Enhanced terms in the time delay and the direction of light propagation. Discussion for some solar system experiments

P. Teyssandier\*

\* Observatoire de Paris, Dépt SYRTE/CNRS-UMR 8630, UPMC

Séminaire IHES, 6 Mars 2014

#### Contents

- Introduction
- Interest of the time transfer functions (TTFs) for gravitational tests
- General considerations
- Expression of the static, spherically symmetric metric
- A natural idea which is useful, but reveals to be a false good idea...
- New methods for determining TTF for static, spherically symmetric metrics
- Expression of the TTF up to order  $G^3$
- Enhanced terms in TTF up to order  $G^3$
- Discussion for some solar system experiments
- Enhanced terms in the direction of light propagation
- Conclusion

# Introduction

General framework : "metric theories of gravity"  $\rightarrow$  post-Newtonian parameters  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,... in GR:  $\gamma$  linked to the "curvature" of space and light propagation  $\beta$  linked to the "non-linearity" of the field

• The best current value for  $\gamma$  is due to the CASSINI Mission:

 $\gamma - 1 = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$  (Bertotti *et al* 2003)

- Recent improvements on  $\gamma$  (and  $\beta$ ) from INPOP13a (Verma *et al* 2013).
- Scalar field in primordial cosmology  $\longrightarrow$  expected violations of GR:

 $\gamma - 1 < 0$  and, possibly, in the range  $10^{-7} - 10^{-9}$  (Damour & Lilley 2008)

•  $\longrightarrow$  Many projects designed to measure  $\gamma$  at this level in the solar system: LATOR, ASTROD, ODYSSEY, SAGAS, GAME, ...

# Interest of the time transfer functions (TTFs)

The basic ingredients:

- Space-time  $(\mathcal{V}_4, g)$ , g = physical metric (+ - -).
- Geometric approximation : light is propagating along null geodesics of g.
- $\mathcal{V}_4$  covered by a single quasi-Cartesian coordinate system :  $x^{\alpha} = (x^0, \mathbf{x}), x^0 = ct, g_{00} > 0.$
- Light rays emitted at  $\mathbf{x}_A$  at instant  $t_A$  and received at  $\mathbf{x}_B$  at instant  $t_B$ .

In many tests of GR, the relevant tool is the expression of the light travel time  $t_B - t_A$  between  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$  as a function of  $(\mathbf{x}_A, t_B, \mathbf{x}_B)$  (resp.  $(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ ):

$$t_B - t_A = \mathcal{T}_r(\mathbf{x}_A, t_B, \mathbf{x}_B) = \mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B).$$

 $\mathcal{T}_r$  (resp.  $\mathcal{T}_e$ ) = "reception (resp. emission) time transfer function".

#### Interest of the time transfer functions (TTFs)

- Synchronization of distant clocks.
- Doppler tracking and time/frequency transfers

$$\frac{\nu_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{\nu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}} = \frac{\left(g_{00} + 2g_{0i}\beta^{i} + g_{ij}\beta^{i}\beta^{j}\right)_{\scriptscriptstyle A}^{1/2}}{\left(g_{00} + 2g_{0i}\beta^{i} + g_{ij}\beta^{i}\beta^{j}\right)_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{1/2}} \frac{1 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_{r}}{\partial t_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} - c\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}.\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}\mathcal{T}_{r}}{1 + c\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle A}.\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}}\mathcal{T}_{r}},$$
  
where  $\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle A/B} = \left(\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle A/B}^{i}\right) = \frac{1}{c}\left(\frac{dx_{\scriptscriptstyle A/B}^{i}}{dt}\right).$ 

• Direction of light propagation at  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$  (astrometry)

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{A} = \left(\frac{l_{i}}{l_{0}}\right)_{A} = c \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{A}} \mathcal{T}_{r}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B} = \left(\frac{l_{i}}{l_{0}}\right)_{B} = -c \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{B}} \mathcal{T}_{r}}{1 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_{r}}{\partial t_{B}}},$$

where  $I_{lpha} = g_{lphaeta} dx^{eta}/d\lambda$  along the ray.

#### General considerations

Determining the TTFs in a given space-time is inextricably complicated!

- Infinity of null geodesics passing through  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$  (cf. Schwarzschild, e.g.).
- Even in Schwarschild space-time, no full expression of any TTF.

