

On the Ergodicity of Frame Flows

M. Brin and M. Gromov

Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, 35, route de Chartres, F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

1. Statement of Results

Let V be a closed (i.e. compact without boundary) connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold of class C^3 . Denote by $St_k(V)$ the space of the orthonormal tangent k -frames of V . There is a natural fibration $St_k(V) \rightarrow V$ associated to the tangent bundle $T(V)$; its fiber is the Stiefel manifold St_k^n of the orthonormal k -frames in \mathbb{R}^n . According to our notations $St_1(V)$ is the bundle of the unit tangent vectors. The Riemannian structure on V induces an R -action in $St_1(V)$ called the *geodesic flow*. Consider the natural projection $St_k(V) \rightarrow St_1(V)$ (its fiber is St_{k-1}^n) and lift the geodesic flow to a flow in $St_k(V)$ as follows:

Take a frame (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k) at a point $v \in V$, $e_i \in T_v(V)$. The geodesic flow sends e_1 to vectors tangent to the geodesic determined by e_1 . The lifted flow sends our original frame to the frames parallel to it along the geodesic. This flow in $St_k(V)$ is called the *k -frame flow*.

1.1. Main Theorem. *If the sectional curvature of V is negative, and if the dimension of V is odd and different from 7, then the k -frame flow is ergodic for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 = \dim V - 1$. Moreover, it is Bernoullian.*

1.2. Remarks. (a) The ergodicity of the 1-frame flows (i.e. of the geodesic flows) on the negatively curved manifolds is a well known fact (see [1]).

(b) Each k -frame flow is a natural factor of the $(k + 1)$ -frame flow, hence, the ergodicity of the $(n - 1)$ -frame flow implies the ergodicity of all k -frame flows with $k \leq n - 1$.

(c) We will show in Sect. 3 that the *ergodicity of the 2-frame flow for the even dimensional manifolds of negative curvature implies the ergodicity of the $(n - 1)$ -frame flow with one possible exception of $n = 8$.*

(d) For the exceptional dimension 7 we shall establish the ergodicity of the 2-frame flow.

(e) The n -frame flow can be ergodic only when V is not orientable. In this case its ergodicity is equivalent to the ergodicity of the $(n - 1)$ -frame flow (see [5]).

Notice also that for $n = 3$ the ergodicity was proved in [6] and for $n = 5$ this is an unpublished result of D. Anosov.

Examples and Counterexamples

(i) When V has constant negative curvature, all the frame flows are ergodic, and this property is stable under small perturbations of the metric (see [7]). One can show for an arbitrary V that the set of the negatively curved metrics with the ergodic n -frame flow is open and dense in the space of all C^3 -metrics on V of negative curvature (the density is established in [5], and the openness requires a simple additional argument).

(ii) When V is a Kähler manifold (of real dimension greater than 2), none of the k -frame flows with $k \geq 2$ is ergodic because the complex structure on a Kähler manifold is invariant under the parallel translation. The simplest examples of such manifolds are obtained by dividing the complex hyperbolic spaces by cocompact lattices (see [2]). This gives for each even dimension (> 2) an example of a negatively curved manifold with the nonergodic 2-frame flow. Observe that the other locally symmetric spaces of rank 1 (the quaternion hyperbolic spaces and the hyperbolic Cayley plane) provide similar examples.

Let us describe the ergodic components for these actions. We know that V is covered by a symmetric space \bar{V} of rank 1 (of the non-compact type), i.e. $V = \bar{V}/\Gamma$, $\Gamma = \pi_1(V)$. The group of isometries of \bar{V} acts on each manifold $St_k(\bar{V})$. This action is *not transitive* ($k \geq 2$) unless V has constant sectional curvature. Take an orbit $\bar{S} \subset St_k(\bar{V})$ and project it into $St_k(V)$. We obtain a smooth compact manifold $S \subset St_k(V)$ which is fibered over $St_1(V)$ and is invariant under the k -frame flow. One can easily show that *this action is ergodic in S* and, hence, by varying the orbit \bar{S} we get the ergodic decomposition of $St_k(V)$.

In the general case the ergodic decomposition is a refinement of the “holonomy decomposition” of $St_k(V)$. The following theorem provides examples when the reverse is also true.

Kähler Manifolds

Suppose that V is a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m = n/2$. Denote by $Sc_k(V) \subset St_k(V)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, the manifold of the unitary k -frames. The manifold $Sc_1(V)$ coincides with $St_1(V)$, and each $Sc_k(V)$ is fibered over $Sc_1(V)$; the fiber is the complex Stiefel manifold of the $(k-1)$ -frames in \mathbb{C}^{m-1} . Each $Sc_k(V)$ is invariant under the k -frame flow.

