Pleated hyperbolic surfaces in condensed matter physics

Aaron Fenyes (IHÉS)

Heidelberg Geometry Seminar

16 February, 2020

Translation surfaces



Build a *translation surface* Σ by gluing polygons along parallel sides.





Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through.



Fix a direction. Let ϕ : $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ be the unit-speed flow along it. Follow some $x \in \Sigma$ along ϕ , recording the sides you pass through. Continue the cutting sequence backward along ϕ .

















Cutting sequences are quasiperiodic

·····**B**·**A**·

Every word you see in a cutting sequence has occurred before, and will occur again.

The distance to the next occurrance is bounded above and below.

This is a kind of *quasiperiodicity*.



·····B-A-A-B-A-B-A-A-B-A-A-B-A-B-····

Varying $x \in \Sigma$ gives a family of cutting sequences.

They all contain the same words.



·····B-A-A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B----

Varying $x \in \Sigma$ gives a family of cutting sequences.

They all contain the same words.



·····B-A-B-A-A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B--···

Varying $x \in \Sigma$ gives a family of cutting sequences.

They all contain the same words.



·····B-A-B-A-A-B-A--B-A-A-B----

Varying $x \in \Sigma$ gives a family of cutting sequences.

They all contain the same words.

····**B**-**A**-**B**

Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.



Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.



Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.



Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.



Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.

Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.

Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.



Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.

Imagine A and B are types of atoms.

Then a cutting sequence is a quasiperiodic chain of atoms.

Physicists call this a one-dimensional quasicrystal.

Let's investigate its physical properties.
Quasicrystals are interesting materials

When Σ is a flat torus, the electron is known to move strangely.

- ► Its allowed energies form a Cantor set of zero measure. Bellissard, Iochum, Scoppola, Testard (1989).
- In some cases, it displays *anomalous transport*—it doesn't move steadily, or do a random walk, or sit still.
 Damanik, Tcheremchantsev (2007); Marin (2010).

Quasicrystals from other translation surfaces might be just as weird. Even the well-studied flat torus case has some mysteries left. See Damanik, "Schrödinger operators with dynamically defined potentials," §§7, 8.3.

A model for a hopping electron

·····B-A-A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B--···

In the *tight-binding model*, the electron's state is a vector $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Its motion is described by the difference operator

$$(H_x\psi)^n = -(\psi^{n+1} + \psi^{n-1}) + u_x^n\psi^n,$$

where

$$u_x^n = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{atom } n \text{ is type A} \\ \beta & \text{atom } n \text{ is type B} \end{cases}$$

is its potential energy at site *n*.

A model for a hopping electron



In the *tight-binding model*, the electron's state is a vector $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Its motion is described by the difference operator

$$(H_x\psi)^n = -(\psi^{n+1} + \psi^{n-1}) + u_x^n\psi^n,$$

where

$$u_x^n = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{atom } n \text{ is type A} \\ \beta & \text{atom } n \text{ is type B} \end{cases}$$

is its potential energy at site *n*.

A model for a hopping electron



In the *tight-binding model*, the electron's state is a vector $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Its motion is described by the difference operator

$$(H_x\psi)^n = -(\psi^{n+1} + \psi^{n-1}) + u_x^n\psi^n,$$

where

$$u_x^n = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{atom } n \text{ is type A} \\ \beta & \text{atom } n \text{ is type B} \end{cases}$$

is its potential energy at site *n*.

The spectrum of $H_x \oplus L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives the electron's allowed energies.

Studying the *E*-eigenspace of $H_x \cap \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ will lead us to a test for whether $E \in \mathbb{C}$ is in the spectrum. To build eigenvectors, solve

$$H_x\psi = E\psi$$

The spectrum of $H_x \oplus L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives the electron's allowed energies.

Studying the *E*-eigenspace of $H_x \cap \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ will lead us to a test for whether $E \in \mathbb{C}$ is in the spectrum. To build eigenvectors, solve

$$H_x \psi = E \psi$$

$$\psi^{n+1} = (u_x^n - E)\psi^n - \psi^{n-1}$$

The spectrum of $H_x \odot L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives the electron's allowed energies. Studying the *E*-eigenspace of $H_x \odot \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ will lead us to a test for whether $E \in \mathbb{C}$ is in the spectrum. To build eigenvectors, solve

$$H_{x}\psi = E\psi$$

$$\psi^{n+1} = (u_{x}^{n} - E)\psi^{n} - \psi^{n-1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi^{n+1} \\ \psi^{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{x}^{n} - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{n} \\ \psi^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The spectrum of $H_x \cap L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives the electron's allowed energies. Studying the *E*-eigenspace of $H_x \cap \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ will lead us to a test for whether $E \in \mathbb{C}$ is in the spectrum. To build eigenvectors, solve