Current assumptions :

- $(\mathcal{V}_4,g)$  asymptotically flat
- weak field:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x,G) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x,G), \quad g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x,G) = G^n h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x)$$

• light rays are "quasi-Minkowskian" null geodesics:

$$\implies \mathcal{T}_r(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}, t_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, \mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, G) = \frac{|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B} - \mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}|}{c} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}, t_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, \mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, G).$$

General methods at your disposal:

- Integration of the null geodesic equations (Shapiro 1964, Richter & Matzner 1983, Brumberg 1987, Kopeikin & Schäfer 1999, Klioner 2003)
- Iterative determination of Synge's world function (John 1975, Linet & Teyssandier 2002, Le Poncin-Lafitte *et al* 2004)
- Iterative solution of an eikonal equation (Teyssandier & Le Poncin-Lafitte 2008)
- A lot of results at order G
  - $\longrightarrow$  contributions due to the non-sphericity or/and the motions of bodies.

# General considerations

However, higher order terms for the mass monopole contributions will be needed in future experiments (Minazzoli & Chauvineau 2011 and refs. therein).

Several works devoted to the calculation of TTF in static, spherically symmetric (sss) metrics (John 1975, Richter & Matzner 1983, Brumberg 1987, Le Poncin-Lafitte *et al* 2004, Teyssandier & Le Poncin 2008, Klioner & Zschocke 2010). In sss space-time

$$\mathcal{T}_r(\mathbf{x}_A, t_B, \mathbf{x}_B) \equiv \mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B).$$

- Until recently,  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$  was known only up to order  $G^2$ .
- However, appearance of enhanced terms (Bertotti and Ashby, 2010)

 $\rightarrow$  order  $G^3$  is necessary.

• New methods developped to determine  $\mathcal{T}$  at any order (Linet & Teyssandier 2013).

#### Expression of the static, spherically symmetric metric

For a central body of mass M ( $m = GM/c^2$ ), in isotropic coordinates:

$$ds^2 = \mathcal{A}(r)(dx^0)^2 - \mathcal{B}^{-1}(r)\,\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j.$$

Assumption :  $\exists r_h \sim m \quad \forall r > r_h \quad \mathcal{A}(r) > 0, \ \mathcal{B}^{-1}(r) > 0$  and

$$\mathcal{A}(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + 2\beta \frac{m^2}{r^2} - \frac{3}{2}\beta_3 \frac{m^3}{r^3} + \beta_4 \frac{m^4}{r^4} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n n}{2^{n-2}} \beta_n \frac{m^n}{r^n},$$
$$\mathcal{B}^{-1}(r) = 1 + 2\gamma \frac{m}{r} + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon \frac{m^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 \frac{m^3}{r^3} + \frac{1}{16}\gamma_4 \frac{m^4}{r^4} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} (\gamma_n - 1) \frac{m^n}{r^n}.$$

In GR:

$$\beta = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \cdots = 1, \qquad \gamma = \epsilon = \gamma_3 = \gamma_4 = \cdots = 1.$$

#### Expression of the static, spherically symmetric metric

A null geodesic of g is also a null geodesic of the conformal metric

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = (dx^0)^2 - \mathcal{U}(r)\,\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j,$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}(r) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}(r)\mathcal{B}(r)} = 1 + 2(1+\gamma)\frac{m}{r} + 2\kappa\frac{m^2}{r^2} + 2\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\kappa_n\frac{m^n}{r^n}.$$

The parameters  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa_3$  are given by

$$egin{aligned} &\kappa=2(1+\gamma)-eta+rac{3}{4}\epsilon,\ &\kappa_3=2\kappa-2eta(1+\gamma)+rac{3}{4}eta_3+rac{1}{4}\gamma_3. \end{aligned}$$

In GR:  $\kappa = \frac{15}{4}, \quad \kappa_3 = \frac{9}{2}.$ 

#### A natural, useful idea...

Assume that the ray has a unique pericentre  $P(r = r_P)$ , has no apocentre and passes through P. The null geodesic equations lead to

