Distance in random planar maps

Jérémie Bouttier based on joint work with E. Guitter, earlier with P. Di Francesco

Institut de Physique Théorique, CEA Saclay

Rencontre IPhT-IHÉS, 18 mars 2010

Planar map: connected (multi)graph embedded in the sphere and considered up to continuous deformation.



(aka planar diagrams, fatgraphs, dessins d'enfants...) *Quadrangulation*: every face has degree 4

Geodesic (or graph) distance: minimal number of consecutive edges connecting two given vertices.



Random map: any "reasonable" probability distribution over {maps of given "size" }, e.g uniform distribution over {quadrangulations with n faces}.

What can be said about the metric properties of random maps, especially in the large size limit ?

What can be said about the metric properties of random maps, especially in the large size limit ?

Universality: in all "reasonable" cases, the typical (and maximal) distance is of order (size)^{1/4}. Upon renormalizing the distances by this factor, we expect to find a same random compact continuous metric space in the limit.

What can be said about the metric properties of random maps, especially in the large size limit ?

Universality: in all "reasonable" cases, the typical (and maximal) distance is of order $(size)^{1/4}$. Upon renormalizing the distances by this factor, we expect to find a same random compact continuous metric space in the limit.

This is an interesting object, similar to the Brownian motion which is the limit of discrete random walks. It models a discrete random surface.

What can be said about the metric properties of random maps, especially in the large size limit ?

Universality: in all "reasonable" cases, the typical (and maximal) distance is of order $(size)^{1/4}$. Upon renormalizing the distances by this factor, we expect to find a same random compact continuous metric space in the limit.

This is an interesting object, similar to the Brownian motion which is the limit of discrete random walks. It models a discrete random surface.

Interested people in the region: combinatorists around LIX (G. Schaeffer, Cori), probabilists around Orsay (Le Gall, Miermont)... and some theoretical physicists in Saclay.

What can be said about the metric properties of random maps, especially in the large size limit ?

Universality: in all "reasonable" cases, the typical (and maximal) distance is of order $(size)^{1/4}$. Upon renormalizing the distances by this factor, we expect to find a same random compact continuous metric space in the limit.

This is an interesting object, similar to the Brownian motion which is the limit of discrete random walks. It models a discrete random surface.

Interested people in the region: combinatorists around LIX (G. Schaeffer, Cori), probabilists around Orsay (Le Gall, Miermont)... and some theoretical physicists in Saclay.

Previous results in the physics literature (Ambjørn-Watabiki). Also Liouville field theory but do we speak about the same distance ?

What can be said about the metric properties of random maps, especially in the large size limit ?

Universality: in all "reasonable" cases, the typical (and maximal) distance is of order $(size)^{1/4}$. Upon renormalizing the distances by this factor, we expect to find a same random compact continuous metric space in the limit.

This is an interesting object, similar to the Brownian motion which is the limit of discrete random walks. It models a discrete random surface.

Interested people in the region: combinatorists around LIX (G. Schaeffer, Cori), probabilists around Orsay (Le Gall, Miermont)... and some theoretical physicists in Saclay.

Previous results in the physics literature (Ambjørn-Watabiki). Also Liouville field theory but do we speak about the same distance ? Our approach: study metric properties of large random maps using bijections with trees and integrability. Here is a flavor.



Start with a pointed planar quadrangulation (marked vertex: origin).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Each vertex v receives a label $\ell(v)$ equal to its graph distance from the origin.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



 $|\ell(v) - \ell(v')| = 1$ if v and v' are neighbors on the quadrangulation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Two types of faces.



Create a new edge within each face depending on the type.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



Apply the Schaeffer rules independently within each face.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Remove the isolated origin.



(日)、

æ

Obtain a well-labeled tree (with minimal label 1).



Extension to more general classes of maps: [BDG04-07]

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Integrability

We consider generating functions for quadrangulations with a weight g per face (per edge for trees). For well-labeled trees we easily find a recursive equation:



This is valid for $\ell > 0$ with the boundary condition $R_0 = 0$.

Integrability

The solution is $R_\ell = R \frac{[\ell][\ell+3]}{[\ell+1][\ell+2]}$ where $[\ell] \equiv \frac{1-x^\ell}{1-x}$

and

$$R(g) = rac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 12g}}{6g}$$
 $x(g) + rac{1}{x(g)} + 1 = rac{1}{gR(g)^2}.$

The property of "integrability" appears in a more general context [BDG03].

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The two-point function

Find the law for the distance between two random vertices in a random quadrangulation.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The two-point function

Find the law for the distance between two random vertices in a random quadrangulation.



Solved above ! [BDG 2003] The generating function for quadrangulations with two marked vertices at distance $\leq d$ is log R_d .

Find the probability distribution for the pairwise distances between three random vertices in a random quadrangulation.



For the three-point function we need a generalization of the Schaeffer bijection found by Miermont. It involves multiply-pointed (*sources*) quadrangulations and results into *well-labeled maps*.

