Introduction	Proposal for dmacro	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appendix

# **Extremal Black Hole Entropy**

# Ashoke Sen

#### Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India

**Collaborators:** Nabamita Banerjee, Shamik Banerjee, Justin David, Rajesh Gupta, Ipsita Mandal, Dileep Jatkar, Yogesh Srivastava

Introduction	Proposal for dmacro	Degeneracy vs index	Comparison with microscopic index	Final corr
0000				

One of the successes of string theory has been an explanation of the entropy of a class of extremal black holes

 $\textbf{A}/\textbf{4G}_{\textbf{N}} = \textbf{ln}\,\textbf{d}_{\textbf{micro}}$ 

Appendix

A: Area of the event horizon

 $d_{\text{micro}}$ : microscopic degeneracy of the system of branes which carry the same entropy as the black hole.

Proposal for d<sub>macro</sub> De

Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

This formula is quite remarkable since it relates a geometric quantity in space-time to a counting problem.

However the Bekenstein-Hawking formula is an approximate formula that holds in classical general theory of relativity.

 works well only when the charges carried by the black hole are large and hence the curvature at the horizon is small.

The calculation on the microscopic side also simplifies when the charges are large.

Instead of doing exact counting of quantum states, we can use approximate methods which gives the result for large charges. 

 Introduction
 Proposal for dmacro
 Degeneracy vs index
 Comparison with microscopic index
 Final comments
 Appendix

 oo
 oo

On the microscopic side we now have a very good understanding of the exact degeneracies of a class of BPS black holes in N = 4 and N = 8 supersymmetric string theories.

Is there a generalization of the Bekenstein- Hawking formula on the macroscopic side that can be used to calculate the exact black hole degeneracies?

This can then be compared to the exact microscopic results.

Introduction	Proposal for dmacro	Degeneracy vs index	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appendix
0000					

For this we shall need to compute two types of corrections:

- higher derivative ( $\alpha'$ ) corrections.
- quantum (string loop) corrections.

Wald's formula gives a method for computing higher derivative interactions to the black hole entropy.

Is there a generalization  $d_{macro}$  of this formula in the full quantum theory of gravity that will give the exact degeneracies of black holes?

In general the macroscopic degeneracy, denoted by d<sub>macro</sub> can have two kinds of contributions:

1. From degrees of freedom living outside the horizon (hair)

Example: The fermion zero modes associated with the broken supersymmetry generators.

2. From degrees of freedom living inside the horizon.

We shall denote the degeneracy associated with the horizon degrees of freedom by  $d_{hor}$  and those associated with the hair degrees of freedom by  $d_{hair}$ .

Our main goal: Find a macroscopic formula for d<sub>hor</sub>.



# The proposed formula for *d<sub>macro</sub>*:

$$\sum_{n} \sum_{\substack{\{\vec{o}_i\}, \vec{o}_{hair} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{o}_i + \vec{o}_{hair} = \vec{o}}} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_{hor}(\vec{Q}_i) \right\} d_{hair}(\vec{Q}_{hair}; \{\vec{Q}_i\})$$

Degeneracy vs index C

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

# Proposal for dhor

Near horizon geometry of an extremal black hole always has the form of  $\text{AdS}_2 \times \text{K}.$ 

K: some compact space, possibly fibered over AdS<sub>2</sub>.

K includes the compact part of the space time as well as the angular coordinates in the black hole background, *e.g.*  $S^2$  for a four dimensional black hole.

The near horizon geometry is separated from the asymptotic region by an infinite throat and is, by itself, a solution to the equations of motion.

Thus we expect  $d_{hor}$  to be given by some computation in the near horizon  $AdS_2 \times K$  geometry.

Proposal for d<sub>macro</sub> Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

Go to the euclidean formalism and represent the  $AdS_2$  factor by the metric:

$$ds^{2} = v \left( (r^{2} - 1)d\theta^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} - 1} \right), \quad 1 \leq r < \infty, \quad \theta \equiv \theta + 2\pi$$

We need to regularize the infinite volume of  $AdS_2$  by putting a cut-off  $r \leq r_0 f(\theta)$  for some smooth periodic function  $f(\theta)$ .

