Hyperbolicity of constrained Hamiltonian systems

David Hilditch

Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Based on arXiv:1303.4783 with R. Richter

> IHES Gravitation Seminar October 10, 2013



- - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト



Motivation

Model Hamiltonian

Application to GR

Conclusions



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

David Hilditch

Why study formulations of GR?

Practical side: 'good' PDE systems for numerical relativity.

- Gravitational waves from compact objects.
- Critical phenomena.
- Examples: ADM, GHG, BSSNOK, Z4, FCF, EC, NOR, KST...

Principle questions: physical and mathematical properties.

- Does GR make sense dynamically? Gauge?
- Well-posedness of I(B)VP?
- Long-term existence?



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The Harmonic formulation

The vacuum field equations

$$R_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{cd}\partial_c\partial_d g_{ab} + \partial_{(a}\Gamma_{b)} - g^{cd}\Gamma_{cab}\Gamma_d + g^{cd}g^{ef}(\partial_e g_{ca}\partial_f g_{bd} - \Gamma_{ace}\Gamma_{bdf}) = 0,$$

with
$$\Gamma_a = g^{bc} \Gamma_{abc}$$
. Kill $\partial_{(a} \Gamma_{b)}$ term?

Choquet-Bruhat '53, Friedrich & Rendall '00, Garfinkle '02



Э

・ロ・・ (日・・ (日・・ (日・)

David Hilditch

The Harmonic formulation

The vacuum field equations

$$\begin{split} R_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{2} g^{cd} \partial_c \partial_d g_{ab} + \partial_{(a} \Gamma_{b)} - g^{cd} \Gamma_{cab} \Gamma_d \\ &+ g^{cd} g^{ef} (\partial_e g_{ca} \partial_f g_{bd} - \Gamma_{ace} \Gamma_{bdf}) = 0, \end{split}$$

with $\Gamma_a = g^{bc} \Gamma_{abc}$. Kill $\partial_{(a} \Gamma_{b)}$ term?

• Recognize $\Gamma_a = g_{ab} \Box x^b = g_{ab} \nabla^c \nabla_c x^b$.

Choquet-Bruhat '53, Friedrich & Rendall '00, Garfinkle '02



3

イロン スポン メヨン メヨン

David Hilditch

The Harmonic formulation

The vacuum field equations

$$R_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{cd}\partial_c\partial_d g_{ab} + \partial_{(a}\Gamma_{b)} - g^{cd}\Gamma_{cab}\Gamma_d + g^{cd}g^{ef}(\partial_e g_{ca}\partial_f g_{bd} - \Gamma_{ace}\Gamma_{bdf}) = 0,$$

with $\Gamma_a = g^{bc} \Gamma_{abc}$. Kill $\partial_{(a} \Gamma_{b)}$ term?

- Recognize $\Gamma_a = g_{ab} \Box x^b = g_{ab} \nabla^c \nabla_c x^b$.
- Harmonic coordinates $\Box x^a = 0$. Constraints $C_a = \Gamma_a = 0$.

Choquet-Bruhat '53, Friedrich & Rendall '00, Garfinkle '02



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The Harmonic formulation

The vacuum field equations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{2} g^{cd} \partial_c \partial_d g_{ab} + \partial_{(a} \Gamma_{b)} - g^{cd} \Gamma_{cab} \Gamma_d \\ &+ g^{cd} g^{ef} (\partial_e g_{ca} \partial_f g_{bd} - \Gamma_{ace} \Gamma_{bdf}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $\Gamma_a = g^{bc} \Gamma_{abc}$. Kill $\partial_{(a} \Gamma_{b)}$ term?

- Recognize $\Gamma_a = g_{ab} \Box x^b = g_{ab} \nabla^c \nabla_c x^b$.
- Harmonic coordinates $\Box x^a = 0$. Constraints $C_a = \Gamma_a = 0$.
- *Free-evolution point of view:* Constraint subsystem closed, work in expanded space. Other coordinates?

Choquet-Bruhat '53, Friedrich & Rendall '00, Garfinkle '02



イロン スポン メヨン メヨン

Cute quotes

• Friedrich and Rendall, 2000: "Ideally, one would like to exhibit a kind of 'hyperbolic skeleton' of the Einstein equations and a complete characterization of the freedom to fix the gauge from which all hyperbolic reductions should be derivable."



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Cute quotes

- Friedrich and Rendall, 2000: "Ideally, one would like to exhibit a kind of 'hyperbolic skeleton' of the Einstein equations and a complete characterization of the freedom to fix the gauge from which all hyperbolic reductions should be derivable."
- Friedrich, 1996: "To give a survey of hyperbolic reductions that is general enough to offer the optimal reduction in any given task appears a hopeless task."



