*.

Verification of the transitivity axiom:

- We consider a sieve *C* on an object *X* of *C* and a sieve *C'* on *X*, element of D(X), such that for any element $U' \xrightarrow{u'} X$ of *C'*, we have $u'^*(C) \in D(U')$.
- It must be shown that this implies $C \in D(X)$.
- Let us therefore consider a morphism $U \xrightarrow{u} X$ and a sieve S on U which

is *J*-covering,
is
$$J'$$
-closed,
contains $u^*(C)$

It must be shown that S is necessarily the maximal sieve.

• For any element $U' \xrightarrow{u'} U$ of $u^*(C')$, we have $(u \circ u')^*(C) \in D(U')$ since $u \circ u' \in C'$. We also have that $\begin{cases} u'^*(S) \text{ is } J\text{-covering and } J'\text{-closed,} \\ \text{it } \underline{\text{contains}} (\overline{u \circ u'})^*(C), \end{cases}$

so $u'^*(S)$ is the <u>maximal sieve</u> on U' which means that $u' \in S$.

- So *S* is *J*-covering and J'-<u>closed</u> and it <u>contains</u> the sieve $u^*(C')$.
- This implies as intended that *S* is the maximal sieve over *U*.

L. Lafforgue

Grothendieck topologies, V

Joyal's "left" and "right" operators:

Definition. – In the context of a topos of presheaves \widehat{C} . we associate with any subobject $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$ the subobjects $D^{\ell} \hookrightarrow \Omega$ and $D^{r} \hookrightarrow \Omega$ defined by the formulas: (i) For any object X of C, $D^{\ell}(X) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} C = \underline{sieve} \text{ on } X \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } U \xrightarrow{u} X \text{ and any } C' \in D(U) \\ \text{such that } u^*(C) \subseteq C', \\ C' \text{ is necessarily the maximal sieve} \end{array} \right\}.$ (ii) For any object X of C, $D^{r}(X) = \left\{ C = \underline{sieve} \text{ on } X \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{for any } U \xrightarrow{u} X \text{ and any } C' \in D(U) \\ \text{such that } C' \subseteq u^{*}(C), \\ we \text{ necessarily have } u \in C \end{array} \right\}.$

Remarks. -

(i) D^ℓ and D^r satisfy the "stability" axiom because D^ℓ(X) and D^r(X) are defined by a condition which includes quantization over all U → X.
(ii) If D₁ ≤ D₂, we necessarily have D^ℓ₂ ≤ D^ℓ₁ and D^r₂ ≤ D^r₁.

11/41

Note on references:

- Joyal didn't publish himself his theory of operators $D \mapsto D^{\ell}$ and $D \mapsto D^{r}$.
- It is exposed in P. Johnstone's book
 "Sketches of an Elephant: a topos theory compendium".
- The definitions of these operators and their study are formulated by Johnstone within the framework and in the language of "elementary toposes", considered as a type of algebraic structure.
- This implies that, in this book, Joyal operators are defined by algebraic type formulas, which are written

$$D^{\ell} = \forall_{\pi_1}(\pi_2^*(D) \Rightarrow \theta) D^{r} = \forall_{\pi_2}(\pi_1^*(D) \Rightarrow \theta)$$

where π_1, π_2 are the two projections $\Omega \times \Omega \rightrightarrows \Omega$,

and $\theta \hookrightarrow \Omega \times \Omega$ is the equalizer of $\Omega \times \Omega \stackrel{_{\Lambda_2}}{\Rightarrow} \Omega$.

• The explanation of these definitions in terms of sieves, in the context of presheaf toposes, is given in chapter IV of the book of O. Caramello *"Theories, Sites, Toposes".*

A formula for calculating generated topologies:



Remarks:

- The Joyal theory presented by Johnstone includes a <u>characterization</u> of the operator in the framework of "elementary toposes".
- A proof of the equality $J_D = (D^r)^\ell$ within the framework of presheaf toposes is given in chapter IV of <u>Caramello's book</u>.

