

L^2 HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON PSEUDO-CONVEX COVERINGS.

M. Gromov

IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, France

G. Henkin

Université Paris-VI, France

M. Shubin*

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

0. Preliminaries and main results.

1. Let M be a complex manifold with a non-empty smooth boundary which will be denoted by bM , $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} M = n$. Let us assume that a real-valued C^∞ -function $\rho = \rho(z)$ is given in a complex neighbourhood \tilde{M} of $\bar{M} = M \cup bM$, $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \tilde{M} = n$, so that

$$(0.1) \quad M = \{z \mid \rho(z) < 0\}, \quad bM = \{z \mid \rho(z) = 0\}; \quad \nabla \rho(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in bM.$$

For any $z \in bM$ denote by $T_z^c(bM)$ the complex tangent space to bM : the maximal complex subspace in the real tangent space $T_z(bM)$, $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} T_z^c(bM) = n - 1$. If z_1, \dots, z_n are complex local coordinates in \tilde{M} near $z \in bM$, then $T_z \tilde{M}$ is identified with \mathbf{C}^n and

$$(0.2) \quad T_z^c(bM) = \{w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_j}(z) w_j = 0\}.$$

The *Levi form* is an hermitian form on $T_z^c(bM)$ defined in the local coordinates as follows:

$$(0.3) \quad L_z(w, \bar{w}) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(z) w_j \bar{w}_k.$$

The manifold M is called *pseudoconvex* if $L_z(w, \bar{w}) \geq 0$ for all $z \in bM$ and $w \in T_z^c(bM)$. It is called *strongly pseudoconvex* if $L_z(w, \bar{w}) > 0$ for all $z \in bM$ and all $w \neq 0$, $w \in T_z^c(bM)$. In this case replacing ρ by $e^{\lambda \rho} - 1$ with sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$ we can assume that $L_z(w, \bar{w}) > 0$ for all $w \neq 0$ (not only for w satisfying the condition in (0.2)).

Denote by $\mathcal{O}(M)$ the set of all holomorphic functions on M .

A point $z \in bM$ is called a *peak point* for $\mathcal{O}(M)$ if there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ such that f is unbounded on M but bounded outside $U \cap M$ for any neighbourhood U of z in \tilde{M} . A point $z \in bM$ is called a *local peak point* for $\mathcal{O}(M)$ if there exists a function

* Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9222491

$f \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ such that f is unbounded in $U \cap M$ for any neighbourhood U of z in \tilde{M} and there exists a neighbourhood U of z in \tilde{M} such that for any neighbourhood V of z in \tilde{M} the function f is bounded in $U - V$.

The Oka-Grauert theorem ([Gr], see also [F-K], [H]) states that if M is strongly pseudoconvex and \overline{M} is compact, then every point $z \in bM$ is a peak point for $\mathcal{O}(M)$. (Moreover for every $z \in bM$ there exist functions $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ which are local complex coordinates in $U \cap M$ for a neighbourhood U of z in \tilde{M} .) It follows in particular that the space $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is infinite-dimensional.

One of the goals of this paper is to extend this result to the case when \overline{M} is not necessarily compact but admits a free holomorphic action of a discrete group Γ such that the orbit space \overline{M}/Γ is compact (or in other words \overline{M} is a regular covering of a compact complex manifold with a strongly pseudoconvex boundary). In this case we shall use the von Neumann Γ -dimension \dim_{Γ} to measure Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions (or some exterior forms) which are in L^2 with respect to a Γ -invariant smooth measure on \overline{M} . In case when the group Γ is trivial (i.e. has only one element) the Γ -dimension is just the usual dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}$. We shall prove that in general case the space of L^2 -holomorphic functions on a strongly pseudoconvex regular covering of a compact manifold has an infinite Γ -dimension and every point $z \in bM$ is a local peak point.

Let us choose a boundary point x for a strongly pseudoconvex manifold M and describe the classical E. Levi construction of a locally defined holomorphic function on $U \cap M$ (here U is a neighbourhood of x in \tilde{M}) with the peak point x . Let us consider the Taylor expansion of ρ at x :

$$(0.4) \quad \rho(z) = \rho(x) + 2\operatorname{Re} f(x, z) + L_x(z - x, \bar{z} - \bar{x}) + O(|z - x|^3),$$

where L_x is the Levi form at x and $f(x, z)$ is a complex quadratic polynomial with respect to z :

$$f(x, z) = \sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_{\nu}}(x)(z_{\nu} - x_{\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial z_{\mu} \partial z_{\nu}}(x)(z_{\mu} - x_{\mu})(z_{\nu} - x_{\nu}).$$

The complex quadric hypersurface $S_x = \{z \mid f(x, z) = 0\}$ has $T_x^c(bM)$ as its tangent plane at x . Therefore the strict pseudoconvexity implies that $\rho(z) > 0$ if $f(x, z) = 0$ and $z \neq x$ is close to x . This means that near x the intersection of the hypersurface S_x with \overline{M} consists of one point x . Hence the function $1/f(x, \cdot)$ is holomorphic in $U \cap M$ (where U is a neighbourhood of x in \tilde{M}) and x is its peak point.

