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## Context and Plan

Hidden symmetries and cosmological billiards in supergravity [Damour, Henneaux 2000; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai 2002]

Minisuperspace models for quantum gravity and quantum cosmology [Dewitt 1967; Misner 1969]

U-dualities constraining string scattering amplitudes [Green,
Gutperle 1997; Green, Miller, Russo, Vanhove 2010; Pioline 2010]
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Hidden symmetries and cosmological billiards in super-
gravity [Damour, Henneaux 2000; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai 2002]
Minisuperspace models for quantum gravity and quantum cosmology [Denitt 1967; Misner 1969]

U-dualities constraining string scattering amplitudes [Green,
Gutperle 1997; Green, Miller, Russo, Vanhove 2010; Pioline 2010]
Plan

- Cosmological billiards and their symmetries
- Quantum cosmological billiards: arithmetic structure
- Modular forms for hyperbolic Weyl groups and infinite Chevalley groups
- Generalization and outlook


## Cosmological billards: BKL

Supergravity dynamics near a space-like singularity simplify.


Spatial points decouple $\stackrel{\text { (conj.) }}{\Rightarrow}$ dynamics becomes ultra-local.
Reduction of degress of freedom to spatial scale factors $\beta^{a}$

$$
d s^{2}=-N^{2} d t^{2}+\sum_{a=1}^{d} e^{-2 \beta^{a}} d x_{a}^{2} \quad(t \sim-\log T)
$$

## Cosmological billiards: Dynamics

Effective Lagrangian for $\beta^{a}(t)(a=1, \ldots, d)$

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=1}^{d} n^{-1} G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{a} \dot{\beta}^{b}-V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\beta) \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.G_{a b}: \begin{array}{l}
\text { DeWitt metric } \\
\text { (Lorentzian signature) }
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

Close to the singularity $V_{\text {eff }}$ consists of infinite potentials walls, obstructing free null motion of $\beta^{a}$.
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Effective Lagrangian for $\beta^{a}(t)(a=1, \ldots, d)$
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\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=1}^{d} n^{-1} G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{a} \dot{\beta}^{b}-V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\beta) \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
G_{a b}: \text { DeWitt metric } \\
\text { (Lorentzian signature) }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Close to the singularity $V_{\text {eff }}$ consists of infinite potentials walls, obstructing free null motion of $\beta^{a}$.
Resulting billiard geometry that of $E_{10}$ Weyl chamber ( $D=11$, type ( m ) IIA and IIB).
[Damour, Henneaux 2000]


## Cosmological billiards: Geometry

The sharp billiard walls come from

$$
V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\beta)=\sum_{A} c_{A} e^{-2 w_{A}(\beta)}
$$

with $w_{A}(\beta)$ a set of linear forms on $\beta$-space. For $G_{a b} \beta^{a} \beta^{b} \rightarrow-\infty$ (towards the singularity) the potential term becomes 0 or $\infty$, defining two sides of a wall.

## Cosmological billiards: Geometry

The sharp billiard walls come from

$$
V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\beta)=\sum_{A} c_{A} e^{-2 w_{A}(\beta)}
$$

with $w_{A}(\beta)$ a set of linear forms on $\beta$-space. For $G_{a b} \beta^{a} \beta^{b} \rightarrow-\infty$ (towards the singularity) the potential term becomes 0 or $\infty$, defining two sides of a wall.
For the dominant terms $c_{A} \geq 0$ [Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai 2002]. Furthermore, the scalar product between the normals to those faces coincides with $E_{10}$ Cartan matrix.
Associated $E_{10}$ Weyl group $W\left(E_{10}\right)$ are the symmetries of the unique even self-dual lattice $\mathrm{II}_{9,1}=\Lambda_{E_{8}} \oplus \mathrm{II}_{1,1}$.