 $D \longmapsto (D^r)^\ell$

The main ingredients of the proof:

We already know that the operators

 $D \longmapsto D^{\ell}$ and $D \longmapsto D^{r}$

reverse order relation,

therefore we have for any $D_1 \leq D_2$ the order relation

 $(D_1^r)^{\ell} < (D_2^r)^{\ell}$.

 To prove the formula, it suffices to prove the three following properties:

For any subobject $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$, we have the order relation $D \leq (D^r)^{\ell}$

- For any subobject $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$, the subobject $D^{\ell} \hookrightarrow \Omega$
- (3) For any topology $J \hookrightarrow \Omega$, we have the fixed point property $(J^r)^{\ell} = J$.

Explicitation of the composite operator of Joyal:

Combining the two defining formulas of the operators

$$D\longmapsto D^{\ell}$$
 and $D\longmapsto D^{r}$,

we obtain :

Lemma. –

```
For any subobject D \hookrightarrow \Omega
and any object X of C,
(D^r)^{\ell}(X) is the set of sieves C over X
such that, for any morphism u : U \to X,
a sieve C' over U is the maximal sieve if
```

• it <u>contains</u> $u^*(C)$,

```
• for any morphism u' : U' \to U

<u>we have</u> u' \in C'

if u'^*(C') <u>contains at least one sieve</u> on U'

<u>which is an element of</u> D(U').
```

Verification of inequality (1):

Lemma. – For any subobject $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$, we have the <u>order relation</u> $D \leq (D^r)^{\ell}$.

Proof. -

• We have to show that, for any object X of C, any sieve $C \in D(X)$, and any morphism $u: U \to X$, a sieve C' on U is the maximal sieve if

$$\begin{cases} - & \text{it contains } u^*(C) \\ - & \text{for any morphism} u': U' \to U \\ & \underline{\text{we have } u' \in C'} \\ & \text{if } u'^*(C') \text{ contains at least one sieve} \\ & \text{which is an element of } D(U'). \end{cases}$$

• Indeed, for any sieve C' on U which satisfies these two conditions, we have for any morphism $u': U' \to U$

$$u^{\prime*}(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}) \geq u^{\prime*} \circ u^*(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U}^{\prime})$$

hence $u' \in C'$.

• As intended, this means that C' is the maximal sieve.

Verification of property (2): each D^{ℓ} is a topology

Proposition. – For any subobject $D \hookrightarrow \Omega$, the subobject

 $D^{\ell} \longrightarrow \Omega$

is a topology.

Proof. -

- For any object X of C, $D^{\ell}(X)$ is by definition the set of sieves C of X such that
 - for any $U \xrightarrow{u} X$ and any $C' \in D(U)$ verifying $u^*(C) \leq C'$,
 - C' is necessarily the maximal sieve.
- D^{ℓ} satisfies the axiom of "maximality": Indeed, if *C* is the maximal sieve over *X* the order relation $u^*(C) \le C'$ for $U \xrightarrow{u} X$ implies that *C'* is the maximal sieve over *U*.

Verification that D^{ℓ} satisfies the transitivity axiom:

• Consider a sieve *C* on an object *X* of *C* and a sieve $C' \in D^{\ell}(X)$ such that, for any $(U \xrightarrow{u} X) \in C'$, we have

 $u^*(\mathcal{C}) \in D^{\ell}(\mathcal{U})$.

• <u>Prove that</u> $C \in D^{\ell}(X)$ that is, for any morphism $u : U \to X$ and any sieve $S \in D(U)$, the <u>order relation</u>

 $u^*(C) \leq S$

implies that S is the <u>maximal sieve</u> of U.

• We have
$$u^*(C') \in D^{\ell}(U)$$
.
For any morphism $(U' \xrightarrow{u'} U) \in u^*(C')$, we have $u \circ u' \in C'$ with $u'^*(S) \in D(U')$ and $(u \circ u')^*(C) \le u'^*(S)$.