 $z = \sqrt{r^2 - 1} e^{i\theta}$  plane:



Proposal for d<sub>macro</sub> Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

### Proposal for d<sub>hor</sub> (Quantum entropy function):

 $\mathbf{d_{hor}} = \mathbf{Z}^{(\text{finite})}$ 

$$\mathbf{Z} = \left\langle \exp[-\mathrm{i}\mathbf{q_k} \oint \mathbf{d} heta \, \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})}_ heta] 
ight
angle$$

(): Path integral over string fields in the euclidean near horizon background geometry.

 $\{q_k\}$ : electric charges carried by the black hole, representing electric flux of the U(1) gauge field  $A^{(k)}$  through AdS\_2

∮: integration along the boundary of AdS<sub>2</sub>

finite: Infrared finite part of the amplitude.

Proposal for d<sub>macro</sub> Degeneracy vs index

dex Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

## Managing the infrared divergence:

Cut-off:  $r \leq r_0 f(\theta)$  for some smooth periodic function  $f(\theta)$ .  $\Rightarrow$  the boundary of AdS<sub>2</sub> has finite length L  $\propto$  r<sub>0</sub>. Z<sup>(finite)</sup> is defined by expressing Z as  $Z = e^{CL+O(L^{-1})} \times 7^{(\text{finite})}$ C: A constant Equivalently:  $\ln Z^{(\text{finite})} = \lim_{L \to \infty} (1 - L \frac{d}{dL}) \ln Z$ The definition can be shown to be independent of the choice of  $f(\theta)$ .

Introduction	Proposal for d <sub>macro</sub>	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appendix

#### The role of

$$\exp\left[-i\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}\oint \mathbf{d}\theta\,\mathbf{A}_{\theta}^{(\mathbf{k})}\right].$$

In computing the path integral over AdS<sub>2</sub> we need to work in a fixed charge sector since the charge mode is non-normalizable and the mode associated with the chemical potential is normalizable.

 $\Rightarrow$  We need to add boundary terms in the action to make the path integral consistent.

 $\exp\left[-i\mathbf{q_k} \oint \mathbf{d}\theta \mathbf{A}_{\theta}^{(\mathbf{k})}\right]$  provides the required boundary term.



Introduction 0000	Proposal for d <sub>macro</sub>	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appendix

# 2. By AdS/CFT correspondence $Z = Z_{CFT_1}$ .

CFT<sub>1</sub>: Quantum mechanics obtained by taking the infrared limit of the brane system describing the black hole.

Since typically this theory has a gap, the infrared limit consists of just the ground states in a fixed charge sector.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{q}) \, \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{E}_0 \mathbf{L}}$$

(E<sub>0</sub>, d(q)): ground state (energy, degeneracy)

Thus  $Z^{(finite)} = d(q)$ .

Proposal for dmacro

Degeneracy vs index

Final comments Appendix

Note:  $d_{hor} = Z^{(finite)}$  computes the degeneracy for fixed charges, including angular momentum.

Thus this approach always gives the macroscopic entropy in the microcanonical ensemble.

ntroduction	Proposal for d <sub>macro</sub>	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appen
De	egeneracy	/ vs index			
On	the microso	copic side we	e usually compute a	n index	
On	the other ha	and d <sub>hor</sub> com	putes degeneracy.		
Ho	w do we cor	npare the two	٥?		
Str ma	ategy: Use o croscopic s	d <sub>hor</sub> to comp ide.	ute the index on the		
We din	shall illustr nensional si	ate this for the state of the s	ne helicity trace B <sub>n</sub> f d black hole.	or a four	

Introduction	Proposal for <b>d<sub>macro</sub></b>	Degeneracy vs index	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments
		•		

For a black hole that breaks 2n supercharges we define

$$B_n = (-1)^{n/2} \frac{1}{n!} \operatorname{Tr}(-1)^{2h} (2h)^n$$

Appendix

h: 3rd component of angular momentum in rest frame

$$\mathsf{B}_n = (-1)^{n/2} rac{1}{n!} \operatorname{Tr}(-1)^{2h_{hor}+2h_{hair}} (2h_{hor}+2h_{hair})^n$$

In 4D only  $h_{hor} = 0$  black holes are supersymmetric

$$\rightarrow \textbf{B}_n = (-1)^{n/2} \frac{1}{n!} \operatorname{Tr}(-1)^{2h_{hair}} (2h_{hair})^n = d_{hor} \operatorname{B}_{n;hair}$$

If the only hair degrees of freedom are the fermion zero modes associated with the broken suspersymmetry generators then  $B_{n;hair} = 1$ , and hence  $B_n = d_{hor}$ .