1.3. Theorem. *If V has negative sectional curvature, then the frame flow in $Sc_k(V)$ is ergodic in the following two cases:*

- (a) m is odd, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$;
- (b) $m = 2$, $k = 1, 2$.

1.4. Remark. We will see in Sect. 6 that, if m is even, then the ergodicity of the 2-frame flow in $Sc_2(V)$ implies the ergodicity of the m -frame flow in $Sc_m(V)$.

About the Proofs. In Sect. 2 we reduce the ergodicity problem to some standard questions in algebraic topology which are resolved in Sects. 5 and 6. In Sect. 3 we present some necessary facts on Lie groups. Section 4 is devoted to an elementary proof of the ergodicity of the 2-frame flows.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to M. Berger, P. Deligne and D. Sullivan who explained to us some important facts on Lie groups and algebraic topology. We are also grateful to the IHES whose hospitality made our work possible.

2. Reduction of the Problem to the Topology of Sphere Bundles

The fibration $St_{n-1}(V) \rightarrow St_1(V)$ is a principal fiber bundle with the structure group $SO(n-1)$.

2.1. Ergodicity Lemma. *If V has negative curvature and if the $(n-1)$ -frame flow is not ergodic, then the structure group of the fibration $St_{n-1}(V) \rightarrow St_1(V)$ can be reduced to a proper closed subgroup $G \subset SO(n-1)$. Furthermore, if the 2-frame flow is not ergodic, then the action of G on the sphere S^{n-2} is not transitive (see [5], [6]).*

2.2. The Complex Version of the Lemma. *When V is Kähler and when the m -frame flow in $Sc_m(V)$ is not ergodic, then the structure group of the fibration $Sc_m(V) \rightarrow Sc_1(V)$ can be reduced to a proper closed subgroup $G \subset U(m-1)$. Furthermore, if the 2-frame flow in $Sc_2(V)$ is not ergodic, then the action of G on the sphere S^{2m-3} is not transitive. This also follows from [5], [6].*

2.3. Remark. The conclusions of the lemmas hold when “not ergodic” is replaced by “not Bernoullian”. This follows from [6], [13], [14].

3. Groups Acting on Spheres

The table below contains the list of all subgroups of the special orthogonal group $SO(p+1)$ which act transitively on the sphere S^p (see e.g. [12]).

$p=2q$	$SO(p+1)$
$p=4q+1$	$SO(p+1), U(2q+1), SU(2q+1)$
$p=4q-1$	$SO(p+1), U(2q), SU(2q)$ $Sp(q), Sp(q) \times U(1), Sp(q) \times Sp(1)$
There are additional transitive actions on the following spheres	
$p=7$	$Spin(7)$
$p=9$	$Spin(9)$
$p=6$	\mathbb{G}_2

Using the first and the last lines of the table and the Ergodicity Lemma we immediately obtain Remark 1.2(c).

4. Proof of the Ergodicity of the 2-frame Flows

Start with a simple general fact.

4.1. Lemma (compare with [8]). *Let $X \rightarrow S^q$ be a fibration with the fiber S^p . If this fibration admits a structure group G which acts non-transitively on the fiber, then there is a section $S^q \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. We must show that the boundary homomorphism $\partial: \pi_q(S^q) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S^p)$ from the homotopy sequence of the fibration is trivial.

Take an orbit $T \subset S^p$ of a point under the action of G and consider the corresponding subfibration $Y \subset X$ with the fiber T . The homomorphism ∂ can be decomposed into the boundary homomorphism $\pi_q(S^q) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(T)$ and the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{q-1}(T) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S^p)$. Since $T \neq S^p$, the inclusion homomorphism is trivial, and hence, ∂ is also trivial.

4.2. Corollary. *Consider the unit tangent bundle of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere $St_2^n \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. If n is odd, then the structure group of this bundle cannot be reduced to a subgroup which is not transitive on the fiber ($= S^{n-2}$).*

This follows from Lemma 4.1 and from the classical fact of the non-existence of vector fields on the even dimensional spheres.

We are able now to prove the Main Theorem for $k=2$.

4.3. Proposition. *The 2-frame flow on an odd dimensional manifold V of negative curvature is ergodic.*

Proof. By restricting the bundle $St_2(V) \rightarrow St_1(V)$ to the tangent sphere at a point in V , we get the bundle $St_2^n \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. Corollary 4.2 says that its structure group must be transitive on the fiber ($= S^{n-2}$), and hence, this is also true for the ambient fibration $St_2(V) \rightarrow St_1(V)$. Lemma 2.1 concludes the proof.