- We <u>deduce</u> that $u'^*(S)$ is the <u>maximal sieve</u> of U', <u>i.e.</u> $u' \in S$.
- In other words, we have $u^*(C') \leq S$.
- As we also have by hypothesis S ∈ D(U), we conclude as desired that S is the maximal sieve on U.

Verification of property (3) of fixity of topologies:

Proposition. – For any Grothendieck topology J on C seen as a subobject $\overline{J} \hookrightarrow \Omega$, we have the fixed point property

$$J = (J^r)^\ell$$
.

Proof. –

- We already know that $J \leq (J^r)^{\ell}$.
- We are reduced to showing that for any object X of C and any sieve C ∈ (J^r)^ℓ(X), we necessarily have C ∈ J(X).
- The hypothesis $C \in (J^r)^{\ell}(X)$ means that, for any morphism $u: U \to X$, a sieve C' on U is the maximal sieve if
 - it contains $u^*(C)$,
 - it contains any morphism u': U' → U such that the sieve u'*(C') on U' is J-covering.

End of the proof:

- In other words. the hypothesis $C \in (J^r)^{\ell}(X)$ means that, for any morphism $u: U \rightarrow X$, a sieve C' over \overline{U} is the maximal sieve if
 - $\begin{cases} \bullet & \text{it <u>contains</u>} u^*(C), \\ \bullet & \text{it is } J\text{-closed.} \end{cases}$
- This also means that, for any $u: U \rightarrow X$, the sieve

$$u^*(C)$$
 on U

is J-covering.

So we have as announced

 $C \in J(X)$.

 This completes the proof of the proposition, therefore also of the theorem.

The case of joins of topologies:

• We consider a family of topologies

 $J_i, i \in I,$

on a small category \mathcal{C} .

• Posing for any object X of C

$$D(X) = \bigcup_{i \in I} J_i(X) \subseteq \Omega(X),$$

we define a subobject

$$D \longrightarrow \Omega$$

which can be denoted simply

$$D = \bigcup_{i \in I} J_i$$
.

· We know from the previous theorem that

$$\bigvee_{i\in I} J_i = (D^r)^\ell.$$

• We are going to make explicit D^r and then $(D^r)^{\ell}$ if

$$D=\bigcup_{i\in I}J_i$$
.

L. Lafforgue

Closed sieves with respect to a family of topologies:

 $D^{r}(X)$

Lemma. – Suppose $D = \bigcup_{i \in I} J_i$ for topologies J_i on C. Then, for any object X of C,

is <u>the set of sieves</u> C on X which are closed with respect to each topology J_i , $i \in I$.

Proof. -

• By definition,

 $D^{r}(X)$ is the set of sieves *C* over *X* such that, for any morphism $U \xrightarrow{u} X$, we have $u \in C$

if there exists $i \in I$ and $C' \in J_i(U)$ verifying

$$C'\subseteq u^*C$$
,

i.e. $u \circ u' \in C$, $\forall (U' \xrightarrow{u'} U) \in C'$.

• This amounts to requiring that $C \text{ is } J_i \text{-} \text{closed}$, for any $i \in I$.

Closing a sieve with respect to a family of topologies:

Proposition. – Consider a family of topologies J_i , $i \in I$, on C. Then:

- (i) For any sieve *C* on an object *X* of *C* there exists a <u>smallest sieve</u> $\overline{\overline{C}}$, called the <u>closure</u> of *C* relative to all J_i , which
 - <u>contains</u> C,
 - is closed relative to each J_i , $i \in I$.
- (ii) For any morphism $U \xrightarrow{u} X$ of Cand any sieve C on X, we have

$$\overline{u^*(C)}=u^*(\overline{C}).$$

Proof. -

- (i) Any intersection of J_i -closed sieves is J_i -closed.
- (ii) The sieve $u^*(\overline{C})$ contains $u^*(C)$ and it is closed relatively to each J_i , $i \in I$. So we have

$$\overline{u^*(\mathcal{C})} \subseteq u^*(\overline{\mathcal{C}})$$
.