Introduction Pro

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

# Comparison with microscopic index

We shall consider quarter BPS dyons in type IIB string theory on K3  $\times$  S<sup>1</sup>  $\times$   $\widetilde{S}^1$  and focus on a special class of states containing

D5/D3/D1 branes wrapped on 4/2/0 cycles of K3  $\times$  (S<sup>1</sup> or  $\widetilde{S}^1$ )

Q: D-brane charges wrapped on 4/2/0 cycles of K3  $\times$   $\widetilde{S}^1$ 

P: D-brane charges wrapped on 4/2/0 cycles of K3  $\times$  S<sup>1</sup>

Q and P are each 24 dimensional vectors.

We shall try to explain some features of the microscopic index of this system using the quantum entropy function.

Introduction	Proposal for d <sub>macro</sub>	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appendix

The relevant index is  $B_6(Q, P)$  – the 6th helicity trace index of quarter BPS states carrying charges (Q, P).

Besides depending on the charges,  $B_6(Q, P)$  also depends on the asymptotic values of the moduli fields as the degeneracy can jump as we cross walls of marginal stability.

In order to facilitate comparison with the macroscopic results we shall choose the asymptotic moduli such that only single centered black holes contribute to  $B_6(Q, P)$ .

Proposal for dmacro D

Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

## **Duality symmetries**

The duality symmetries which take D-branes to D-branes is given by

 $O(4, 20; \mathbb{Z})_T \times SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_S$ 

An arithmetic invariant of  $O(4, 20; \mathbb{Z})_T \times SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_S$ :

 $\ell \equiv \text{gcd}\{\textbf{Q}_i\textbf{P}_j - \textbf{Q}_j\textbf{P}_i\}$ 

Dabholkar, Gaiotto, Nampuri

With the help of  $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})_S$  transformation any charge vector can be brought to the form

 $(\mathbf{Q},\mathbf{P})=(\ell \mathbf{Q}_0,\mathbf{P}_0), \quad \text{gcd}\{\mathbf{Q}_{0i}\mathbf{P}_{0j}-\mathbf{P}_{0i}\mathbf{Q}_{0j}\}=\mathbf{1}$ 

Banerjee, A.S.

We shall proceed with this choice.

Introduction Proposal for d<sub>macro</sub> Degeneracy vs index Comparison with microscopic index Final comments Appendix oc

Intersection form of 4/2/0 forms on *K*3 defines additional O(4, 20; **Z**) invariants

 $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{P}$ 

One finds that for  $(Q, P) = (\ell Q_0, P_0)$  the microscopic result for  $B_6(Q, P)$  takes the form

 $\sum_{|\mathbf{s}|\ell} \overline{\mathbf{s}\,\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Q}^2/\mathbf{s}^2,\mathbf{P}^2,\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{s})}, \qquad \mathbf{s}|\ell \Leftrightarrow \ell/\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

Banerjee, A.S., Srivastava; Dabholkar, Gomes, Murthy

f(m, n, p): Fourier transform of the inverse of Igusa cusp form

Proposal for dmacro

Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

$$\mathsf{B}_6(\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{P}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}ert \ell} \mathbf{s}\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{Q}^2/\mathsf{s}^2,\mathsf{P}^2,\mathsf{Q}\cdot\mathsf{P}/\mathbf{s})$$

Note: For  $\ell = 1$  only the s = 1 terms contribute.

Dijkgraaf, Verlinde, Verlinde

Our goal will be to try to understand the extra terms for  $\ell > 1$  from the macroscopic viewpoint.