For the three-point function we need a generalization of the Schaeffer bijection found by Miermont. It involves multiply-pointed (*sources*) quadrangulations and results into *well-labeled maps*. Here we will need three sources and we obtain well-labeled maps with three faces (delayed Voronoi cells).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

For the three-point function we need a generalization of the Schaeffer bijection found by Miermont. It involves multiply-pointed (*sources*) quadrangulations and results into *well-labeled maps*. Here we will need three sources and we obtain well-labeled maps with three faces (delayed Voronoi cells).



We introduce the useful parametrization:

$$d_{12} = s + t$$
 $d_{23} = t + u$ $d_{31} = u + s$

Planar quadrangulations with three marked points at prescribed pairwise distances d_{12} , d_{23} , d_{31} are in one-to-one correspondence with well-labeled maps of this generic type [BG08]:



Such an object can be decomposed into pieces that are special well-labeled trees, which we are able to enumerate.

Such an object can be decomposed into pieces that are special well-labeled trees, which we are able to enumerate. For instance:



 $X_{s,t} = 1 + gR_sR_t X_{s,t} (1 + gR_{s+1}R_{t+1}X_{s+1,t+1})$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

Such an object can be decomposed into pieces that are special well-labeled trees, which we are able to enumerate. For instance:



 $X_{s,t} = 1 + gR_sR_t X_{s,t} (1 + gR_{s+1}R_{t+1}X_{s+1,t+1})$

$$X_{s,t} = \frac{[3][s+1][t+1][s+t+3]}{[1][s+3][t+3][s+t+1]}$$

In the end, the generating function for triply-pointed quadrangulations is

$$G(d_{12}, d_{23}, d_{31}) = \Delta_s \Delta_t \Delta_u F(s, t, u)$$

where

$$F(s,t,u) = \frac{[3]([s+1][t+1][u+1][s+t+u+3])^2}{[1]^3[s+t+1][s+t+3][t+u+1][t+u+3][u+s+1][u+s+3]}$$

 and

$$\Delta_s f(s) \equiv f(s) - f(s-1)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

In the end, the generating function for triply-pointed quadrangulations is

$$G(d_{12}, d_{23}, d_{31}) = \Delta_s \Delta_t \Delta_u F(s, t, u)$$

where

$$F(s,t,u) = \frac{[3]([s+1][t+1][u+1][s+t+u+3])^2}{[1]^3[s+t+1][s+t+3][t+u+1][t+u+3][u+s+1][u+s+3]}$$

and

$$\Delta_s f(s) \equiv f(s) - f(s-1)$$

We can now deduce from our expression the probability distribution for distances in random planar quadrangulations of large size n. This is obtained through a contour integral and a saddle point expansion around the critical point $g_c = 1/12$:

$$g = \frac{1}{12}(1 - \Lambda \epsilon)$$
 $d = D\epsilon^{-1/4}$ $\epsilon \ll 1$

The two-point function yields

$$G(d;g) \equiv \log R_d/R_{d-1} \sim \epsilon^{3/4} \mathcal{G}(D;\alpha)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(D; \alpha) \equiv 4\alpha^3 \frac{\cosh(\alpha D)}{\sinh^3(\alpha D)} \qquad \alpha \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \Lambda^{1/4}$$

in agreement with [Ambjørn-Watabiki 1996].

The two-point function yields

$$G(d;g) \equiv \log R_d/R_{d-1} \sim \epsilon^{3/4} \mathcal{G}(D;\alpha)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(D; \alpha) \equiv 4\alpha^3 \frac{\cosh(\alpha D)}{\sinh^3(\alpha D)} \qquad \alpha \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \Lambda^{1/4}$$

in agreement with [Ambjørn-Watabiki 1996].

Going back to the canonical ensemble (fixed size) we find the probability density for D:

$$\rho(D) = \frac{2}{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \, \xi \, e^{-\xi^2} \mathcal{G}(D; \sqrt{-3\mathrm{i}\xi/2})$$

The two-point function yields

$$G(d;g) \equiv \log R_d/R_{d-1} \sim \epsilon^{3/4} \mathcal{G}(D;\alpha)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(D; \alpha) \equiv 4\alpha^3 rac{\cosh(\alpha D)}{\sinh^3(\alpha D)} \qquad \alpha \equiv \sqrt{rac{3}{2}} \Lambda^{1/4}$$

in agreement with [Ambjørn-Watabiki 1996].

Going back to the canonical ensemble (fixed size) we find the probability density for D:



$$\rho(D_{23}, D_{31}|D_{12}) \equiv \frac{\rho(D_{12}, D_{23}, D_{31})}{\rho(D_{12})}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

for D_{23} , D_{31} when D_{12} is fixed.

$$\rho(D_{23}, D_{31}|D_{12}) \equiv \frac{\rho(D_{12}, D_{23}, D_{31})}{\rho(D_{12})}$$

for D_{23} , D_{31} when D_{12} is fixed.



$$\rho(D_{23}, D_{31}|D_{12}) \equiv \frac{\rho(D_{12}, D_{23}, D_{31})}{\rho(D_{12})}$$

for D_{23} , D_{31} when D_{12} is fixed.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\rho(D_{23}, D_{31}|D_{12}) \equiv \frac{\rho(D_{12}, D_{23}, D_{31})}{\rho(D_{12})}$$

for D_{23} , D_{31} when D_{12} is fixed.