The reverse inclusion results from the following description:

Description of the closure of a sieve:

Lemma. – Consider a family of topologies J_i , $i \in I$, on C. Consider a sieve C on an object X of C. Then the <u>closure</u> of C relative to all J_i , $i \in I$,

consists of the morphisms

 $U \xrightarrow{u} X$

such that there exists a multicomposite family

$$U_k \xrightarrow{u_k} U_{k-1} \xrightarrow{u_{k-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow U_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} U_0 = U$$

verifying the following properties:



L. Lafforgue

Description of a union of topologies:

Corollary. – Consider a family of topologies J_i , $i \in I$, on C. Let

 $C \longmapsto \overline{C}$

be the sieve closing operation with respect to all J_i , $i \in I$. Then, for any object X of C,

$$\left(\bigvee_{i\in I}J_i\right)(X)$$

is the set of sieves C over X such that \overline{C} is the maximal sieve over X.

Proof. -

• Denoting $D = \bigcup_{i \in I} J_i$, it follows from the theorem that $\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} J_i\right)(X) = (D^r)^{\ell}(X)$

is the set of sieves *C* on *X* such that, for any morphism $U \xrightarrow{u} X$,

 $\overline{u^*(C)}$ is the maximal sieve of U.

• The conclusion follows from the formula $\overline{u^*(C)} = u^*(\overline{C})$.

The example of product categories:

- Consider small categories C_1, \dots, C_k endowed with topologies J_1, \dots, J_k .
- We have the product category $C_1 \times \cdots \times C_k$ whose objects are the families of objects of C_1, \cdots, C_k

$$(X_1,\cdots,X_k)$$

and whose morphisms

$$(U_1,\cdots,U_k)\longrightarrow (X_1,\cdots,X_k)$$

are the families of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_k$

$$(U_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} X_1, \cdots, U_k \xrightarrow{u_k} X_k).$$

 For any *i*, 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *k*, we can endow C₁ × · · · × C_k with the topology still denoted J_i for which a sieve on an object

$$(X_1,\cdots,X_k)$$

is covering if it contains a family of the form

$$(\mathrm{id}_{X_1},\cdots,\mathrm{id}_{X_{i-1}},u_i,\mathrm{id}_{X_{i+1}},\cdots,\mathrm{id}_{X_k})$$

where the $U_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X_i$ form a J_i -covering family of X_i .

The notion of product topology:

We therefore consider small categories

$$\mathcal{C}_1,\cdots,\mathcal{C}_k$$

endowed with topologies

 J_1, \cdots, J_k

and the product category

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}_k$$

endowed with the induced topologies

 J_1, \cdots, J_k .

Definition. – With these notations, the topology

 $J_1 \lor \cdots \lor J_k$

on $C_1 \times \cdots \times C_k$ can be called

the "product topology" of the J_i , $1 \le i \le k$,

and denoted

$$J_1 \times \cdots \times J_k$$

L. Lafforgue

Explicitation of the product topology:

We still consider small categories C_1, \dots, C_k endowed with topologies J_1, \dots, J_k and the induced topologies J_1, \dots, J_k on $C_1 \times \dots \times C_k$.

Corollary. – Let

$$\mathcal{C}\longmapsto\overline{\mathcal{C}}$$

be the operator that associates with any sieve

C on an object
$$(X_1, \dots, X_k)$$
 of $C_1 \times \dots \times C_k$

the smallest sieve

C containing C

```
which is closed relative to topologies J_1, \dots, J_k.
Then a sieve
```

C on (X_1, \dots, X_k)

is covering for the product topology $J_1 \times \cdots \times J_k$ if and only if

the sieve \overline{C}

is <u>maximal</u>.

Relation with products of topological spaces:

Consider the case where

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = O(X_1), \cdots, \mathcal{C}_k = O(X_k)$$

are the categories of non-empty open subsets of topological spaces $\overline{X_1, \dots, X_k}$.