Finite (hyperbolic) volume $\Rightarrow$ Chaos! [Damour, Henneaux 2000]

## Quantum cosmological billiards

Setting $n=1$ one has to quantize

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=1}^{d} \dot{\beta}^{a} G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{b}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{a=1}^{d}\left(\dot{\beta}^{a}\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{a=1}^{d} \dot{\beta}^{a}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

with null constraint $\dot{\beta}^{a} G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{b}=0$ on billiard domain.
Canonical momenta: $\pi_{a}=G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{b} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2} \pi_{a} G^{a b} \pi_{b}$.
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$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=1}^{d} \dot{\beta}^{a} G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{b}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{a=1}^{d}\left(\dot{\beta}^{a}\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{a=1}^{d} \dot{\beta}^{a}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

with null constraint $\dot{\beta}^{a} G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{b}=0$ on billiard domain.
Canonical momenta: $\pi_{a}=G_{a b} \dot{\beta}^{b} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2} \pi_{a} G^{a b} \pi_{b}$.
Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation in canonical quantization

$$
\mathcal{H} \Psi(\beta)=-\frac{1}{2} G^{a b} \partial_{a} \partial_{b} \Psi(\beta)=0
$$

Klein-Gordon 'inner product'.

## Quantum cosmological billiards (II)

Introduce new coordinates $\rho$ and $\omega^{a}(z)$ from 'radius' and coordinates $z$ on unit hyperboloid

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta^{a}=\rho \omega^{a}, \quad \omega^{a} G_{a b} \omega^{b}=-1 \\
\rho^{2}=-\beta^{a} G_{a b} \beta^{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Quantum cosmological billiards (II)

Introduce new coordinates $\rho$ and $\omega^{a}(z)$ from 'radius' and coordinates $z$ on unit hyperboloid

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta^{a}=\rho \omega^{a}, \quad \omega^{a} G_{a b} \omega^{b}=-1 \\
\rho^{2}=-\beta^{a} G_{a b} \beta^{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

Singularity: $\rho \rightarrow \infty$


Timeless WDW equation in these variables

$$
\left[-\rho^{1-d} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\left(\rho^{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\right)+\underset{\uparrow}{\rho^{-2} \Delta_{\mathrm{LB}}}\right] \Psi(\rho, z)=0
$$

Laplace-Beltrami operator on unit hyperboloid

## Solving the WDW equation

$$
\left[-\rho^{1-d} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\left(\rho^{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\right)+\rho^{-2} \Delta_{\mathrm{LB}}\right] \Psi(\rho, z)=0
$$

Separation of variables: $\Psi(\rho, z)=R(\rho) F(z)$
For

$$
-\Delta_{\mathrm{LB}} F(z)=E F(z)
$$

get

$$
R_{ \pm}(\rho)=\rho^{-\frac{d-2}{2} \pm i \sqrt{E-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}}}
$$

[Positive frequency coming out of singularity is $R_{-}(\rho)$.]
Left with spectral problem on hyperbolic space.

## $\Delta_{\text {LB }}$ and boundary conditions

The classical billiard ball is constrained to Weyl chamber with infinite potentials $\Rightarrow$ Dirichlet boundary conditions

Use upper half plane model

$$
\begin{gathered}
z=(\vec{u}, v), \quad \vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-2}, v \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \\
\Rightarrow \quad \Delta_{\mathrm{LB}}=v^{d-1} \partial_{v}\left(v^{3-d} \partial_{v}\right)+v^{2} \partial_{\vec{u}}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$
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With Dirichlet boundary conditions ( $d=3$ in (Iwaniec))

$$
-\Delta_{\mathrm{LB}} F(z)=E F(z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad E \geq\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

## Arithmetic structure (I)

Beyond general inequality details of spectrum depend on shape of domain. ('Shape of the drum' problem)

Focus on maximal supergravity ( $d=10$ ). Domain is determined by $E_{10}$ Weyl group.
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Focus on maximal supergravity ( $d=10$ ). Domain is determined by $E_{10}$ Weyl group.