The technique which allows to pass from locally defined holomorphic functions to global ones is $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomologies on complex manifolds. For any integers p, q with $1 \leq p, q \leq n$ denote by $\Lambda^{p,q}(M)$ the space of all C^{∞} forms on M which can be written in local complex coordinates as

$$\omega = \sum_{|I|=p, |J|=q} \omega_{I,J} dz^I \wedge d\bar{z}^J,$$

where $dz^I = dz^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{i_p}$, $dz^J = d\bar{z}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^{j_q}$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$, $i_1 < \dots < i_p$, $j_1 < \dots < j_q$, and $\omega_{I,J}$ are C^∞ functions in local coordinates. For such a form ω its $\bar{\partial}$ differential is written as

$$\bar{\partial}\omega = \sum_{|I|=p, |J|=q} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\bar{\partial}\omega_{I,J}}{\partial\bar{z}^k} d\bar{z}^k \wedge dz^I \wedge d\bar{z}^J,$$

so $\bar{\partial}$ defines a linear map $\bar{\partial} : \Lambda^{p,q}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,q+1}(M)$. All these maps constitute a complex of vector spaces

$$\Lambda^{p,\bullet} : 0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,0} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Its cohomologies are denoted $H^{p,q}(M)$.

An important part of the Grauert theorem is the fact that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}(M) < \infty$ for all p, q with $q > 0$ provided M is strictly pseudoconvex and \bar{M} is compact. This fact is used in constructing global holomorphic functions on M with a peak point $x \in \partial M$ as follows. We start with a locally defined function $g \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap M)$ (here U is a neighbourhood of x in \bar{M}), multiply it by a cut-off function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(U)$ which equals 1 in a neighbourhood of x , then solve the equation $\bar{\partial}f = \bar{\partial}(\chi g)$ on M in appropriate function spaces consisting of bounded functions on M . If we can do this then the function $\chi g - f$ is holomorphic on M and x is its peak point. The solvability of the equation $\bar{\partial}f = \alpha \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ for all forms α with $\bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$ is equivalent to the vanishing of $H^{0,1}(M)$. If we only know that the latter space has a finite dimension then we still can solve the equation $\bar{\partial}f = \alpha$ for all $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms α in the space of finite codimension in the space of all $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms. This is sufficient to construct holomorphic functions on M with the peak point x because it is easy to provide an infinite-dimensional space of holomorphic functions in a neighbourhood of x having x as its peak point (e.g. we can take a linear space spanned by all powers of one function with the peak point x).

We should be also able to provide bounded solutions of the equation $\bar{\partial}f = \alpha$ provided α is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed and sufficiently regular up to the boundary. Therefore we should consider cohomologies $H^{p,q}(M)$ with estimates.

2. Now we shall give a very brief description of the Γ -dimension. It will be used to measure Γ -invariant spaces (of functions and forms) which are infinite-dimensional in the usual sense. It is also convenient to use the Γ -trace. For more details we refer the reader to [A], [C] and textbooks on von Neumann algebras (e.g. [D], [N], [T]).

We shall denote the Γ -dimension by \dim_Γ . It is defined on the set of all (projective) Hilbert Γ -modules and takes values in $[0, \infty]$. The simplest Hilbert Γ -module is given by a left regular representation of Γ : it is the Hilbert space $L^2\Gamma$ consisting of all complex-valued L^2 -functions on Γ . The group Γ acts unitarily on $L^2\Gamma$ by $\gamma \mapsto L_\gamma$ where L_γ is defined as follows:

$$L_\gamma f(x) = f(\gamma^{-1}x), \quad x \in \Gamma; \quad f \in L^2\Gamma.$$

By definition $\dim_\Gamma L^2\Gamma = 1$.

For any (complex) Hilbert space \mathcal{H} define a free Hilbert Γ -module $L^2\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Its Γ -dimension equals $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}$. The action of Γ in $L^2\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is defined by $\gamma \mapsto L_\gamma \otimes I$.

A general Hilbert Γ -module is a closed Γ -invariant subspace in a free Hilbert Γ -module. It would be natural to call such subspaces *projective* Hilbert modules, but the word “projective” is usually omitted, so only projective Hilbert modules are considered.