• Then $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}_k$

is the full subcategory of the category

 $O(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k)$ of open subsets of $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$

consisting of objects which are products of non-empty open subsets.

• By construction of the topology of the product space

$$X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$$

the full subcategory

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}_k \longrightarrow O(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k)$$

is <u>dense</u>.

Corollary. – The topos of sheaves on the product space $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$ identifies with the topos of sheaves on the category

 $O(X_1) \times \cdots \times O(X_k)$

endowed with the topology induced by that of $O(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k)$.

L. Lafforgue

Product topological spaces and product topologies:

- We consider topological spaces X_1, \dots, X_k and their categories of non-empty open subsets with their canonical topologies J_1, \dots, J_k . $O(X_1), \dots, O(X_k)$
- A sieve on an object

 $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_k$ of $O(X_1) \times \cdots \times O(X_k) \hookrightarrow O(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k)$ is a <u>subset</u> $C \subseteq O(U_1) \times \cdots \times O(U_k)$ which <u>contains any element</u> of $O(U_1) \times \cdots \times O(U_k)$ <u>smaller than an element of</u> C.

• Such a sieve *C* is J_i -<u>closed</u> if it contains any element $U'_1 \times \cdots \times U'_k$

such that U'_i is covered by open subsets U''_i which verify the property that the

$$U'_1 \times \cdots \times U'_{i-1} \times U''_i \times U'_{i+1} \times \cdots \times U'_k$$
 are elements of C .

• We denote $C \mapsto \overline{C}$ the operator that associates with any sieve *C* on an object $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_k$ the smallest sieve containing *C* which is J_i -closed for all $i, 1 \le i \le k$.

L. Lafforgue

Comparison of the product topology and the topology of the product:

We still consider topological spaces X_1, \dots, X_k and the canonical topologies J_1, \dots, J_k on the categories of non-empty open subsets $O(X_1), \dots, O(X_k)$.

Proposition. -

(i) The product topology $J_1 \times \cdots \times J_k$ on

$$O(X_1) \times \cdots \times O(X_k) \hookrightarrow O(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k)$$

is <u>contained</u> in the topology induced by that of the product topological space $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$.

(ii) They are equal if k - 1 of the k spaces X_1, \dots, X_k are locally compact.

Remark. – We recall that a topological space *X* is "locally compact" if any open subset \overline{U} of *X* can be written as a union of open subsets $V \subseteq U$ whose closures $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ are compact spaces.

Application to a "pointless" characterization of the topology on a product space:

Corollary. – Consider topological spaces X_1, \dots, X_k which are "locally compact", except maybe one of them. Let J be the topology on the product of categories of non-empty open subsets

$$O(X_1) \times \cdots \times O(X_k) \longrightarrow O(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k)$$

which is induced by the canonical topology of $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$. Then a sieve

C on an object $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_k$ of $O(X_1) \times \cdots \times O(X_k)$ is covering if and only if

 $\overline{C} = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{smallest sieve containing } C}{\text{which is <u>closed</u> relatively to}} \\ \text{the canonical topology } J_i \text{ of each factor } O(X_i) \end{cases}$

is the maximal sieve.

L. Lafforgue

Proof of the identity of the two topologies:

(i) It is obvious that the topology $J_1 \times \cdots \times J_k$ on $O(X_1) \times \cdots \times O(X_k)$ is <u>contained</u> in that induced by the embedding

 $O(X_1) \times \cdots \times O(X_k) \hookrightarrow O(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k).$

- For the reverse inclusion, it is enough to consider the case of a product of two spaces X and Y such that X is locally compact.
 - It suffices to show that if \overline{C} is a sieve of $X \times Y$ which is covering in the ordinary sense, then \overline{C} is the maximal sieve.
 - Let U be an open subset of X such that U is compact and y be an element of Y. For any x ∈ U there exists in C an object U_x × V_x with x ∈ U_x and y ∈ V_x. The compact space U is covered by the U_x hence by a finite family U_{x1}, ..., U_{xn}. Posing V_y = V_{x1} ∩ ··· ∩ V_{xn}, the sieve C contains the U_{xi} × V_y, so the sieve C contains U × V_y.
 So C contains U × Y.
 - We conclude that C contains X × Y since X is a union of open subsets U such that U is compact.