9-dimensional upper half plane with octonions: $u \equiv \vec{u} \in \mathbb{O}$
On $z=u+\mathrm{i} v$ the ten fundamental Weyl reflections act by

$$
w_{-1}(z)=\frac{1}{\bar{z}}, w_{0}(z)=-\bar{z}+1, w_{j}(z)=-\varepsilon_{j} \bar{z} \varepsilon_{j}
$$

$\varepsilon_{j}$ simple $E_{8}$ rts. [Feingold, AK, Nicolai 2008]

## Arithmetic structure (II)

Iterated action of

$$
w_{-1}(z)=\frac{1}{\bar{z}}, w_{0}(z)=-\bar{z}+1, w_{j}(z)=-\varepsilon_{j} \bar{z} \varepsilon_{j}
$$

generates whole Weyl group $W\left(E_{10}\right)$.
Even Weyl group $W^{+}\left(E_{10}\right)$ gives 'holomorphic' maps

$$
W^{+}\left(E_{10}\right)=P S L_{2}(0) .
$$

Modular group over the integer 'octavians' 0 .
[Example of family of isomorphisms between hyperbolic Weyl groups and modular groups over division algebras [Feingold, AK, Nicolai 2008].]

## Modular wavefunctions (I)

Weyl reflections on wavefunction $\Psi(\rho, z)$

$$
\Psi\left(\rho, w_{I} \cdot z\right)= \begin{cases}+\Psi(\rho, z) & \text { Neumann b.c. } \\ -\Psi(\rho, z) & \text { Dirichlet b.c. }\end{cases}
$$

Use Weyl symmetry to define $\Psi(\rho, z)$ on the whole upper half plane, with Dirichlet boundary conditions $\Rightarrow \Psi(\rho, z)$ is
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- Sum of eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\mathrm{LB}}$ on UHP
- Invariant under action of $W^{+}\left(E_{10}\right)=P S L_{2}(0)$. Anti-invariant under extension to $W\left(E_{10}\right)$.


## Modular wavefunctions (I)

Weyl reflections on wavefunction $\Psi(\rho, z)$

$$
\Psi\left(\rho, w_{I} \cdot z\right)= \begin{cases}+\Psi(\rho, z) & \text { Neumann b.c. } \\ -\Psi(\rho, z) & \text { Dirichlet b.c. }\end{cases}
$$

Use Weyl symmetry to define $\Psi(\rho, z)$ on the whole upper half plane, with Dirichlet boundary conditions $\Rightarrow \Psi(\rho, z)$ is

- Sum of eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\mathrm{LB}}$ on UHP
- Invariant under action of $W^{+}\left(E_{10}\right)=P S L_{2}(0)$.

Anti-invariant under extension to $W\left(E_{10}\right)$.
$\Rightarrow$ Wavefunction is an odd Maass wave form of $P S L_{2}(0)$
[cf. [Forte 2008] for related ideas for Neumann conditions]

## Modular wavefunctions (II)

The spectrum of odd Maass wave forms is (presumably) discrete but not known. For $P S L_{2}(0)$ the theory is not even developed (but see $\left.{ }_{[K r i e g]}\right)$.

For lower dimensional cases like pure (3+1)-dimensional Einstein gravity with $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ there are many numerical investigations. [Graham, Szépfalusy 1990; Steil 1994; Then 2003]

The result relevant here later is the inequality $E \geq\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}$.

## Modular wavefunctions (II)

The spectrum of odd Maass wave forms is (presumably) discrete but not known. For $P S L_{2}(0)$ the theory is not even developed (but see $\left.{ }_{[K r i e g]}\right)$.

For lower dimensional cases like pure (3+1)-dimensional Einstein gravity with $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ there are many numerical investigations. [Graham, Szépfalusy 1990; Steil 1994; then 2003]

The result relevant here later is the inequality $E \geq\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}$.
Summary of analysis so far:
Quantum billiard wavefunction $\Psi(\rho, z)$ is an odd Maass wave form (Dirichlet b.c.) for $P S L_{2}(\mathrm{O})$.