Kähler Manifolds. The ergodicity of the unitary 2-frame flow can be proved by the same argument as above in view of the following fact.

4.4. Proposition. *Consider the fibration $Sc_2^m \rightarrow S^{2m-1}$, where Sc_2^m denotes the manifold of the unitary 2-frames in \mathbb{C}^m . If m is odd, then there is no section $S^{2m-1} \rightarrow Sc_2^m$.*

For the proof see [15].

5. Proof of the Ergodicity of the $(n-1)$ -frame Flows

Using the Ergodicity Lemma and the results of the previous section we reduce the main theorem to the following fact.

5.1. Proposition. *The structure group of the fibration $SO(n) = St_{n-1}^n \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ cannot be reduced to a subgroup $G \subset SO(n-1)$ which acts transitively on S^{n-2} , provided n is odd and different from 7.*

Proof. According to the table from Sect. 3 we must consider the following cases.

Case 1. $G=U(q)$, $2q=n-1$, $n>3$. In this case the proposition is equivalent to the non-existence of quasi-complex structures on S^{2q} , $q=1, 3$, but this is a known fact (see [3]).

Case 2. $G=Sp(q) \times Sp(1)$, $4q=n-1$, $n \geq 9$. Denote by $\chi \in \pi_{4q-1}(SO(4q))$ the element corresponding to the bundle $SO(4q+1) \rightarrow S^{4q}$. We must show that χ is not contained in the image $\bar{I} \subset \pi_{4q-1}(SO(4q))$ of $\pi_{4q-1}(Sp(q) \times Sp(1))$ under the homomorphism corresponding to the inclusion $Sp(q) \times Sp(1) \subset SO(4q)$. Observe that $\pi_{4q-1}(SO(4q)) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{4q-1}(Sp(1))$ is finite (see [4], [9]). It follows that \bar{I} is equal to the image of $\pi_{4q-1}(Sp(q))$ alone. But the inclusion $Sp(q) \rightarrow SO(4q)$ factors as $Sp(q) \rightarrow U(2q) \xrightarrow{j} SO(4q)$, and by the previous case, the image $j_*(\pi_{4q-1}(U(2q))) \subset \pi_{4q-1}(SO(4q))$ does not contain χ .

Case 3. $G=Spin(7)$, $n=9$. Suppose that the structure group of the fibration $SO(9) \rightarrow S^8$ is reduced to $Spin(7) \xrightarrow{i} SO(8)$. In this case the element $\chi \in \pi_7(SO(8))$ corresponding to the fibration $SO(9) \rightarrow S^8$ must be contained in the image $\bar{I} \subset \pi_7(SO(8))$ of the inclusion homomorphism. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Spin(7) & \xrightarrow{i} & O(8) \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow g \\ & & S^7 \end{array}$$

The map f is a fibration with the fiber \mathbb{G}_2 , and the image of the characteristic homomorphism $\partial: \pi_7(S^7) \rightarrow \pi_6(\mathbb{G}_2)$ has a non-trivial 3-component (see [3]; in fact, this image coincides with $\pi_6(\mathbb{G}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_3$, see [10]). Using the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} = \pi_7(Spin(7)) \xrightarrow{f^*} \pi_7(S^7) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_6(\mathbb{G}_2)$$

we conclude that the image $f_*(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z} = \pi_7(S^7)$ has at least index 3. On the other hand, $g_*(\chi) = 2 \in \mathbb{Z} = \pi_7(S^7)$, and the contradiction finishes the proof.

Case 4. $G=Spin(9)$, $n=17$. Suppose that the structure group of the fibration $SO(17) \rightarrow S^{16}$ is reduced to $Spin(9) \subset SO(16)$. We derive the contradiction as before from the fact that the image of the characteristic homomorphism $\pi_{15}(S^{15}) \rightarrow \pi_{14}(Spin(7))$ of the fibration $Spin(9) \rightarrow S^{15}$ (with the fiber $Spin(7)$) has a non-trivial 3-component (see [3]; notice that the group $\pi_{14}(Spin(7))$ is of order $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, see [10]).

Cases 1 and 2 imply the proposition for the remaining groups SU , Sp and $Sp \times U(1)$, and the proof is finished.