$$H_{x}\psi = E\psi$$

$$\psi^{n+1} = (u_{x}^{n} - E)\psi^{n} - \psi^{n-1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi^{n+1} \\ \psi^{n} \\ \psi^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{x}^{n} - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{n} \\ \psi^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The spectrum of $H_x \cap L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives the electron's allowed energies. Studying the *E*-eigenspace of $H_x \cap \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ will lead us to a test for whether $E \in \mathbb{C}$ is in the spectrum. To build eigenvectors, solve

$$H_{x}\psi = E\psi$$

$$\psi^{n+1} = (u_{x}^{n} - E)\psi^{n} - \psi^{n-1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi^{n+1} \\ \psi^{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{x}^{n} - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{n} \\ \psi^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The atom type at *n* determines the transition from v^n to v^{n+1} .

See Viana, Lectures on Lyapunov Exponents, §2.1.3.

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \beta - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{array} \right]$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \beta - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{array} \right]$$





$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \beta - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

$$BAv^{0} = v^{2}$$

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \beta - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{array} \right]$$





$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \beta - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{array} \right]$$



 $BAABAv^0 = v^5$



 $ABAABAv^0 = v^6$

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \beta - E & -1 \\ 1 & \cdot \end{array} \right]$$



 $BABAABAv^0 = v^7$

Theorem (special case of Johnson 1986)

If the orbit of $x \in \Sigma$ is dense, the spectrum of H_x is the complement of

 ${E \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{V}(E) \text{ is uniformly hyperbolic with respect to } \phi}.$

Uniform hyperbolicity is a dynamical condition. It's like being Anosov, but only along ϕ , instead of in all directions.

Lift ϕ along the flat connection to a flow Φ on $\mathcal{V}(E)$.

We say $\mathcal{V}(E)$ is *uniformly hyperbolic* with respect to ϕ if it splits into line sub-bundles $\mathcal{V}^{\pm}(E)$, preserved by Φ , with

 $\|\Phi_x^{\pm t}v\| \lesssim e^{-Kt}\|v\|$

Lift ϕ along the flat connection to a flow Φ on $\mathcal{V}(E)$.

We say $\mathcal{V}(E)$ is *uniformly hyperbolic* with respect to ϕ if it splits into line sub-bundles $\mathcal{V}^{\pm}(E)$, preserved by Φ , with

$$\|\Phi_x^{\pm t}v\| \lesssim e^{-Kt}\|v\|$$



Lift ϕ along the flat connection to a flow Φ on $\mathcal{V}(E)$.

We say $\mathcal{V}(E)$ is *uniformly hyperbolic* with respect to ϕ if it splits into line sub-bundles $\mathcal{V}^{\pm}(E)$, preserved by Φ , with

$$\|\Phi_x^{\pm t}v\| \lesssim e^{-Kt}\|v\|$$



Lift ϕ along the flat connection to a flow Φ on $\mathcal{V}(E)$.

We say $\mathcal{V}(E)$ is *uniformly hyperbolic* with respect to ϕ if it splits into line sub-bundles $\mathcal{V}^{\pm}(E)$, preserved by Φ , with

$$\|\Phi_x^{\pm t}v\| \lesssim e^{-Kt}\|v\|$$



Our construction gives $\mathscr{V}(E)_x \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^2$ over the fundamental polygon. That makes $\mathscr{V}^+(E)_x$ and $\mathscr{V}^-(E)_x$ points in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \partial \mathbb{H}^3$, giving

orbit segments in polygon $\xrightarrow[equivariant]{equivariant}$ geodesics in \mathbb{H}^3



Our construction gives $\mathscr{V}(E)_x \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^2$ over the fundamental polygon. That makes $\mathscr{V}^+(E)_x$ and $\mathscr{V}^-(E)_x$ points in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \partial \mathbb{H}^3$, giving

orbit segments in polygon $\xrightarrow[]{equivariant}$ geodesics in \mathbb{H}^3



Our construction gives $\mathscr{V}(E)_x \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^2$ over the fundamental polygon. That makes $\mathscr{V}^+(E)_x$ and $\mathscr{V}^-(E)_x$ points in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \partial \mathbb{H}^3$, giving

orbit segments in polygon $\xrightarrow[equivariant]{equivariant}$ geodesics in \mathbb{H}^3



Our construction gives $\mathscr{V}(E)_x \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^2$ over the fundamental polygon. That makes $\mathscr{V}^+(E)_x$ and $\mathscr{V}^-(E)_x$ points in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \partial \mathbb{H}^3$, giving

orbit segments in polygon $\xrightarrow[equivariant]{equivariant}$ geodesics in \mathbb{H}^3



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*

They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface* with holonomy bundle $\mathcal{V}(E)$.


