$$\begin{split} t_{B} - t_{A} &= \frac{1}{c} \left[ \sqrt{r_{A}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}} + \sqrt{r_{B}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{(1 + \gamma)m}{c} \left[ \ln \frac{(r_{A} + \sqrt{r_{A}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}})(r_{B} + \sqrt{r_{B}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}})}{r_{P}^{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{r_{A} - r_{P}}{r_{A} + r_{P}}} + \sqrt{\frac{r_{B} - r_{P}}{r_{B} + r_{P}}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{m^{2}}{cr_{P}} \left\{ 2\kappa \left[ \arccos \frac{r_{P}}{r_{A}} + \arccos \frac{r_{P}}{r_{B}} \right] - \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{2}}{4} \left[ 5\sqrt{\frac{r_{A} - r_{P}}{r_{A} + r_{P}}} + 5\sqrt{\frac{r_{B} - r_{P}}{r_{B} + r_{P}}} \right] \\ &- \left( \frac{r_{A} - r_{P}}{r_{A} + r_{P}} \right)^{3/2} - \left( \frac{r_{B} - r_{P}}{r_{B} + r_{P}} \right)^{3/2} \right] \right\} + O\left( \frac{m^{3}}{cr_{P}^{2}} \right), \end{split}$$

where  $r_A = |\mathbf{x}_A|$  and  $r_B = |\mathbf{x}_B|$ .

 $\implies$  Determining  $\gamma$  at the level  $10^{-8} \iff t_B - t_A$  at the level 0.7 ps.

So, is there a problem? YES!

This expansion doesn't solve our problem : we don't know  $r = r_P$  as a function of  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$ .

Fortunately, several other methods may be used (see above).

Preference for procedures natively adapted to an emitter and a receiver both located at a finite distance of the origin of coordinates.

First method: integration of an eikonal equation (Teyssandier & Le Poncin 2008, Linet & Teyssandier 2013)

• From

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{A} = \left(\frac{l_{i}}{l_{0}}\right)_{A} = c \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{A}} \mathcal{T}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B} = \left(\frac{l_{i}}{l_{0}}\right)_{B} = -c \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{B}} \mathcal{T}.$$

and  $g^{\alpha\beta}I_{\alpha}I_{\beta} = 0$ , it is inferred that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$  obeys the eikonal equation

$$|c^2|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_B)|^2 = \mathcal{U}(r) = 1 + 2(1+\gamma)\frac{m}{r} + 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\kappa_n\left(\frac{m}{r}\right)^n$$

for any  $\mathbf{x}$  ( $r = |\mathbf{x}|$ ) and any  $|\mathbf{x}_B|$  such that  $r > r_h$  and  $r_B > r_h$ .

• We are looking for light rays such that

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) = rac{|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}|}{c} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}).$$

The  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ 's are given by

$$\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})=rac{1}{c}|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}|F^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}),$$

where the functions  $F^{(n)}$  are determined by the recurrence law

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_{A},\mathbf{x}_{B}) &= (1+\gamma)m\int_{0}^{1}\frac{d\xi}{|\mathbf{z}(\xi)|},\\ F^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{A},\mathbf{x}_{B}) &= \kappa_{n}m^{n}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{d\xi}{|\mathbf{z}(\xi)|^{n}}\\ &\quad -\frac{c^{2}}{2}\sum_{p=1}^{n-1}\int_{0}^{1}\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{T}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x}_{A},\mathbf{x}).\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{T}^{(n-p)}(\mathbf{x}_{A},\mathbf{x})\right]_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{z}(\xi)}d\xi,\end{aligned}$$

for  $n \ge 2$ , with integrations on the straight segment joining  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$ 

$$\mathbf{z}(\xi) = \mathbf{x}_{A} + \xi(\mathbf{x}_{B} - \mathbf{x}_{A}).$$

The recurrence law implies

- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$  the uniqueness of  ${\cal T}$  for quasi-Minkowskian light rays
- a property of analyticity:

The  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ 's are analytic functions if  $\mathbf{x}_A \neq \mathbf{x}_B$  and  $\mathbf{n}_B \neq -\mathbf{n}_A$ .

 $\rightarrow$  of crucial interest for the second method.

The validity of the method is ensured only if  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$  are such that

$$|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A} + \xi(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B} - \mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A})| > r_h \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1].$$

Second method: integro-differential eq. inferred from the geodesic eqs. (Linet & Teyssandier 2013)

Since  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$  is analytic, we may assume that  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$  are such that:

- The light ray joining  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$  does not pass through a pericentre.
- At any point of the light ray

$$r_{c} < r_{A} \leq r \leq r_{B},$$

where  $r_c$  is the Euclidean distance between the origin and the line passing through  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$ , i.e.

$$r_{c}=\frac{r_{A}r_{B}\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}}}{|\mathbf{x}_{B}-\mathbf{x}_{A}|},$$

with

$$\mu = \mathbf{n}_A \cdot \mathbf{n}_B, \qquad \mathbf{n}_A = \frac{\mathbf{x}_A}{r_A}, \qquad \mathbf{n}_B = \frac{\mathbf{x}_B}{r_B}.$$