A deduction theorem in geometric logic:

Let's give the following application of the generated topology calculation formula:

Theorem (Caramello). -

Let \mathbb{T} be a geometric theory of signature Σ . Let φ and ψ be two geometric formulas without free variable in the signature Σ . Suppose that the implication with no free variable

$$\top \vdash \psi$$

is provable in the quotient theory of $\mathbb T$ defined by adding the axiom

⊤⊢φ.

Then the implication

 $\phi \vdash \psi$

is provable in the theory \mathbb{T} .

Remark. - The converse is obvious.

Geometric translation of the theorem:

• We consider

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}} = \text{geometric syntactic category of } \mathbb{T}, \\ J = J_{\mathbb{T}} = \text{syntactic topology of } \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}} = \overbrace{(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}})_{t_{\mathbb{T}}}}^{t_{\mathbb{T}}} = \text{classifying topos of } \mathbb{T}, \end{array}$

equipped with the canonical functor

$$\ell: \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{y} \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{j^*} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J = \mathcal{E}$$

which is fully faithful.

• The formula \top defines the terminal object 1 of C and the formulas without free variable ϕ and ψ define two subobjects

 $m \longrightarrow 1$ and $n \longrightarrow 1$.

The implication

$$\phi \vdash \psi$$

is provable in $\mathbb T$ if and only if the monomorphism

$$m \wedge n \longrightarrow m$$

is *J*-covering.

L. Lafforgue

Topological form of the deduction theorem:

- Consider an essentially small Cartesian category C and its terminal object 1.
- For a subobject m → 1, denote J_m the topology of C that it generates: a sieve C on an object X is J_m-covering if and only if it contains the monomorphism

$$m \times_1 X \longrightarrow X$$
.

We are reduced to proving:

Theorem. – Under the above conditions, consider also a <u>subobject</u> $n \rightarrow 1$, and a topology J of C.

Then the monomorphism

$$m \wedge n \longrightarrow m$$

is J-covering if (and only if) the monomorphism

 $n \longrightarrow 1$

is covering for the topology generated by J and J_m .

Implication operators between subobjects in toposes:

In order to prove the previous topological theorem, we need the implication operators in toposes:

Proposition. – Let \mathcal{E} be a topos.

 (i) For any subobjects S₁ and S₂ of an object E of E there exists a unique subobject

 $(S_1 \Rightarrow S_2) \hookrightarrow E$

characterized by the property that, for any subobject $S \hookrightarrow E$, we have

 $S \leq (S_1 \Rightarrow S_2)$

if and only if

 $S \wedge S_1 \leq S_2$.

(ii) For any morphism $E' \xrightarrow{e} E$ of \mathcal{E} and for any subobjects S_1 and S_2 of E, we have

$$e^{-1}(S_1 \Rightarrow S_2) = (e^{-1}S_1 \Rightarrow e^{-1}S_2)$$

denoting e^{-1} the pull-back functor

$$(S \hookrightarrow E) \longmapsto (S \times_E E' \hookrightarrow E').$$

Proof of the topological theorem:

- Assume that the existence of implication operators \Rightarrow is known as well as their compatibility with pull-backs.
- Let C be the sieve on the terminal object 1 of C

consisting in morphisms $X \xrightarrow{\rho} 1$ such that $\ell(p)$ factors through the subsheaf

$$(\ell(m) \Rightarrow \ell(n)) \hookrightarrow \ell(1).$$

- We are going to prove the following properties of the sieve C:
 - The monomorphism $n \hookrightarrow 1$ is an element of *C*.
 - (2) The sieve *C* is *J*-closed. (3) The sieve *C* is J_m -closed.
- These properties and the generated topology calculation formula imply that, if $n \hookrightarrow 1$ is covering for the topology $J \lor J_m$. then C is the maximal sieve of the object 1 of C.
- In other words, we have

$$\ell(m) \leq \ell(n)$$

which means as wanted that

$$m \wedge n \longrightarrow m$$
 is *J*-covering.

