# Non-linear structure formation with massive neutrinos

Yacine Ali-Haïmoud and Simeon Bird (IAS) MNRAS, 2013

Séminaire IHES, 10 octobre 2013

#### Neutrinos masses

From oscillation experiments:

 $m_2^2 - m_1^2 \approx (0.009 \text{ eV})^2$ ,  $|m_3^2 - m_1^2| \approx (0.05 \text{ eV})^2$ 

Either "normal" or "inverted" hierarchies



#### Neutrinos masses

- Total mass not (yet) measured by particle physics experiments, but must be at least  $\sum m_{\nu} \gtrsim 0.06 \text{ eV}$  (normal hierarchy) or  $\sum m_{\nu} \gtrsim 0.1 \text{ eV}$  (inverted hierarchy)
- Cosmological observations mostly probe the total mass. If sensitive enough can eventually lead to the absolute neutrino masses.

Current constraint:  $\sum m_v \leq 0.2 - 0.3 \text{ eV}$ 

### Cosmological neutrinos

- Decouple at T ~ I MeV, while ultra-relativistic.
- Keep a relativistic Fermi-Dirac distribution

 $f(p,z) = \frac{g}{h^3} \left( \exp\left[\frac{p}{T_{\nu}(z)}\right] + 1 \right)^{-1}, \quad T_{\nu}(z) = (1+z)T_{\nu}(0)$ 

 $T_{\nu}(0) = 1.95 \text{ K} = 1.68 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$ 

- Become non-relativistic at  $z_{\rm nr} \approx 200 \ \frac{\sum m_{\nu}}{0.3 \ {\rm eV}}$
- Contribute a fraction of the total DM

$$f_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Omega_m h^2} \frac{\sum m_{\nu}}{94 \text{eV}} \approx 0.02 \ \frac{\sum m_{\nu}}{0.3 \text{eV}}$$

## Cosmological effects

• Affect the *background expansion* (in particular time of matter-radiation equality), hence CMB. WMAP + H0 + BAO:  $\sum m_V \leq 0.6 \text{ eV}$ Planck +WMAP +SPT+ACT+BAO:  $\sum m_V \leq 0.23 \text{ eV}$ 



### Cosmological effects

 Slow down the growth of structure on scales smaller than the free-streaming scale.



## Cosmological effects

- Most LSS probes are sensitive to mildly non-linear modes (Ly α, galaxy distribution) or to full nonlinear evolution (clusters).
- Current constraints:  $\sum m_{v} \leq 0.2-0.3$  eV. Could get much better in future, provided we model their effect accurately enough.
- Neutrinos are "simple" (gravity only!), so we should be able to model their effect very precisely.

## Nonlinear regime: I) higher-order perturbations

 $\begin{aligned} \text{For } n &= 3, \text{ the continuity and Euler equations are given by} \\ &3\dot{a}(\tau)a^2(\tau)g_3(\mathbf{k},\tau)\delta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) + a^3(\tau)\dot{g}_3(\mathbf{k},\tau)\delta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) + \dot{a}(\tau)a^2(\tau)h_3(\mathbf{k},\tau)\theta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \dot{a}(\tau)a^2(\tau)\frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int \int \int d\mathbf{q}_1 d\mathbf{q}_2 d\mathbf{q}_3 \delta_D(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3 - \mathbf{k})\delta_{1,c}(\mathbf{q}_1)\delta_{1,c}(\mathbf{q}_2)\delta_{1,c}(\mathbf{q}_3) \\ &\times \left[\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{q_1^2} g_1(\mathbf{q}_1)g_2(\mathbf{q}_{23})F_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{q}_2,\mathbf{q}_3) + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{12}}{q_{12}^2} h_2(\mathbf{q}_{12})g_1(\mathbf{q}_3)G_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{q}_1,\mathbf{q}_2)\right] \\ &\equiv \dot{a}(\tau)a^2(\tau)A_3(\mathbf{k}), \\ &\left[\ddot{a}(\tau)a^2(\tau) + 2\dot{a}^2(\tau)a(\tau)\right]h_3(\mathbf{k},\tau)\theta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) + \dot{a}(\tau)a^2(\tau)\dot{h}_3(\mathbf{k},\tau)\theta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{2}{\tau}\dot{a}(\tau)a^2(\tau)h_3(\mathbf{k},\tau)\theta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &+ \frac{6}{\tau^2}a^3(\tau)\delta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{6}{\tau^2}\frac{k^2}{k_J^2}a^3(\tau)\delta_{3,c}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \dot{a}^2(\tau)a(\tau)\frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int \int \int d\mathbf{q}_1 d\mathbf{q}_2 d\mathbf{q}_3 \delta_D(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3 - \mathbf{k})\delta_{1,c}(\mathbf{q}_1)\delta_{1,c}(\mathbf{q}_2)\delta_{1,c}(\mathbf{q}_3) \\ &\times \left[-\frac{k^2(\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_{23})}{2q_{12}^2q_3}g_1(\mathbf{q}_1)h_2(\mathbf{q}_{23})G_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{q}_2,\mathbf{q}_3) - \frac{k^2(\mathbf{q}_{12}\cdot\mathbf{q}_3)}{2q_{12}^2q_3}h_2(\mathbf{q}_{12})g_1(\mathbf{q}_3)G_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{q}_1,\mathbf{q}_2) \\ &- \frac{3}{4}\frac{k^2}{k_J^2}g_1(\mathbf{q}_1)g_2(\mathbf{q}_{23})F_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{q}_2,\mathbf{q}_3) - \frac{3}{4}\frac{k^2}{k_J^2}g_2(\mathbf{q}_{12})g_1(\mathbf{q}_3)F_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{q}_1,\mathbf{q}_2) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{k^2}{k_J^2}g_1(\mathbf{q}_1)g_1(\mathbf{q}_2)g_1(\mathbf{q}_3)\right] \\ &\equiv \dot{a}^2(\tau)a(\tau)B_3(\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{B19}$ 