Second method: integro-differential eq. inferred from the geodesic eqs. (Linet & Teyssandier 2013)

Then

$$c(t_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-t_{\scriptscriptstyle A})=b \arccos \mu + \int_{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}}^{r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} rac{1}{r} \sqrt{r^2 \mathcal{U}(r)-b^2} dr$$

where b is the impact parameter (intrinsic quantity). Since b is linked to  $\mathcal{T}$  by

$$b = -c\sqrt{1-\mu^2}rac{\partial \mathcal{T}(r_{\scriptscriptstyle A},r_{\scriptscriptstyle B},\mu)}{\partial \mu},$$

we have an integro-differential equation for  $\mathcal{T}(r_A, r_B, \mu)$ .

#### Assuming that

$$b = r_c \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \left( \frac{m}{r_c} \right)^n \right],$$

we find

$$\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(r_A, r_B, \mu) = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{m}{r_c}\right)^n \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \sum_{k=0}^{3n-4} U_{kn}(q_1, ..., q_{n-1}) r_c^{3n-k-2} \frac{r^{k-n+1}}{(r^2 - r_c^2)^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}} dr,$$

where the  $U_{kn}$ 's are polynomials in  $q_1, ..., q_{n-1}$ . Using

$$b = -c\sqrt{1-\mu^2}rac{\partial \mathcal{T}(r_A,r_B,\mu)}{\partial \mu} \implies q_n = -c\left(rac{r_c}{m}
ight)^nrac{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}}{r_c}rac{\partial \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(r_A,r_B,\mu)}{\partial \mu},$$

it may be seen that

$$\mathcal{T}^{(1)},...,\mathcal{T}^{(n-1)} \; \longrightarrow \; q_1,...,q_{n-1} \; \longrightarrow \; \mathcal{T}^{(n)}.$$

The analytic extension theorem  $\implies$  expressions of the  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ 's valid even if the initial assumptions are not met.

36

# Expression of $\mathcal{T}$ up to order $G^{31}$

All the integrals can be calculated with any symbolic computer program.

The two methods lead to the same results for n = 1, 2, 3:

$$\mathcal{T}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_{A},\mathbf{x}_{B}) = \frac{(1+\gamma)m}{c} \ln\left(\frac{r_{A}+r_{B}+|\mathbf{x}_{B}-\mathbf{x}_{A}|}{r_{A}+r_{B}-|\mathbf{x}_{B}-\mathbf{x}_{A}|}\right), \qquad (\text{Shapiro 1964})$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_{A}, \mathbf{x}_{B}) = \frac{m^{2}}{r_{A}r_{B}} \frac{|\mathbf{x}_{B} - \mathbf{x}_{A}|}{c} \left[ \kappa \frac{\arccos \mu}{\sqrt{1 - \mu^{2}}} - \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{2}}{1 + \mu} \right], \quad (\text{Le Poncin } et al \ 2004)$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) &= rac{m^3}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}\left(rac{1}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}}+rac{1}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}
ight)rac{|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}|}{c(1+\mu)}iggl[\kappa_3-(1+\gamma)\kappa_2rac{lpha ext{rccos}\,\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}} \ &+rac{(1+\gamma)^3}{1+\mu}iggr], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu = \mathbf{n}_A \cdot \mathbf{n}_B, \qquad \mathbf{n}_A = \frac{\mathbf{x}_A}{r_A}, \qquad \mathbf{n}_B = \frac{\mathbf{x}_B}{r_B}.$$

eminaire IHES. 6 Mars 2014

# Enhanced terms up to order $G^3$

Case where  $\mathbf{x}_{A}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{B}$  are in almost opposite directions (*i.e.*  $\mu \sim -1$ )



$$\mu \sim -1 \implies rac{1}{1+\mu} \sim rac{2r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{(r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}+r_{\scriptscriptstyle B})^2}rac{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^2},$$

where

$$r_c = rac{r_A r_B \sqrt{1-\mu^2}}{|\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A|}.$$