## Interpretation (I)

## Interpretation (I)

'Wavefunction of the universe' in this set-up formally

$$
\left|\Psi_{\text {full }}\right\rangle=\prod_{\mathbf{x}}\left|\Psi_{\mathbf{x}}\right\rangle
$$

Product of quantum cosmological billiard wavefunctions, one for each spatial point (ultra-locality). [Also [Kiriliov 1995]]

## Interpretation (I)

'Wavefunction of the universe' in this set-up formally

$$
\left|\Psi_{\text {full }}\right\rangle=\prod_{\mathbf{x}}\left|\Psi_{\mathbf{x}}\right\rangle
$$

Product of quantum cosmological billiard wavefunctions, one for each spatial point (ultra-locality). [Also [Kiriliov 1995]]

Each factor contains a Maass wave form of the type $\Psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\rho, z)=\sum R_{ \pm}(\rho) F(z)$ with

$$
-\Delta_{\mathrm{LB}} F(z)=E F(z), \quad R_{ \pm}(\rho)=\rho^{-\frac{d-2}{2} \pm i \sqrt{E-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}}}
$$

Since $E \geq\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}$ :
$\Psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\rho, z) \rightarrow 0$ but $\mathbf{c x}$. for $\rho \rightarrow \infty$

## Interpretation (II)

- Absence of potential: $\exists$ a well-defined Hilbert space with positive definite metric.
- The wavefunction vanishes at the singularity. But it remains oscillating and complex. No bounce.
$\Rightarrow$ Vanishing wavefunctions on singular geometries are one possible boundary condition. [Dewitt 1967]
- Complexity and notion of positive frequency $\Rightarrow$ Arrow of time? [Isham 1991; Barbour 1993]
- 'Semi-classical' states are expected to spread (quantum ergodicity). Numerical investigations, e.g. [Koehn 2011]


## Generalization (I)

## Generalization (I)

Classical cosmological billiards led to the $E_{10}$ conjecture. $D=11$ supergravity can be mapped to a constrained null geodesic motion on infinite-dimensional $E_{10} / K\left(E_{10}\right)$ coset Space. [Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai 2002]
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## Generalization (I)

Classical cosmological billiards led to the $E_{10}$ conjecture. $D=11$ supergravity can be mapped to a constrained null geodesic motion on infinite-dimensional $E_{10} / K\left(E_{10}\right)$ coset SPaCe. [Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai 2002]


Correspondence
Symmetric space $E_{10} / K\left(E_{10}\right)$ has $10+\infty$ many directions.
Cartan subalgebra
pos. step operators

## Generalization (II)

Features of the conjectured $E_{10}$ correspondence

- Billiard corresponds to 10 Cartan subalgebra generators
- $\infty$ many step operators correspond to remaining fields and spatial dependence. [Verified only at low 'levels' but for many different models]
- Space dependence introduced via dual fields (cf. Geroch group) - everything in terms of kinetic terms
- Space (de-)emergent via an algebraic mechanism
- Extension to $E_{10}$ overcomes ultra-locality
- Appears that only supergravity captured; no higher spin fields [Henneaux, AK, Nicolai 2011]


## Generalization (III)

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text {Bill }} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\text {Bill }}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+}\left(E_{10}\right)} e^{-2 \alpha(\beta)} \sum_{s=1}^{\text {mult }(\alpha)} \Pi_{\alpha, s}^{2}
$$

is the unique quadratic $E_{10}$ Casimir. Formally like free Klein-Gordon; positive norm could remain consistent?

## Generalization (III)

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text {Bill }} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\text {Bill }}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+}\left(E_{10}\right)} e^{-2 \alpha(\beta)} \sum_{s=1}^{\text {mult }(\alpha)} \Pi_{\alpha, s}^{2}
$$

is the unique quadratic $E_{10}$ Casimir. Formally like free Klein-Gordon; positive norm could remain consistent?

Full theory has more constraints than the Hamiltonian ( $\mathcal{H} \Psi=0$ ) constraint: diff, Gauss, etc.