#### See also Lesgourgues et al 2009

Still, simplifying assumptions for neutrinos (either described with simple pressure term or assumed linear)

### II) Particle-based simulations



CDM

Neutrinos

Simulation from Brandyge & Hannestad (2009).  $\sum m_v = 0.6 \text{ eV}, z=4$ 

### Particle-based simulations

Neutrino power-spectrum from Brandyge & Hannestad (2009).



#### Characteristic scales



#### Characteristic scales



#### Neutrinos should be nearly linear at all scales

Linear evolution of neutrino perturbations with non-linear CDM :

• Vlasov equation for neutrino distribution function  $f(\tau, \vec{x}, \vec{q} \equiv am\vec{v})$ :

$$\partial_{\tau}f + \frac{\vec{q}}{ma} \cdot \partial_{\vec{x}}f - ma \; \partial_{\vec{x}}\phi \cdot \partial_{\vec{q}}f = 0$$

• Linearize around  $f_0(q)$  then Fourier transform :

$$\partial_{\tau}(\delta f) + i \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \vec{k}}{ma} \delta f = i \frac{ma}{q} (\vec{q} \cdot k) \frac{df_0}{dq} \phi$$

- Write down explicit integral solution
- Integrate over momenta to get  $\delta_{\nu}$ :

$$\delta_{\nu}(\tau, \vec{k}) = F[\delta f(\tau_i, \vec{k})] + \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} G(k, \tau', \tau) \phi(\vec{k}, \tau') d\tau',$$
  

$$G(k, \tau' \to \tau) \to 0,$$
  

$$G(\tau - \tau' \gg \tau_{\text{cross}}(k)) \to 0.$$

 $\rightarrow$  We have a prescription for  $\delta_{\nu}$  given previous  $\phi$ 

- Given  $\phi$ , update  $\delta_c$  with N-body code
- Close the system with Poisson equation:  $k^2\phi = -4\pi a^2(\overline{\rho}_c\delta_c + \overline{\rho}_\nu\delta_\nu)$

► We have replaced following 10<sup>9</sup> neutrinos by performing a simple integral

### Results

Effect on the total matter power spectrum



#### Results

Neutrino power spectrum at  $z = 1, \sum m_v = 0.3 \text{ eV}$ 



### Limitation

This method does not account for the non-linear clustering of neutrinos in massive clusters at z = 0



## Non-linear neutrino clustering in massive haloes

- At z = 0, characteristic  $r_{halo} \leq I Mpc << L_{fs}$
- $v_v \approx 500 \text{ km/s} (0.1 \text{ eV/m}_v) << |\varphi|^{1/2} \sim 800-3000 \text{ km/s}$



## Non-linear neutrino clustering in massive haloes

If halo grows on ~ Hubble timescale, neutrinos may be captured. Escape condition:

$$\frac{p}{T_{\nu}} \gtrsim \frac{m_{\nu}}{T_{\nu,0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{H_0 \Delta t_{\phi}}} \left( 2H_0 \ r_0 \sqrt{|2\phi_0|} \right)^{1/2}$$
$$\approx (H_0 \Delta t_{\phi})^{-1/2} \frac{m_{\nu}}{0.1 \text{ eV}} \left( \frac{r_0}{0.5 \ h^{-1} \text{Mpc}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{|\phi_0|}}{3000 \text{ km/s}} \right)^{1/2}$$

About 94 % of neutrinos have p > T for Fermi-Dirac distribution. Most remain linear, a small fraction get captured and become very non-linear

### Non-linear neutrino clustering in massive haloes

#### ∑m<sub>∨</sub> = 0.3 eV



Brandbyge et al. 2010

# Still have $< \delta_v^2 > << 1$ on all scales, because haloes make a small fraction of the total volume.



### Conclusions

- Total power spectrum is not very sensitive to exact clustering of neutrinos on small scales. In practice,  $\delta_{v}(k>>k_{fs}) << \delta_{CDM}$  is what really counts. May as well use a simple method!
- It is accurate to better than 0.2% for the matter power spectrum at z=0 for  $\sum m_{\nu} \lesssim 0.3$  eV and nearly exact at z>1.
- Our method is about 20% faster than particle-based method. Patch for GADGET publicly available (S. Bird webpage)
- Future work: accurately modeling the clustering of neutrinos themselves / use hybrid methods.