6. Proof of the Ergodicity of the m -frame Flows on the Kähler Manifolds

We consider now a Kähler manifold V of complex dimension m and the bundle $Sc_m(V) \rightarrow Sc_1(V)$ from Section 1. Suppose that the structure group is reduced to a proper subgroup $G \subset U(m-1)$. When $m-1$ is odd, the table from Section 3

shows that G cannot act transitively on S^{2m-3} , and together with the complex version of the Ergodicity Lemma this implies Remark 1.4.

Observe further that *the connected component of the identity in G cannot be simply connected*. Otherwise, the real Chern class $c_1(V)$ would vanish, but on a Kähler manifold of negative curvature c_1 is represented by a negative $(1,1)$ -form. This shows that for $m=2, 3$ the group G must coincide with $U(m-1)$.

Turn now to the case when $m \geq 5$ is of the form $2q+1$. The group G must be transitive on S^{4q-1} (see Sect. 4), and the only remaining possibility is the one of $G = Sp(q) \times U(1) \subset U(2q)$. But this cannot happen because of the following.

6.1. Lemma. *The structure group of the fibration $U(2q+1) \rightarrow S^{4q+1}$ cannot be reduced to $Sp(q) \times U(1) \subset U(2q)$.*

Proof. We must show that the characteristic element $\chi \in \pi_{4q}(U(2q))$ of the fibration $U(2q+1) \rightarrow S^{4q+1}$ is not contained in the image of the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{4q}(Sp(q) \times U(1)) = \pi_{4q}(Sp(q)) \rightarrow \pi_{4q}(U(2q))$. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that the image of χ under the projection $\pi_{4q}(U(2q)) \rightarrow \pi_{4q}(S^{4q-1}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ does not vanish, and hence, we must only prove that the homomorphism $\pi_{4q}(Sp(q)) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{4q}(S^{4q-1})$, corresponding to the fibration $Sp(q) \xrightarrow{p} S^{4q-1}$, vanishes.

When q is even $\pi_{4q}(Sp(q)) = 0$ (see [4]), and the proof is finished.

Let q be odd and consider the exact homotopy sequence of the last fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_{4q}(Sp(q)) & \xrightarrow{p_*} & \pi_{4q}(S^{4q-1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_{4q-1}(Sp(q-1)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \pi_{4q-1}(Sp(q)) \\ & & \parallel & & & & \\ & & \mathbb{Z}_2 & & & & \end{array}$$

By using the facts that $\pi_{4q}(Sp(q)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\pi_{4q-1}(Sp(q)) = \mathbb{Z}$ (see [4]) and $\pi_{4q-1}(Sp(q-1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (see [11]) we conclude that p_* vanishes. The proof is finished.

References

1. Anosov, D.V.: Geodesic flows on closed Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **90** (1967)
2. Borel, A.: Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces. Topology. **2**, 111-122 (1963)
3. Borel, A., Serre, J.-P.: Groupes de Lie et puissances réduites de Steenrod. Amer. J. Math. **75**, 409-448 (1953)
4. Bott, R.: Lectures on $K(X)$. New York, Amsterdam; W.A. Benjamin, Inc. 1969
5. Brin, M.: Topological transitivity of one class of dynamical systems and flows of frames on manifolds of negative curvature. Funct. Analysis and Its Applic. **9**, 8-16 (1975)
6. Brin, M.: Topology of group extensions of Anosov systems. Math. Notes of the Acad. of Sci. of the USSR **18**, 858-864 (1975)
7. Brin, M., Pesin, Ya.: Partially hyperbolic dynamical systems. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestija **8**, 177-218 (1974)
8. Gromov, M.: On a geometric Banach's problem. Izvestija AN SSSR, ser. mat. **31**, 1105-1114 (1967) (in Russian)
9. Hu, S.T.: Homotopy Theory. New York, London: Academic Press 1959

10. Mimura, M.: The homotopy groups of Lie groups of low rank. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **6**, 131–176 (1967)
11. Mimura, M., Toda, H.: Homotopy groups of symplectic groups. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **3**, 251–273 (1964)
12. Oniščik, A.L.: On Lie groups transitive on compact manifolds III. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik* **4**, 233–240 (1968)
13. Ratner, M.: Anosov flows with Gibbs measures are also Bernoullian. *Israel J. Math.* **17**, 380–391 (1974)
14. Rudolph, D.J.: Classifying the isometric extensions of a Bernoulli shift. *J. d'Analyse Mathématique* **34**, 36–60 (1978)
15. Steenrod, N.: *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*. Princeton New Jersey: Princeton Univ. Press 1951

Received November 9, 1979