- Global $E_{10}$ symmetry provides $\infty$ conserved charges $\mathcal{J}$
- Evidence that constraints can be written as bilinears $\mathfrak{L} \sim \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}$. [Damour, AK, Nicolai 2007; 2009]
- Analogy with affine Sugawara construction. Particularly useful for implementation as quantum constraints?
Aim: Quantize geodesic mode!!


## Poincaré series for $P S L_{2}(\mathbf{0})(\mathbf{I})$

Poincaré series for $W^{+}\left(E_{10}\right)=P S L_{2}(0)$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{s}(z)=\sum_{\gamma \in W^{+}\left(E_{9}\right) \backslash W^{+}\left(E_{10}\right)} I_{s}(\gamma(z))
$$

with $z=u+\mathrm{i} v$ and $I_{s}(z)=v^{s} . W^{+}\left(E_{9}\right)$ stabilises cusp at infinity. Converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>4 . \mathcal{P}_{s}$ is eigenfunction of $\Delta_{\mathrm{LB}}$.
Cosets can be given an explicit octonionic description [KNp]. Result is

$$
\mathcal{P}_{s}(z)=\frac{1}{240} \sum_{c, d \in 0} \text { left coprime } \frac{v^{s}}{|c z+d|^{2 s}}
$$

'Left-coprimality' is defined via Euclidean algortihm ${ }_{[\text {KNv }] .}$

## Poincaré series for $P S L_{2}$ (0) (II)

In terms of unrestricted sum

$$
\sum_{(c, d) \in 0^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}} \frac{v^{s}}{|c z+d|^{2 s}}=\zeta_{0}(s) \frac{1}{240} \sum_{c, d \in 0} \frac{v^{s}}{} \frac{\text { left coprime }^{|c z+d|^{2 s}}}{}
$$

Dedekind Zeta, related to $E_{8}$ Theta
Fourier expansion

$$
\mathcal{P}_{s}(z)=v^{s}+a(s) v^{8-s}+v^{4} \sum_{\mu \in 0^{*} \backslash\{0\}} a_{\mu} K_{s-4}(2 \pi|\mu| v) e^{2 \pi i \mu(u)}
$$

- Only abelian Fourier modes, only two constant terms
- Functional relation (?): $\xi_{0}(s) \mathcal{P}_{s}(z)=\xi_{0}(8-s) \mathcal{P}_{8-s}(z)$
- Neumann boundary conditions


## Eisenstein series for $E_{9}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z})(\mathbf{I})$
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[work in progress... [FR]]
String theory seems to require $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z}) \supset W\left(E_{10}\right)$ [Hu11, Townsend 1995; Ganor 1999].
For smaller rank [Green, Gutperle 1997; Obers, Pioline 1998; Green, Miller, Russo, Vanhove 2010].

## Eisenstein series for $E_{9}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z})(\mathbf{I})$

[work in progress... [ER]]
String theory seems to require $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z}) \supset W\left(E_{10}\right)$ [Hu11,
Townsend 1995; Ganor 1999].
For smaller rank [Green, Gutperle 1997; Obers, Pioline 1998; Green, Miller, Russo, Vanhove 2010].

Eisenstein series for the Chevalley groups $E_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), n>8$ ?
Very little literature on the subject... But [Garland 2001]. Affine case $G=E_{9}$ :

$$
E_{\lambda}^{G}(g, r)=\sum_{\gamma \in B(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash G(\mathbb{Z})} e^{\left\{\lambda+\rho, H\left(\gamma g e^{r D}\right)\right\rangle}
$$

$g$ does not include derivation $D$.