Céminaira IHES 6 Marc 2011

# Enhanced terms up to order $G^3$

Asymptotic expressions of the  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$  in a conjunction  $\longrightarrow$  enhanced terms:

$$\mathcal{T}_{enh}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})\sim rac{(1+\gamma)m}{c}\ln\left(rac{4r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^2}
ight), 
onumber\ \mathcal{T}_{enh}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})\sim -2rac{(1+\gamma)^2m^2}{c(r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}+r_{\scriptscriptstyle B})}rac{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^2}, 
onumber\ \mathcal{T}_{enh}^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})\sim 4rac{(1+\gamma)^3m^3}{c(r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}+r_{\scriptscriptstyle B})^2}\left(rac{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^2}
ight)^2.$$

(cf. Ashby & Bertotti 2010)

# Enhanced terms up to order $G^3$

These expressions are reliable for n = 1, 2, 3 for configurations such that

$$\left|\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})
ight|\ll \left|\mathcal{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})
ight|, \quad ext{with} \ \mathcal{T}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})=rac{1}{c}|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}|$$

These conditions are satisfied if

$$\frac{2m}{r_A + r_B} \frac{r_A r_B}{r_c^2} \ll 1 \qquad (C)$$

Condition (C) is met in the solar system. For  $r_B = 1$  au and  $r_A \ge r_B$ , one has

$$\frac{2m_{\odot}}{r_{A}+r_{B}}\frac{r_{A}r_{B}}{r_{c}^{2}} \leq 9.12 \times 10^{-4} \times \frac{R_{\odot}^{2}}{r_{c}^{2}}, \qquad R_{\odot} = \text{solar radius}.$$

 $\implies$  Our results may be applied to the solar system experiments.

Determination of  $\gamma$  in a SAGAS-like scenario:  $r_A \approx 50$  au,  $r_B \approx 1$  au Shapiro's formula  $\implies \Delta T \approx \frac{1}{2} \Delta \gamma T^{(1)}$   $R_{\odot} < r_c < 5R_{\odot} \implies 158 \ \mu s > T^{(1)}_{enh} > 126 \ \mu s$   $\downarrow$  $\gamma$  at the level  $10^{-8} \iff T$  at the level 0.7 ps

#### Discussion for solar system experiments

In this configuration (times given in ps):

$r_c/R_{\odot}$	$ \mathcal{T}_S^{(1)} $	$\mathcal{T}_{J_2}^{(1)}$	$\mathcal{T}_{enh}^{(2)}$	$\mathcal{T}^{(2)}_\kappa$	$\mathcal{T}_{enh}^{(3)}$
1	10	2	-17616	123	31.5
2	5	0.5	-4404	61.5	2
5	2	0.08	-704.6	24.6	0.05

Conclusion:  $\mathcal{T}_{enh}^{(3)}$  must be taken into account for rays almost grazing the Sun.

Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}_{enh}^{(3)}$  can be greater than

- the 1rst-order gravitomagnetic effect: with  $S_\odot pprox 2 imes 10^{41}$  kg m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>;
- the 1rst-order mass quadupole effect: with  $J_{2\odot} \approx 2 \times 10^{-7}$ .

$$\left|\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}^{(1)}
ight|\simrac{2(1+\gamma){\it GS}_\odot}{c^4r_c}$$
 ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{J_2}^{(1)} \sim rac{(1+\gamma)m_\odot}{c} J_{2\odot}rac{R_\odot^2}{r_c^2}$$

#### Discussion for solar system experiments

This irruption of a  $G^3$ -enhanced term is paradoxical. Remember that

$$\begin{split} t_{B} - t_{A} &= \frac{1}{c} \left[ \sqrt{r_{A}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}} + \sqrt{r_{B}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{(1 + \gamma)m}{c} \left[ \ln \frac{(r_{A} + \sqrt{r_{A}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}})(r_{B} + \sqrt{r_{B}^{2} - r_{P}^{2}})}{r_{P}^{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{r_{A} - r_{P}}{r_{A} + r_{P}}} + \sqrt{\frac{r_{B} - r_{P}}{r_{B} + r_{P}}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{m^{2}}{cr_{P}} \left\{ 2\kappa \left[ \arccos \frac{r_{P}}{r_{A}} + \arccos \frac{r_{P}}{r_{B}} \right] - \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{2}}{4} \left[ 5\sqrt{\frac{r_{A} - r_{P}}{r_{A} + r_{P}}} + 5\sqrt{\frac{r_{B} - r_{P}}{r_{B} + r_{P}}} \right] \\ &- \left( \frac{r_{A} - r_{P}}{r_{A} + r_{P}} \right)^{3/2} - \left( \frac{r_{B} - r_{P}}{r_{B} + r_{P}} \right)^{3/2} \right] \right\} + O\left( \frac{m^{3}}{cr_{P}^{2}} \right). \end{split}$$

Numerically :  $\frac{m_{\odot}}{c} = 4.9 \ \mu \text{s}$ ,  $\frac{m_{\odot}^2}{cR_{\odot}} = 10.4 \ \text{ps}$ ,  $\frac{m_{\odot}^3}{cR_{\odot}^2} = 0.02 \ \text{fs}$ .