The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*

with holonomy bundle $\mathscr{V}(E)$.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.







The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.







The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*

with holonomy bundle $\mathcal{V}(E)$.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*







The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*

with holonomy bundle $\mathcal{V}(E)$.





The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure. They should form the pleating locus of a *pleated hyperbolic surface*







E = +2.00

The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.



The resulting geodesics are bound together by some extra structure.

Our *E*-dependent pleated surface encodes $(H_x - E)^{-1}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$.

····**B**-**A**-**A**-**B**-**A**

Our *E*-dependent pleated surface encodes $(H_x - E)^{-1}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Choose *E*-eigenvectors $\psi_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\psi_+^0 = \psi_-^0$ and $v_{\pm}^0 \in \mathscr{V}^{\pm}(E)_x$. Splice and rescale to get unique $\psi_* \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $(H_x - E)\psi_* = \delta_0$.



Our *E*-dependent pleated surface encodes $(H_x - E)^{-1}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Choose *E*-eigenvectors $\psi_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\psi_+^0 = \psi_-^0$ and $v_{\pm}^0 \in \mathscr{V}^{\pm}(E)_x$. Splice and rescale to get unique $\psi_* \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $(H_x - E)\psi_* = \delta_0$.



Our *E*-dependent pleated surface encodes $(H_x - E)^{-1}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Choose *E*-eigenvectors $\psi_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\psi_+^0 = \psi_-^0$ and $v_{\pm}^0 \in \mathscr{V}^{\pm}(E)_x$. Splice and rescale to get unique $\psi_* \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $(H_x - E)\psi_* = \delta_0$.

 $\|\psi_{\star}^{n}\|,$ up to scaling



Our *E*-dependent pleated surface encodes $(H_x - E)^{-1}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Choose *E*-eigenvectors $\psi_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\psi_+^0 = \psi_-^0$ and $v_{\pm}^0 \in \mathscr{V}^{\pm}(E)_x$. Splice and rescale to get unique $\psi_* \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $(H_x - E)\psi_* = \delta_0$.

Shear-bend coordinates describe the geometry



Translation surface Σ with chosen direction

Shear-bend coordinates encode the electron's motion



The shear-bend coordinates depend holomorphically on E away from the spectrum.

Their singularities show us some part of the spectrum.
Shear-bend coordinates depend nicely on flow direction



Varying ϕ changes the quasiperiodicity of our material.

For pleated surfaces with finitely many pleats, the shear-bend coordinates only change when ϕ connects vertices of Σ .

The same should be true in our infinitely pleated case.

Shear-bend coordinates depend nicely on flow direction



Varying ϕ changes the quasiperiodicity of our material.

For pleated surfaces with finitely many pleats, the shear-bend coordinates only change when ϕ connects vertices of Σ .

The same should be true in our infinitely pleated case.

Questions

How do we rigorously construct a pleated surface from a uniformly hyperbolic $SL_2 \mathbb{C}$ local system?

If we change the fundamental polygon of Σ , can we interpret the new transition matrices physically?

References

Quasicrystals are interesting materials

- ► Damanik (2017). "Schrödinger operators with dynamically defined potentials" doi:10.1017/etds.2015.120.
- Bellissard, Iochum, Scoppola, Testard (1989). "Spectral properties of one-dimensional quasicrystals" doi:10.1007/BF01218415.
- ► Damanik, Tcheremchantsev (2007). "Upper bounds in quantum dynamics" doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-06-00554-6.
- Marin (2010). "Dynamical bounds for Sturmian Schrödinger operators" doi:10.1142/S0129055X10004090 (announced 2008 doi:10.1016/j.crma.2008.09.019).

References

Flat bundles reveal the electron's energies

- ► Viana (2014). Lectures on Lyapunov Exponents isbn:978-1-10-708173-4.
- Johnson (1986). "Exponential Dichotomy, Rotation Number, and Linear Differential Operators with Bounded Coefficients" doi:10.1016/0022-0396(86)90125-7.

References

Shear-bend coordinates describe the geometry

- Bonahon (1996). "Shearing hyperbolic surfaces, bending pleated surfaces, and Thurston's symplectic form" doi:10.5802/afst.829.
- Gaiotto, Moore, Neitzke (2013). "Wall-crossing, Hitchin Systems, and the WKB Approximation" doi:10.1016/j.aim.2012.09.027.
- Hollands, Neitzke (2013). "Spectral networks and Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates" doi:10.1007/s11005-016-0842-x.
- ► F. (to appear). "A dynamical perspective on shear-bend coordinates" arXiv:1510.05757.