## Eisenstein series for $E_{9}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z})(\mathrm{II})$

Constant term (in minimal parabolic) [Langlands; Garland]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w \in W\left(E_{9}\right)} e^{\left\langle w \lambda+\rho, H\left(g e^{r D}\right)\right\rangle} M(w, \lambda) \\
&= \prod_{\alpha>0: w \alpha<0} \frac{\xi(\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle)}{\xi(\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w \in W\left(E_{9}\right)} e^{\left\langle w \lambda+\rho, H\left(g e^{r D}\right)\right\rangle} M(w, \lambda) \\
&=\prod_{\alpha>0: w \alpha<0} \frac{\xi(\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle)}{\xi(\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Affine Weyl group is infinite but for special values of $\lambda$, the infinite sum collapses since $M(w, \lambda)=0$. For $\lambda=2 s \Lambda_{i}-\rho$ this can only happen for $2 s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## Eisenstein series for $E_{9}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z})(\mathbf{I I})$

Constant term (in minimal parabolic) [Langlands; Garland]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w \in W\left(E_{9}\right)} e^{\left\langle w \lambda+\rho, H\left(g e^{r D}\right)\right\rangle} M(w, \lambda) \\
&=\prod_{\alpha>0: w \alpha<0} \frac{\xi(\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle)}{\xi(\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Affine Weyl group is infinite but for special values of $\lambda$, the infinite sum collapses since $M(w, \lambda)=0$. For $\lambda=2 s \Lambda_{i}-\rho$ this can only happen for $2 s \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Assume same formal expression for $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z})$...

## Constant terms for $E_{9}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z})$

Example: $\Lambda_{i}=\Lambda_{*}$


|  | $s=1 / 2$ | $s=1$ | $s=3 / 2$ | $s=2$ | $s=5 / 2$ | $s=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{7}$ | 2 | 126 | 8 | 14 | 35 | 56 |
| $E_{8}$ | 2 | 2160 | 9 | 16 | 44 | 72 |
| $E_{9}$ | 2 | $\infty$ | 10 | 18 | 54 | 90 |
| $E_{10}$ | 2 | $\infty$ | 11 | 20 | 65 | 110 |

Constant terms in maximal parabolic can also be evaluated.
Full Fourier decomposition (constant + abelian + non-abelian)?

## Summary and outlook

## Done:

- Quantum cosmological billiards wavefunctions involve automorphic forms of $P S L_{2}(\mathrm{o})$
- Extendable to supersymmetric case
- Studied parts of modular forms for $W^{+}\left(E_{10}\right)$ and $E_{10}(\mathbb{Z})$
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## More on hyperbolic Weyl groups (I)

Consider only over-extended hyperbolic algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{++}$ $(\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \equiv \ell=1,2,4,8)$. Their root lattices can be realized in $R^{1,1+\ell} \cong H_{2}(\mathbb{K})$ for a normed division algebra $\mathbb{K}$

$$
\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \mid \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)=-\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right), \quad \mathrm{X}_{i} \in H_{2}(\mathbb{K})
$$

## More on hyperbolic Weyl groups (I)

Consider only over-extended hyperbolic algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{++}$ (rank $(\mathfrak{g}) \equiv \ell=1,2,4,8)$. Their root lattices can be realized in $R^{1,1+\ell} \cong H_{2}(\mathbb{K})$ for a normed division algebra $\mathbb{K}$

$$
\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \mid \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)=-\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right), \quad \mathrm{X}_{i} \in H_{2}(\mathbb{K})
$$

Choose $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, \ell)$ such that

$$
a_{i} \bar{a}_{j}+a_{j} \bar{a}_{i}=\text { Cartan matrix of } \mathfrak{g}
$$

Prop 1. $\mathfrak{g}^{++}$Cartan matrix from simple roots

$$
\alpha_{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & -\theta \\
-\bar{\theta} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a_{i} \\
\bar{a}_{i} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## More on hyperbolic Weyl groups (II)