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Gauge in General Relativity

We aim for a *complete* understanding of the local properties of different gauge choices in GR. Questions:

- Can the properties of gauge choices be characterized independently of the Einstein equations?
- If so can every hyperbolic gauge be coupled to the Einstein equations to form a hyperbolic PDE system?
- From the (free-evolution) PDEs point of view, how does GR compare to other physical theories with gauge freedom?



・ロト ・日本 ・モート ・モート



Motivation

Model Hamiltonian

Application to GR

Conclusions



æ

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

David Hilditch

A model constrained Hamiltonian

Consider constant coefficient Hamiltonian,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_i q \\ p \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} V^{ij} & F^{\dagger i} \\ F^{j} & M^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_j q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \\ + g_q^{\dagger} C_{\mathcal{H}} V^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j q + g_p^{\dagger} C_{\mathcal{M}}^{i} M^{-1} \partial_i p ,$$

- Positions and momenta (q, p).
- $F^i = \beta^i I$ for some shift vector β^i .



3

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Evolution equations

Variations in (q, p) and Hamilton's equations give,

$$\begin{split} \partial_t q &= M^{-1} p + F^i \partial_i q - M^{-1} C_{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger i} \partial_i g_p \,, \\ \partial_t p &= V^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j q + F^i \partial_i p - V^{ij} C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger} \partial_i \partial_j g_q \,. \end{split}$$

• Gauge fields (g_q, g_p) not determined; their momenta are absent.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

・ロ・・ (日・・ (日・・)

∃ >

Conclusions

The constraints

Variation with respect to gauge fields (g_q, g_p) reveals constraints

$$\mathcal{H} = C_{\mathcal{H}} V^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j q = 0, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{M} = C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^i M^{-1} \partial_i p = 0.$$

We insist that the constraint subsystem is closed, which gives,

$$\begin{split} C_{\mathcal{H}} V^{ij} &= (A_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}})^{(i} C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{j)}, \qquad C_{\mathcal{M}}^{(i} M^{-1} V^{jk)} = (A_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}})^{(i} C_{\mathcal{H}} V^{jk)}, \\ C_{\mathcal{M}}^{(i} M^{-1} V^{jk)} C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger} &= 0. \end{split}$$

Dirac: "The constraints are first class."



Gauge invariance I

The equations of motion are invariant under transformation

$$\begin{split} q &\to \bar{q} = q - M^{-1} C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\dagger i} \partial_i \psi \,, \qquad p \to \bar{p} = p - V^{ij} C_{\mathcal{H}}{}^{\dagger} \partial_i \partial_j \theta \,, \\ g_q &\to g_q + \bar{g}_q \,, \qquad \qquad g_p \to g_p + \bar{g}_p \,, \end{split}$$

with θ and ψ satisfying

$$\partial_t \theta = \bar{g}_q + (A_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}})^{\dagger i} \partial_i \psi + \beta^i \partial_i \theta ,$$

$$\partial_t \psi = \bar{g}_p + (A_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}})^{\dagger i} \partial_i \theta + \beta^i \partial_i \psi .$$

• Dirac: "constraints generate gauge transformation".



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

・ロン ・日ン・・ ヨン・

.⊒ .⊳

Conclusions

Gauge invariance II

Additionally require that the *field strength* $V^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j q$ is gauge invariant.

• Final conditions,

$$(A_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}})^{(i}C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{j)} = C_{\mathcal{H}}V^{ij}, \qquad V^{(ij}M^{-1}C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\dagger k)} = 0,$$

• Can define electric and magnetic parts. Distinction if no \mathcal{H} 's.



Gauge choice

Still missing equation of motion for the gauge fields. Choose,

$$\partial_t g_q = (A_{g_q g_q})^i \partial_i g_q + (A_{g_q g_p})^i \partial_i g_p + (A_{g_q p}) p,$$

$$\partial_t g_p = (A_{g_p g_q})^i \partial_i g_q + (A_{g_p g_p})^i \partial_i g_p + (A_{g_p q})^i \partial_i q.$$

- Assume (A_{gqp}) = AC_H and (A_{gpq})ⁱ = BC_Mⁱ + CⁱC_HM for some matrices A, B and Cⁱ.
- This restriction can be dropped.
- Structure general enough for hyperbolic gauges.



イロン スポン メヨン メヨン

Pure gauge subsystem

Evolve, but change ID by gauge transformation

$$q
ightarrow ar{q} = q - M^{-1} C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\dagger \, i} \partial_i ar{\psi} \,, \qquad p
ightarrow ar{p} = p - V^{ij} C_{\mathcal{H}}{}^{\dagger} \partial_i \partial_j ar{ heta} \,.$$

What happens?