## For large charges

$$f(\mathbf{Q}^{2}/\mathbf{s}^{2}, \mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{P}/\mathbf{s})$$

$$= \exp\left[\pi\sqrt{\mathbf{Q}^{2}\mathbf{P}^{2} - (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{P})^{2}}/\mathbf{s}\right]$$

 $\times$  Series expansion in inverse powers of charges

+Exponentially suppressed corrections

Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

$$\mathsf{B}_6(\mathsf{Q},\mathsf{P}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}|\ell} \mathbf{s}\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{Q}^2/\mathsf{s}^2,\mathsf{P}^2,\mathsf{Q}\cdot\mathsf{P}/\mathbf{s})$$

Note: s = 1 term always contributes.

For large charges this gives the asymptotic expansion

$$\exp\left[\pi\sqrt{\mathbf{Q}^{2}\mathbf{P}^{2}-(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{P})^{2}}+\cdots
ight]$$

Macroscopic understanding of  $\cdots$  requires loop corrections to the partition function in AdS<sub>2</sub>.

work in progress

Rest of the talk: Understanding the extra terms which appear in the microscopic formula for  $\ell > 1$  from the macroscopic viewpoint.

Introduction	Proposal for d <sub>macro</sub>	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appendix

Strategy: Look for additional saddle points in the path integral with the following properties:

1. It must be parametrized by an integer s satisfying the constraint  $\ell/s\in {\rm I\!Z}$ 

2. The classical contribution to  $\mathsf{Z}^{(\text{finite})}$  from this saddle point must be equal to

$$\exp\left[\pi\sqrt{\mathbf{Q}^{2}\mathbf{P}^{2}-(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{P})^{2}}/\mathbf{s}
ight]$$

3. It must preserve sufficient amount of supersymmetry so as not to vanish due to fermion zero mode integration.



Introduction Proposal for dmacro Degeneracy vs index Comparison with microscopic index Final comments **1.** Quantization of the RR 3-form flux requires that  $\ell/s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . 2. Ordinarily an orbifold of this type will change the asymptotic structure of space time but in AdS<sub>2</sub> it preserves the asymptotic boundary conditions. 3. The contribution to Z from this saddle point is given by  $e^{CL} \exp \left[ \pi \sqrt{\mathbf{Q}^2 \mathbf{P}^2 - (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{P})^2} / \mathbf{s} \right]$ Thus its contribution to Z<sup>(finite)</sup> is of order  $\exp \left[ \pi \sqrt{\mathbf{Q}^2 \mathbf{P}^2 - (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{P})^2} / \mathbf{s} \right]$ 

Proposal for dmacro

Degeneracy vs index o

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

Furthermore these saddle points preserve sufficient amount of supersymmeries so that integration over the fermion zero modes associated with the broken supersymmetries do not make the path integral vanish automatically.

Banerjee, Banerjee, Gupta, Mandal, A.S.

oduction	Proposal for d <sub>macro</sub>	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appe
Orb	oifold action	:			
	heta ightarrow  heta ightarrow  heta+2	$2\pi/{ m s}, \hspace{0.1in} \phi  ightarrow q$	$b + 2\pi/s$ , $\mathbf{x^5} \rightarrow \mathbf{x^5} + \mathbf{x^5}$	- 2 $\pi/s$	
	AdC contor		hift in () is involvent		
AU	Au <sub>2</sub> center	(r = 1) the s	nint in <i>e</i> is irrelevant.		
$\rightarrow$ t	he identifica	ation is $(\phi, \mathbf{x}^{t})$	$(\phi + 2\pi/\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}^{5} + 2\pi)$	/s).	
Thu (x <sup>5</sup> ,	is the RR flu $(\psi,\phi)$ gets d	ux Q through livided by s.	the cycle at $r = 1$ , s	panned by	′
Flu: divi	x quantizati isible by s, ı	on $ ightarrow$ the orb .e. if	bifold is well defined	only if Q i	s
		l	∕s∈Z		