Thm 1. Fundamental Weyl reflections of $W \equiv W\left(\mathfrak{g}^{++}\right)$are

$$
w_{I}(\mathrm{x})=M_{I} \overline{\mathrm{X}} M_{I}^{\dagger} \quad, I=-1,0,1, \ldots, \ell
$$

with unit versions of $\mathfrak{g}$ simple roots $\varepsilon_{i}=a_{i} / \sqrt{N\left(a_{i}\right)}$ and

$$
M_{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\theta & 1 \\
0 & \bar{\theta}
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon_{i} & 0 \\
0 & -\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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$$
w_{I}(\mathrm{x})=M_{I} \overline{\mathrm{X}} M_{I}^{\dagger} \quad, I=-1,0,1, \ldots, \ell
$$

with unit versions of $\mathfrak{g}$ simple roots $\varepsilon_{i}=a_{i} / \sqrt{N\left(a_{i}\right)}$ and

$$
M_{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\theta & 1 \\
0 & \bar{\theta}
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon_{i} & 0 \\
0 & -\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remarks

- Formula well-defined for all $\mathbb{K}$, including octonions
- Involves complex conjugation of $X$
- $\varepsilon_{i} \neq a_{i}$ only if $\mathfrak{g}$ not simply laced


## More on hyperbolic Weyl groups (III)

For generalizations of modular group $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ need
Thm 2. Even Weyl group $W^{+} \equiv W^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{++}\right)$generated by

$$
\left(w_{-1} w_{i}\right)(\mathrm{X})=S_{i} \mathrm{X} S_{i}^{\dagger} \quad, i=0,1, \ldots, \ell
$$

with

$$
S_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\bar{\theta} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\varepsilon_{i} \\
\bar{\varepsilon}_{i} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## More on hyperbolic Weyl groups (III)

For generalizations of modular group $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ need
Thm 2. Even Weyl group $W^{+} \equiv W^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{++}\right)$generated by

$$
\left(w_{-1} w_{i}\right)(\mathrm{X})=S_{i} \mathrm{X} S_{i}^{\dagger} \quad, i=0,1, \ldots, \ell
$$

with

$$
S_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\bar{\theta} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\varepsilon_{i} \\
\bar{\varepsilon}_{i} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remarks

- Formula well-defined for all $\mathbb{K}$, including octonions
- If det. were defined: $\operatorname{det} S=1$, cf. $W^{+} \subset S O(1, \ell+1 ; \mathbb{R})$
- Does not involve complex conjugation of $X$ $\Longrightarrow$ matrix subgroups of $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{K})$ in associative cases!


## List of hyperbolic Weyl groups

| $\mathbb{K}$ | $\mathfrak{g}$ | 'Ring' | $W(\mathfrak{g})$ | $W^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{++}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{R}$ | $A_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $2 \equiv \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ |
| $\mathbb{C}$ | $A_{2}$ | Eisenstein E | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes 2$ | $P S L_{2}(\mathrm{E})$ |
| $\mathbb{C}$ | $B_{2} \equiv C_{2}$ | Gaussian G | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \rtimes 2$ | $P S L_{2}(\mathrm{G}) \rtimes 2$ |
| $\mathbb{C}$ | $G_{2}$ | Eisenstein E | $\mathbb{Z}_{6} \rtimes 2$ | $P S L_{2}(\mathrm{E}) \rtimes 2$ |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | $A_{4}$ | Icosians I | $\mathfrak{S}_{5}$ | $P S L_{2}^{(0)}(\mathrm{I})$ |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | $B_{4}$ | Octahedral R | $2^{4} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ | $P S L_{2}^{(0)}(\mathrm{H}) \rtimes 2$ |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | $C_{4}$ | Octahedral R | $2^{4} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ | $\widetilde{P S L_{2}^{(0)}(\mathrm{H}) \rtimes 2}$ |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | $D_{4}$ | Hurwitz H | $2^{3} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ | $P S L_{2}^{(0)}(\mathrm{H})$ |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | $F_{4}$ | Octahedral R | $2^{5} \rtimes\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)$ | $P S L_{2}(\mathrm{H}) \rtimes 2$ |
| $\mathbb{O}$ | $E_{8}$ | Octavians 0 | $2 . O_{8}^{+}(2) .2$ | $P S L_{2}(\mathrm{O})$ |