Difference evolves according to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{\theta} &= \bar{g}_q + \beta^i \partial_i \bar{\theta} , \qquad \partial_t \bar{\psi} = \bar{g}_p + (A_{\mathcal{HM}})^{\dagger i} \partial_i \bar{\theta} + \beta^i \partial_i \bar{\psi} , \\ \partial_t \bar{g}_q &= (A_{g_q g_q})^i \partial_i \bar{g}_q + (A_{g_q g_p})^i \partial_i \bar{g}_p - (A_{g_q p}) V^{ij} C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger} \partial_i \partial_j \bar{\theta} , \\ \partial_t \bar{g}_p &= (A_{g_p g_q})^i \partial_i \bar{g}_q + (A_{g_p g_p})^i \partial_i \bar{g}_p - (A_{g_p q})^{(i} M^{-1} C_{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger j}) \partial_i \partial_j \bar{\psi} . \end{aligned}$$

• We call this the *pure gauge subsystem*. It is closed.



・ロ・・ 日本・ ・ ヨ・・

Conclusions

Free evolution on the expanded phase space I

Define constraints (Θ , Z). Choose:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \Theta &= \beta^i \partial_i \Theta + (A_{\Theta Z})^i \partial_i Z + (A_{\Theta \mathcal{H}}) \mathcal{H} \,, \\ \partial_t Z &= (A_{Z\Theta})^i \partial_i \Theta + \beta^i \partial_i Z + (A_{Z\mathcal{M}}) \mathcal{M} \,. \end{split}$$

- Remember: can modify dynamics away from the constraint satisfying hypersurface in phase space if constraint subsystem remains closed.
- If we insisted on the whole system having Poisson-bracket structure (Θ, Z) would be canonical momenta of gauge fields.



- 170

Conclusions

Free evolution on the expanded phase space II

Couple new constraints to gauge conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_q &= (A_{g_q g_q})^i \partial_i g_q + (A_{g_q g_p})^i \partial_i g_p + (A_{g_q p}) p + (A_{g_q \Theta}) \Theta ,\\ \partial_t g_p &= (A_{g_p g_q})^i \partial_i g_q + (A_{g_p g_p})^i \partial_i g_p + (A_{g_p q})^i \partial_i q + (A_{g_p Z}) Z . \end{aligned}$$

and the rest of the system

$$\begin{split} \partial_t q &= M^{-1} p + F^i \partial_i q - M^{-1} C_{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger i} \partial_i g_p + (A_{q\Theta}) \Theta \,, \\ \partial_t p &= V^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j q + F^i \partial_i p - V^{ij} C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger} \partial_i \partial_j g_q + (A_{pZ})^i \partial_i Z + (A_{p\mathcal{H}}) \mathcal{H} \,. \end{split}$$



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

.⊒ .⊳

Conclusions

Constraint subsystem

The full constraint subsystem is closed by

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \mathcal{H} &= (A_{\mathcal{H}\Theta})^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \Theta + \beta^i \partial_i \mathcal{H} + (A_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}})^i \partial_i \mathcal{M} \,, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{M} &= (A_{\mathcal{M}Z})^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j Z + (A_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}})^i \partial_i \mathcal{H} + \beta^i \partial_i \mathcal{M} \,, \end{split}$$

with matrices

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{\mathcal{H}\Theta})^{ij} &= C_{\mathcal{H}} V^{ij} (A_{q\Theta}) \,, \qquad (A_{\mathcal{M}Z})^{ij} &= C_{\mathcal{M}} {}^{(i} M^{-1} (A_{\rho Z})^{j)} \,, \\ (A_{\mathcal{M}H})^{i} &= C_{\mathcal{M}} {}^{i} M^{-1} (A_{\rho H}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

• Two closed subsystems. PDE properties inherited by the full formulation?



Natural choice of variables I

Final assumption. For every unit spatial vector s^i

- Rows of $C_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{s} \equiv C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{i}s_{i}$ are contained in the span of the union of the rows of $V = C_{\mathcal{H}}V^{ss}$ and $W = C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{s}M^{-1}$.
- Rows of V and W are independent.
- Furthermore the contractions X = VC_H[†] and Y = WC_M^{†s} are invertible.

This really is key, as it ensures that variables decompose nicely. Notice assumptions not placed on gauge choice.