Assume  $r_A = r_B = 1$  au and  $r_P = R_{\odot}$ Oth-order term : 499 s 1st-order term : 139  $\mu$ as 2nd-order term : 162 ps  $\implies$  no enhanced effect predicted 3rd-order term < 1 fs  $\implies$  no enhanced effect predicted; negligible

How can we surmount this apparent contradiction?

#### Discussion for solar system experiments

 $r_P$  and b are linked by  $b = r_P \sqrt{\mathcal{U}(r_P)}$ . Eliminating b between this relation and

$$b = r_c \left[ 1 + q_1 \frac{m}{r_c} + q_2 \frac{m^2}{r_c^2} + q_3 \frac{m^3}{r_c^3} + \cdots \right],$$

and then using

$$q_n = -c \left(rac{r_c}{m}
ight)^n rac{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}}{r_c} rac{\partial \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(r_A, r_B, \mu)}{\partial \mu},$$

 $r_P$  may be expressed as a function of  $\mathbf{x}_A$  and  $\mathbf{x}_B$ :

$$egin{aligned} r_{\scriptscriptstyle P} &= r_c igg\{ 1 - (1 + \gamma - q_1) rac{m}{r_c} - rac{1}{2} igg[ 2\kappa - (1 + \gamma)^2 - 2q_2 igg] rac{m^2}{r_c^2} \ &- rac{1}{2} igg[ 2\kappa_3 - 2\kappa q_1 + (1 + \gamma)^2 q_1 - 2q_3 igg] rac{m^3}{r_c^3} + \cdots igg\}. \end{aligned}$$

#### Discussion for solar system experiments

Substituting this expansion in the above expression of  $t_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-t_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$  leads to

$$t_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-t_{\scriptscriptstyle A}=rac{|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}-\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}|}{c}+\mathcal{T}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})+\mathcal{T}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$$

+enhanced term of order  $G^3$  + some regular terms of order  $G^3$ ).

When  $\mu \sim -1$ 

$$egin{aligned} q_1 &= (1+\gamma) rac{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A} + r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{|\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B} - \mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}|} rac{\sqrt{1-\mu}}{\sqrt{1+\mu}} &\sim 2(1+\gamma) rac{\sqrt{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A} + r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} rac{\sqrt{r_{\scriptscriptstyle A}r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}}{r_{\scriptscriptstyle C}}, \ q_2 &\sim -q_1^2, \ q_3 &\sim 2q_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

 $\longrightarrow r_A = r_B = 1 \text{ au}, r_c \approx R_{\odot} \qquad \implies q_1 \approx 430 \implies r_P - r_c \approx 630 \text{ km}$  $\longrightarrow r_A = 50 \text{ au}, r_B = 1 \text{ au}, r_c \approx R_{\odot} \implies q_1 \approx 843 \implies r_P - r_c \approx 1240 \text{ km}$ 

So  $r_P$  and  $r_c$  are significantly different in a configuration of quasi-conjunction. Compare with  $b - r_P \approx (1 + \gamma)m_{\odot} \approx 3$  km. Substituting the  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{A}, \mathbf{x}_{B})$  into

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{A} = \left(\frac{l_{i}}{l_{0}}\right)_{A} = c \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}_{A}} \mathcal{T}_{r}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B} = \left(\frac{l_{i}}{l_{0}}\right)_{B} = -c \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}_{B}} \mathcal{T}_{r}$$

 $\longrightarrow$  expansions of  $\hat{\underline{I}}_{A}$  and  $\hat{\underline{I}}_{B}$  in series in powers of  $\frac{m}{r_{c}}$ 