L. Lafforgue

Grothendieck topologies, V

Verification of the properties of the sieve of implications:

The sieve C on the terminal object 1 of C defined by the subsheaf

 $(\ell(m) \Rightarrow \ell(n)) \longrightarrow \ell(1)$

has the following properties:

(1) It contains the monomorphism $n \hookrightarrow 1$. Indeed, we have the inclusion

 $\ell(m) \wedge \ell(n) \leq \ell(n)$.

(2) It is *J*-<u>closed</u>.

This follows from the fact that it is defined by a sub-presheaf of $\ell(1)$ which is a sheaf for the topology *J*.

(3) It is J_m -<u>closed</u>.

Indeed, for any morphism $X \xrightarrow{p} 1$ such that $m \times_1 X \hookrightarrow X \xrightarrow{p} 1$ is in *C*, $\ell(m \times_1 X) \hookrightarrow \ell(X)$ factorizes through

$$(\ell(m \times_1 X) \Rightarrow \ell(n \times_1 X)) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \ell(X)$$

which means $\ell(m \times_1 X) \leq \ell(n \times_1 X)$

i.e. $p^*(\ell(m) \Rightarrow \ell(n)) = \ell(X)$ and $(X \xrightarrow{p} 1) \in C$.

Back to implication operators:

- The proof of the deduction theorem and its topological variant which implies it will be complete if we prove that:
 - (i) For any object E of a topos \mathcal{E} there exists an implication operator \Rightarrow between subobjects of E.
 - (ii) This operator is respected by the functor of pull-backs of subobjects defined by a morphism $e: E' \to E$ of \mathcal{E} .
- For (i), the intersection functor with a subobject $S_1 \hookrightarrow E$

$$S \longmapsto S \wedge S_1$$

admits a right adjoint

$$\textbf{\textit{S}}_{2}\longmapsto(\textbf{\textit{S}}_{1}\Rightarrow\textbf{\textit{S}}_{2})$$

because it respects colimits.

Indeed, for any subobject $S_2 \hookrightarrow E$

and if we consider the family of subobjects S' of E such that

$$S' \wedge S_1 \leq S_2$$
,

their union $(S_1 \Rightarrow S_2)$ still satisfies the inequality

$$(S_1 \Rightarrow S_2) \wedge S_1 \leq S_2$$
.

Implication operators and pull-backs:

• Considering a morphism of a topos \mathcal{E} $e: E' \longrightarrow E$ and two subobjects S_1, S_2 of E, we must verify that

$$e^{-1}(S_1 \Rightarrow S_2) = (e^{-1}S_1 \Rightarrow e^{-1}S_2)$$
.

• We can assume that $\mathcal{E} = \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J$ is the topos of sheaves on a site (\mathcal{C}, J) , therefore is written as a subtopos of a topos of presheaves

$$\mathcal{E} = \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J \xrightarrow{(j^*, j_*)} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$$

 As *j*^{*} and *j*_{*} respect finite limits and *j*^{*} ∘ *j*_{*} identifies with the functor id of C
_J, we have for all subobjects *S*₁, *S*₂ of any object *E* of *E* = C
_J

$$(S_1 \Rightarrow S_2) = j^*(j_*S_1 \Rightarrow j_*S_2).$$

This <u>reduces the verification</u> to the case where

 $\mathcal{E} = \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is the topos of presheaves on \mathcal{C} .

• If $\mathcal{E} = \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$, we have the formula for any object X of \mathcal{C}

$$(S_1 \Rightarrow S_2)(X) = \begin{cases} x \in E(X) \mid \forall (U \xrightarrow{u} X) = \text{morphism of } \mathcal{C}, \\ E(u)(x) \in S_2(U) \cup (E(U) - S_1(U)) \end{cases}$$

Grothendieck topologies, V