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Natural choice of variables II

Define s^i dependent projection operators

$$\begin{split} C_{\theta} &= -X^{-1}C_{\mathcal{H}}, \\ C_{\psi} &= -Y^{-1}C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{s} + (A_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}})^{\dagger s}C_{\theta}[M - C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\dagger s}Y^{-1}C_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{s}], \\ &\perp &= I - V^{\dagger}[VV^{\dagger}]^{-1}V - W^{\dagger}[WW^{\dagger}]^{-1}W. \end{split}$$

Decomposition into

$$\begin{split} & [\partial_s^2 \theta] = C_{\theta} p + (A_{\theta \Theta}) \Theta , \qquad [\partial_s^2 \psi] = C_{\psi} \partial_s q + (A_{\psi Z}) Z , \\ & [\mathcal{H}] = V \partial_s q , \qquad [\mathcal{M}] = W p , \\ & [\partial_s P_q] = \bot \partial_s q , \qquad [P_p] = \bot p , \end{split}$$

is invertible. Names foreshadow conclusion.



A ► 4

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Principal symbol

The principal symbol of the formulation in the s^i direction is,

$$P^{s} = \left(egin{array}{ccc} P^{s}_{\mathcal{G}} & P^{s}_{\mathcal{GC}} & 0 \ 0 & P^{s}_{\mathcal{C}} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & P^{s}_{\mathcal{P}} \end{array}
ight) \,.$$



Э

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Principal symbol

The upper left block,

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}^{s} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{s} & 0 & I & 0\\ (A_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}})^{\dagger s} & \beta^{s} & 0 & I\\ -(A_{g_{q}p})V^{\dagger} & 0 & (A_{g_{q}g_{q}})^{s} & (A_{g_{q}g_{p}})^{s}\\ 0 & -(A_{g_{p}q})^{s}W^{\dagger} & (A_{g_{p}g_{q}})^{s} & (A_{g_{p}g_{p}})^{s} \end{pmatrix},$$

is identical to that of the pure gauge subsystem!



3

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Principal symbol

The central block,

$$P_{\mathcal{C}}^{s} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{s} & (A_{\Theta Z})^{s} & (A_{\Theta \mathcal{H}}) & 0\\ (A_{Z\Theta})^{s} & \beta^{s} & 0 & (A_{Z\mathcal{M}})\\ (A_{\mathcal{H}\Theta})^{ss} & 0 & \beta^{s} & (A_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}})^{s}\\ 0 & (A_{\mathcal{M}Z})^{ss} & (A_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}})^{s} & \beta^{s} \end{pmatrix},$$

Is identical to that of the constraint subsystem!



3

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Principal symbol

The off-diagonal block,

$$P^{s}_{\mathcal{GC}} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & (A_{\theta Z}) & (A_{\theta \mathcal{H}}) & 0 \\ (A_{\psi \Theta}) & 0 & 0 & (A_{\psi \mathcal{M}}) \\ (A_{\Theta}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (A_{Z}) & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

with sub-matrices,

. . .

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{\theta Z}) &= (A_{\theta \Theta})(A_{\Theta Z}) + C_{\theta}(A_{pZ})^{s} , \\ (A_{\theta \mathcal{H}}) &= (A_{\theta \Theta})(A_{\Theta \mathcal{H}}) - X^{-1} + C_{\theta}(A_{p\mathcal{H}}) , \end{aligned}$$

parametrizes the coupling of the gauge fields to the constraints.



Э

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Principal symbol

The lower right block,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}}^{s} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \beta^{s} & \perp M^{-1} \\ \perp V^{ss} & \beta^{s} \end{array}\right) \,,$$

contains neither constraint addition or gauge parameters. Why look at the principal symbol?

- Strong hyperbolicity: A necessary condition for SH is that P^s has real eigenvalues and a complete set of eigenvectors for every sⁱ.
- SH \iff Well-posed initial value problem.



.⊒ .⊳

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Conclusions

Basic properties

The principal symbol

$$P^{s} = \left(egin{array}{ccc} P^{s}_{\mathcal{G}} & P^{s}_{\mathcal{GC}} & 0 \ 0 & P^{s}_{\mathcal{C}} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & P^{s}_{\mathcal{P}} \end{array}
ight) \,.$$

- No formulation is SH if the physical sub-block is not.
- Necessary condition for SH of a formulation is that the pure gauge and constraint violating subsystems are SH. Follows from lemma on upper block diagonal matrices.