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}^{(0)}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle A},\mathbf{x}_{\scriptscriptstyle B})=-\mathbf{N}_{\scriptscriptstyle AB},$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{l}}_{B}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_{A}, \mathbf{x}_{B}) &= -\frac{(1+\gamma)m}{r_{c}} \frac{r_{A}}{|\mathbf{x}_{B} - \mathbf{x}_{A}|} \left[ \sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} \mathbf{N}_{AB} - (1-\mu)\mathbf{P}_{AB} \right], \\ \widehat{\mathbf{l}}_{B}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_{A}, \mathbf{x}_{B}) &= -\frac{m^{2}}{r_{c}^{2}} \frac{r_{A}^{2}}{|\mathbf{x}_{B} - \mathbf{x}_{A}|^{2}} \left\{ \left[ \cdots \right] \mathbf{N}_{AB} \right. \\ &+ \left[ \cdots + (1+\gamma)^{2} \left( \frac{r_{B}}{r_{A}} + 1 \right) \frac{(1-\mu)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu}} \right] \mathbf{P}_{AB} \right\}, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{l}}_{B}^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}_{A}, \mathbf{x}_{B}) &= -\frac{m^{3}}{r_{c}^{3}} \frac{r_{A}^{3}(1-\mu)}{|\mathbf{x}_{B} - \mathbf{x}_{A}|^{3}} \left\{ \left\{ (1+\gamma)^{3} \left[ 2 + \frac{r_{B}}{r_{A}} (1-\mu) \right] \frac{\sqrt{1-\mu}}{\sqrt{1+\mu}} + \cdots \right\} \mathbf{N}_{AB} \right. \\ &- \left[ 2(1+\gamma)^{3} \left( 1 + \frac{r_{B}}{r_{A}} \right)^{2} \frac{1-\mu}{1+\mu} + \cdots \right] \mathbf{P}_{AB} \right\}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathbf{N}_{AB} = rac{\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A}{|\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A|}, \qquad \mathbf{P}_{AB} = \mathbf{N}_{AB} imes \left(rac{\mathbf{n}_A imes \mathbf{n}_B}{|\mathbf{n}_A imes \mathbf{n}_B|}
ight)$$

#### When $\mu \sim -1$

- no enhanced term in  $\widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{(1)}$
- enhanced terms at higher orders:

$$\left( \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B}^{(2)} \right)_{enh} \sim -\frac{4(1+\gamma)^{2}m^{2}}{r_{c}^{2}} \frac{r_{B}}{r_{c}} \frac{r_{A}^{2}}{(r_{A}+r_{B})^{2}} \, \mathbf{P}_{AB}, \\ \left( \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B}^{(3)} \right)_{enh} \sim \frac{16(1+\gamma)^{3}m^{3}}{r_{c}^{3}} \frac{r_{B}^{2}}{r_{c}^{2}} \frac{r_{A}^{3}}{(r_{A}+r_{B})^{3}} \, \mathbf{P}_{AB}.$$

## 1. Deflection of light in a LATOR-like experiment: $r_A = r_B = 1$ au

For a ray passing near the Sun

$$\Delta \chi^{(2)} \sim \left| \left( \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B}^{(2)} \right)_{enh} - \left( \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{A}^{(2)} \right)_{enh} \right| \sim \frac{4(1+\gamma)^2 m^2}{r_c^2} \frac{r_A r_B}{r_c(r_A + r_B)}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Delta\chi^{(3)} &\sim \left| \left( \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{(3)} \right)_{enh} - \left( \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}^{(3)} \right)_{enh} \right| \sim \frac{16(1+\gamma)^3 m^3}{r_c^3} \frac{r_A r_B}{(r_A + r_B)^2} \frac{r_A r_B}{r_c^2}. \end{split}$$
For  $r_c = R_{\odot}$ ,  
 $\Delta\chi^{(2)} \approx 1.6 \,\mathrm{mas},$   
 $\Delta\chi^{(3)} \approx 3 \,\mu\mathrm{as}$  (compare with Hees *et al* 2013).

# 2. Deflection of light coming from infinity, with $r_B = 1$ au (GAME, *e.g.*)

$$\Delta\chi^{(2)}\sim rac{4(1+\gamma)^2m^2}{r_c^2}rac{r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{r_c}$$

(Klioner & Zschocke 2010)

#### and

$$\Delta\chi^{(3)}\sim rac{16(1+\gamma)^3m^3}{r_c^3}\left(rac{r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{r_c}
ight)^2.$$

For  $r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}=1$  au and  $r_{\scriptscriptstyle C}=R_{\odot}$ ,

 $\Delta \chi^{(2)} \approx 3.2 \,\mathrm{mas},$ 

$$\Delta\chi^{(3)}pprox 12\,\mu$$
as.