(日)、

In another work [BG07-08] we have studied the properties of geodesic paths themselves.



A summary of our findings:

 We compute exactly a generating function for planar quadrangulations with a marked geodesic.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A summary of our findings:

- We compute exactly a generating function for planar quadrangulations with a marked geodesic.
- ► The mean number of geodesics between two given vertices at distance d ∝ n^{1/4} is 3 × 2^d.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A summary of our findings:

- We compute exactly a generating function for planar quadrangulations with a marked geodesic.
- ► The mean number of geodesics between two given vertices at distance d ∝ n^{1/4} is 3 × 2^d.

 However for two generic vertices, any two geodesics connecting them are indistinguishable on a macroscopic (\$\approx n^{1/4}\$) scale.

This can be seen by studying a specific case of the previous construction: u = 0, $d_{12} = s + t$, $d_{23} = t$, $d_{31} = s$.



[Miermont 2007]

A summary of our findings:

- We compute exactly a generating function for planar quadrangulations with a marked geodesic.
- ► The mean number of geodesics between two given vertices at distance d ∝ n^{1/4} is 3 × 2^d.
- ► However for two generic vertices, any two geodesics connecting them are indistinguishable on a macroscopic (∝ n^{1/4}) scale. In the continuous object, there is a unique geodesic connecting two generic points.

A summary of our findings:

- We compute exactly a generating function for planar quadrangulations with a marked geodesic.
- ► The mean number of geodesics between two given vertices at distance d ∝ n^{1/4} is 3 × 2^d.
- ► However for two generic vertices, any two geodesics connecting them are indistinguishable on a macroscopic (∝ n^{1/4}) scale. In the continuous object, there is a unique geodesic connecting two generic points.
- ► There are some pairs of exceptional vertices connected by several macroscopically disjoint geodesics. We find that for k geodesics the number of such pairs is of order n^{(11-3k)/4}.

A summary of our findings:

- We compute exactly a generating function for planar quadrangulations with a marked geodesic.
- ► The mean number of geodesics between two given vertices at distance d ∝ n^{1/4} is 3 × 2^d.
- ► However for two generic vertices, any two geodesics connecting them are indistinguishable on a macroscopic (∝ n^{1/4}) scale. In the continuous object, there is a unique geodesic connecting two generic points.
- There are some pairs of exceptional vertices connected by several macroscopically disjoint geodesics. We find that for k geodesics the number of such pairs is of order n^{(11-3k)/4}. See also [Le Gall 2008].

Le Gall has shown the surprising phenomenon of *confluence* of geodesics.



Consider the tree obtained by Schaeffer's bijection with v_3 as origin:

Consider the tree obtained by Schaeffer's bijection with v_3 as origin:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Consider the tree obtained by Schaeffer's bijection with v_3 as origin:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Consider the tree obtained by Schaeffer's bijection with v_3 as origin:



In the discrete setting these correspond to particular geodesics, nevertheless in the scaling limit this makes no difference. We have $\delta \propto n^{1/4}$.

We were able to compute the continuous law for δ ($\delta \rightarrow \delta \cdot n^{-1/4}$):

$$\begin{split} \sigma(\delta) &= \frac{3}{i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \, e^{-\xi^2} \sqrt{-3i\xi/2} e^{-2\delta\sqrt{-3i\xi/2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \Big\{ \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})_0 F_2(\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}, -\frac{9\delta^4}{64}) - 3\delta^2 \Gamma(\frac{5}{4})_0 F_2(\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}\}, -\frac{9\delta^4}{64}) \\ &+ \sqrt{3\pi}\delta^3_0 F_2(\{\frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{4}\}, -\frac{9\delta^4}{64}) \Big\} \end{split}$$



The shape of a triangle will actually look like:



э

The shape of a triangle will actually look like:



Our computation of the three-point function can be refined in order to obtain the joint law for all six parameters: $D'_{12}, D'_{23}, D'_{23}, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$. All these quantities have the same mean value.

 Technically, we have developed a diagrammatric approach to compute metric properties of random quadrangulations (and more).

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

- Technically, we have developed a diagrammatric approach to compute metric properties of random quadrangulations (and more).
- We find that the Brownian map has a structure inbetween the sphere and a tree.

- Technically, we have developed a diagrammatric approach to compute metric properties of random quadrangulations (and more).
- We find that the Brownian map has a structure inbetween the sphere and a tree.
- Do we consider the "right" metric? What if we consider an "unreasonable" class of maps (coupled to a critical statphys model, with scale-free degree distributions...)?

- Technically, we have developed a diagrammatric approach to compute metric properties of random quadrangulations (and more).
- We find that the Brownian map has a structure inbetween the sphere and a tree.
- Do we consider the "right" metric? What if we consider an "unreasonable" class of maps (coupled to a critical statphys model, with scale-free degree distributions...)?
- Current work: a better understanding of the "integrability" property through a connection with continued fractions.