Too many refs. Review: Sarbach Tiglio '12. For lemma, see Gundlach M-García '06





Motivation

Model Hamiltonian

Application to GR

Conclusions

sit 158

æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

David Hilditch

Gauge conditions

Choose gauge conditions,

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \alpha &= -g_1 \alpha^2 K + g_2 \alpha \partial_i \beta^i + \beta^i \partial_i \alpha \,, \\ \partial_t \beta^i &= \alpha^2 [g_3 \gamma^{kl} \gamma^{jj} + g_4 \gamma^{il} \gamma^{jk}] \partial_l \gamma_{jk} - g_5 \alpha \partial^i \alpha + \beta^j \partial_j \beta^i \,. \end{split}$$

with $g_1 > 0$ and $\bar{g}_3 = 2(g_3 + g_4) > 0$.

- Harmonic gauge is $g_1 = g_3 = -2g_4 = g_5 = 1$ and $g_2 = 0$.
- Moving puncture gauge also included in this family.



3

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

Linearized pure gauge subsystem I

Upon linearizing the ADM Hamiltonian of GR takes the form that we have been considering.

• First part of pure gauge equations of motion are

$$\partial_t \theta = U - \psi_i D^i \alpha + \beta^i \partial_i \theta,$$

$$\partial_t \psi^i = V^i + \alpha D^i \theta - \theta D^i \alpha + \mathcal{L}_\beta \psi^i,$$

where $\theta = -n_a \Delta[x^a]$, $\psi^i = -\perp_a^i \Delta[x^a]$, $U = \Delta[\alpha]$ and $V^i = \Delta[\beta^i]$.

 Effect of infinitsimal gauge change on spatial metric and extrinsic curvature gives ADM equations with α → θ, βⁱ → ψⁱ.

Linearization: Moncrief '74. Pure gauge: Khoklov Novikov '02



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

イロト イポト イラト イ

.⊒ .⊳

Conclusions

Linearized pure gauge subsystem II

The principal part of the pure gauge system is thus

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \theta &\simeq U + \beta^i \partial_i \theta, \quad \partial_t \psi^i \simeq V^i + \alpha \partial^i \theta + \beta^j \partial_j \psi^i, \\ \partial_t U &\simeq g_1 \alpha^2 \Delta \theta + g_2 \partial_i V^i + \beta^i \partial_i U, \\ \partial_t V^i &\simeq g_3 \alpha^2 \Delta \psi^i + (g_3 + 2g_4) \alpha^2 \partial^i \partial_j \psi^j - \alpha g_5 \partial^i U + \beta^j \partial_j V^i, \end{split}$$

Simply read off P^s . Eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{g_3}, \pm v_{\pm}$ with

$$2 v_{\pm}^2 = g_1 + ar{g}_3 - g_2 g_5 \ \pm \sqrt{(g_1 + ar{g}_3 - g_2 g_5)^2 - 4(g_1 - g_2) ar{g}_3} \,.$$



Hyperbolicity of pure gauge

- Pure gauge system is SH if $g_3 > 0$ and either i). $0 \neq g_2 < g_1$ and $g_2g_5 < g_1 - 2\sqrt{g_1 - g_2}\sqrt{\bar{g}_3} + \bar{g}_3$, ii). $g_2 = 0$ and $\bar{g}_3 \neq g_1$ or $g_2 = 0$, $\bar{g}_3 = g_1$ and $g_5 = 1$.
- In the paper we present a choice for the constraints that sets off-diagonal block in *P^s* to vanish.
- We furthermore use the remaining freedom to make the constraint subsystem SH.



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Hyperbolicity of pure gauge

- Pure gauge system is SH if $g_3 > 0$ and either i). $0 \neq g_2 < g_1$ and $g_2g_5 < g_1 - 2\sqrt{g_1 - g_2}\sqrt{\bar{g}_3} + \bar{g}_3$, ii). $g_2 = 0$ and $\bar{g}_3 \neq g_1$ or $g_2 = 0, \bar{g}_3 = g_1$ and $g_5 = 1$.
- In the paper we present a choice for the constraints that sets off-diagonal block in *P^s* to vanish.
- We furthermore use the remaining freedom to make the constraint subsystem SH.

This gives a SH five parameter family generalization of the Harmonic gauge formulation.



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン



Motivation

Model Hamiltonian

Application to GR

Conclusions

set 1558

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

David Hilditch



We have

- Studied a model constrained Hamiltonian system.
- Identified the pure gauge subsystem.
- Demonstrated that a necessary condition for SH is SH of the pure gauge and constraint subsystems.
- Constructed a SH five parameter family of gauges
- Coupled gauges GR; natural generalization of Harmonic formulation.



Future work

Outstanding issues include

- Gauge freedom and the initial boundary value problem.
- Elliptic and parabolic pure gauge conditions.
- Long-term existence in GR with different gauges.

(4月) (日) (

-≣->