The choice of  $r_c$  is not truly relevant.

Using the impact parameter b and inverting the expansion

$$b = r_c \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \left( \frac{m}{r_c} \right)^n \right],$$

we get for the dominant terms in  $\widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B}$ .  $\mathbf{P}_{AB}$ 

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_{B} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{AB} &\approx \frac{2(1+\gamma)m}{b} \frac{r_{A}}{r_{A}+r_{B}} + \frac{\kappa \pi m^{2}}{b^{2}} \frac{r_{A}}{r_{A}+r_{B}} \\ &+ \frac{m^{3}}{b^{3}} \frac{r_{A}}{r_{A}+r_{B}} \left\{ 4\kappa_{3} + 2(1+\gamma)\kappa \left[ 1 + \frac{r_{A}^{2}}{(r_{A}+r_{B})^{2}} \right] \right\} + O\left(\frac{m^{4}}{b^{4}}\right) \end{split}$$

 $\implies$  The enhanced contributions are "absorbed" by using b.

This conclusion

• could be expected from the total bending of light

$$\Delta \widehat{\chi} = \underbrace{\frac{2(1+\gamma)m}{b}}_{b} + \underbrace{\frac{\kappa \pi m^2}{b^2}}_{b} + \underbrace{\frac{m^3}{b^3} \left[ 4\kappa_3 + 4(1+\gamma)\kappa - \frac{2}{3}(1+\gamma)^3 \right]}_{c} + O\left(\frac{m^4}{b^4}\right),$$

with



• is maintained using  $r_P$  instead of b. One has

$$b = r_P \sqrt{\mathcal{U}(r_P)} = r_P \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1+\gamma)m}{r_P} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2\kappa - (1+\gamma)^2 \right] \frac{m^2}{r_P^2} + O\left(\frac{m^3}{r_P^3}\right) 
ight\}.$$

# Conclusion

For quasi-Minkowskian light rays:

- Two new methods available for directly calculating the TTF and the direction of light propagation in s.s.s. symmetric space-times, at any given order in *G*.
- These calculations can be performed with any symbolic computer program.
- They can be extended to more general cases (stationary metrics, e.g.).
- It is explicitly checked that the two procedures lead to the same expressions of TTF up to order  $G^3$ .
- We confirm the occurrence of enhanced terms of order  $G^3$  which must be taken into account for modelling
  - time measurements reaching 1 ps
  - light deflection at the level 1  $\mu$ as
- They can be absorbed by using expansions in series in powers of  $\frac{m}{r_P}$  or  $\frac{m}{b}$  instead of  $\frac{m}{r_c}$ .

# References

- Ashby N & Bertotti B 2010 Class. Quantum Grav. 27 145013
- Bertotti B, less L & Tortora P 2003 Nature 425 374
- Brumberg V A 1987 Kinematics Phys. Celest. Bodies 3 6
- Damour T & Lilley M 2008 "String Theory, Gravity and Experiment", Les Houches Summer School in Theoretical Physics Session 87; arXiv:0802.4169v1
- Hees A, Bertone S & Le Poncin-Lafitte C 2013 Journées 2013 (poster)
- Hees A, Bertone S & Le Poncin-Lafitte C 2014 arXiv:1401.7622
- John R W 1975 Exp. Tech. Phys. 23 127
- Klioner S A 2003 Astron. J. 125 1580
- Klioner S A & Zschocke S 2010 Class. Quantum Grav. 27 075015
- Kopeikin S M & Schäfer G 1999 Phys. Rev. D 60 124002
- Le Poncin-Lafitte C, Linet B & Teyssandier P 2004 Class. Quantum Grav. 21 4463
- Linet B & Teyssandier P 2002 Phys. Rev. D 66 024045
- Linet B & Teyssandier P 2013 Class. Quantum Grav. 30 175008
- Minazzoli O & Chauvineau B 2011 Class. Quantum Grav. 28 085010
- Richter G W & Matzner R A 1983 Phys. Rev. D 28 3007
- Shapiro I I 1964 Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 789
- Teyssandier P& C. Le Poncin-Lafitte 2008 Class. Quantum Grav. 25 145020
- Teyssandier P 2012 Class. Quantum Grav. 29 245010
- Velma A K, Fienga A, Kaskar J, Manche H & Gastineau M 2013 arXiv:1306.5569v2

P. Teyssandier (\* Observatoire de Paris, Dépt SYRTE, Enhanced terms in the time delay and the direction of