Proposal for d<sub>macro</sub> De

Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

# Denoting the $(\mathbf{r}, \theta, \phi, \mathbf{x}^5)$ coordinates of the orbifold by $(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^5)$ we get the new metric

$$ds^{2} = v \left[ \frac{d\tilde{r}^{2}}{\tilde{r}^{2} - 1} + (\tilde{r}^{2} - 1)d\tilde{\theta}^{2} \right] + w \left[ d\psi^{2} + \sin^{2}\psi d\tilde{\phi}^{2} \right] + \cdots$$
$$(\tilde{\theta} + 2\pi/s, \tilde{\phi} + 2\pi/s, \tilde{x}^{5} + 2\pi/s) \equiv (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{x}^{5})$$

#### Define

$$\theta = \mathbf{s}\widetilde{\theta}, \quad \mathbf{r} = \widetilde{\mathbf{r}}/\mathbf{s}, \quad \phi = \widetilde{\phi} - \widetilde{\theta}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{5}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{5}} - \widetilde{\theta}$$

#### Then

$$\mathbf{ds^2} = \mathbf{v} \, \left( \frac{\mathbf{dr^2}}{\mathbf{r^2} - \mathbf{s^{-2}}} + (\mathbf{r^2} - \mathbf{s^{-2}}) \, \mathbf{d\theta^2} \right) + \mathbf{w} [\mathbf{d\psi^2} + \sin^2 \psi (\mathbf{d\phi} + \mathbf{s^{-1}} \mathbf{d\theta})^2]$$

 $(\theta + 2\pi, \phi, \mathbf{x^5}) \equiv (\theta, \phi, \mathbf{x^5})$ 

Introduction	Proposal for d <sub>macro</sub>	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments	Appendix

Its contribution to  $d_{hor}(Q, P)$  in the classical limit is given by  $\exp[S_{wald}/s] = \exp\left[2\pi\sqrt{Q^2P^2 - (Q \cdot P)^2}/s\right]$ This is the same behaviour as of  $f(Q^2/s^2, P^2, Q \cdot P/s)$ . Note: The infrared divergent part is also divided by s and gives a contribution to the exponent:

 $\mathbf{C}\,\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}/\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{C}\,\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{L}^{-1})$ 

Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic index

Final comments Appendix

# Final comments

In principle one should be able to reproduce the full macroscopic partition function from path integral over the near horizon  $AdS_2 \times K$  geometry.

This would seem to be difficult task as it involves path integral over all the string fields.

However one can argue that supersymmetry restricts the path integral to over configurations preserving a certain amount of supersymmetry.

Beasley, Gaiotto, Guica, Huang, Strominger, Yin Banerjee, Banerjee, Gupta, Mandal, A.S.

Hope: Using this result one can collapse the whole path integral to a finite dimensional integral which can then be computed.

Introduction	Proposal for <b>d</b> macro	Degeneracy vs index o	Comparison with microscopic index	Final comments ○●	Appendix

In this context it is amusing to note that even the microscopic degeneracy formula in this theory can be expressed as a sum of contributions over different saddle points, with the contribution from each saddle point being given by a two dimensional integral.

Once we are confident that the formalism works for N=4 black holes, we can then use it to compute the degeneracies of N=2 black holes where the microscopic formula is still unknown.

Proposal for d<sub>macro</sub>

Degeneracy vs index

Comparison with microscopic inde

Final comments Appendix

## **Reissner-Nordstrom solution in** D = 4:

$$egin{array}{rcl} {\sf ds}^2 &=& -({f 1}-
ho_+/
ho)({f 1}-
ho_-/
ho){\sf d} au^2 \ &+ rac{{f d}
ho^2}{({f 1}-
ho_+/
ho)({f 1}-
ho_-/
ho)} \ &+ 
ho^2({f d} heta^2+\sin^2 heta{f d}\phi^2) \end{array}$$

#### Define

$$\mathbf{2}\lambda = \rho_+ - \rho_-, \quad \mathbf{t} = \frac{\lambda \tau}{\rho_+^2}, \quad \mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathbf{2}\rho - \rho_+ - \rho_-}{\mathbf{2}\lambda}$$

and take  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  limit.

$$\mathbf{ds^2} = \rho_+^2 \left[ -(\mathbf{r^2} - \mathbf{1})\mathbf{dt^2} + \frac{\mathbf{dr^2}}{\mathbf{r^2} - \mathbf{1}} \right] + \rho_+^2 (\mathbf{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \mathbf{d}\phi^2)$$