For any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} denote by \mathcal{A}_Γ a von Neumann algebra which consists of all bounded linear operators in $L^2\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{H}$ which commute with the action of Γ there. This algebra is in fact generated by the operators of the form $R_\gamma \otimes B$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators in \mathcal{H} , R_γ is the operator of the right translation in $L^2\Gamma$ i.e.

$$R_\gamma f(x) = f(x\gamma), \quad x \in \Gamma; \quad f \in L^2\Gamma.$$

This means that the algebra \mathcal{A}_Γ is the weak closure of all finite linear combinations of the operators of the form $R_\gamma \otimes B$. So in fact \mathcal{A}_Γ is a tensor product (in the sense of von Neumann algebras) of \mathcal{R}_Γ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ where \mathcal{R}_Γ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the operators R_γ in $L^2\Gamma$ (it consists of all operators in $L^2\Gamma$ which commute with all operators L_γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma$).

There is a natural trace on \mathcal{R}_Γ . It is denoted by tr_Γ and defined as the diagonal matrix element (all of them are equal) in the δ -functions basis. For example we can define it by

$$\text{tr}_\Gamma S = (S\delta_e, \delta_e), \quad S \in \mathcal{R}_\Gamma,$$

where e is the neutral element of Γ , $\delta_e \in L^2\Gamma$ is the “Dirac delta-function” at e , i.e. $\delta_e(x) = 1$ if $x = e$ and 0 otherwise. There is also a natural trace on \mathcal{A}_Γ too: $\text{Tr}_\Gamma = \text{tr}_\Gamma \otimes \text{Tr}$ where Tr is the usual trace on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Now for any Hilbert Γ -module which is a closed Γ -invariant subspace L in $L^2\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{H}$, its Γ -dimension is defined by the natural formula

$$\dim_\Gamma L = \text{Tr}_\Gamma P_L,$$

where P_L is the orthogonal projection on L in $L^2\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{H}$.

3. Let us describe the spaces of reduced Dolbeault cohomologies on a complex (generally non-compact) manifold M with a given hermitian metric. Denote the Hilbert space of all (measurable) square-integrable (p, q) -forms on M by $L^2\Lambda^{p,q} = L^2\Lambda^{p,q}(M)$. The operator

$$\bar{\partial} : L^2\Lambda^{p,q}(M) \longrightarrow L^2\Lambda^{p,q+1}(M)$$

is defined as the maximal operator i.e. its domain $D^{p,q} = D^{p,q}(\bar{\partial}; M)$ is the set of all $\omega \in L^2\Lambda^{p,q}$ such that $\bar{\partial}\omega \in L^2\Lambda^{p,q+1}$ where $\bar{\partial}\omega$ is applied in the sense of distributions. Obviously $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ on $D^{p,q}$ and we can form a complex

$$L^2\Lambda^{p,*} : \quad 0 \longrightarrow D^{p,0} \longrightarrow D^{p,1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow D^{p,n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Its cohomologies are denoted $L^2H^{p,q}(M)$ and called L^2 Dolbeault cohomologies of M :

$$L^2H^{p,q}(M) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial} : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p,q+1}) / \text{Im}(\bar{\partial} : D^{p,q-1} \rightarrow D^{p,q}).$$

We actually need reduced cohomologies

$$L^2 \bar{H}^{p,q}(M) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial} : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p,q+1}) / \overline{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial} : D^{p,q-1} \rightarrow D^{p,q})},$$

where the line over $\text{Im} \bar{\partial}$ means its closure in the corresponding L^2 space. Since $\text{Ker} \bar{\partial}$ is a closed subspace in L^2 , the reduced cohomology space $L^2 \bar{H}^{p,q}(M)$ is a Hilbert space.

Note that the space $L^2 \bar{H}^{0,0}(M)$ coincides with the space $L^2 \mathcal{O}(M)$ of all square-integrable holomorphic functions on M .

4. Let us assume now that M is a complex manifold (with boundary) with a free action of a discrete group Γ on \bar{M} such that \bar{M}/Γ is compact and the action is holomorphic on M . (Here $\bar{M} = M \cup bM$.) Let us assume that an hermitian Γ -invariant metric is given on \bar{M} . Then the reduced L^2 Dolbeault cohomologies become Hilbert Γ -modules. Hence they have a well defined Γ -dimension (possibly infinity).

Now we will formulate our main results. We will always assume that we are in the situation described above, M is strongly pseudoconvex and bM is nonempty.

Theorem 0.1. $\dim_{\Gamma} L^2 \bar{H}^{p,q}(M) < \infty$ for all p, q provided $q > 0$.

Theorem 0.2. $\dim_{\Gamma} L^2 \mathcal{O}(M) = \infty$ and each point in bM is a local peak point for $L^2 \mathcal{O}(M)$.

Under the same conditions it is also possible to construct holomorphic functions which have stronger local singularities (not L^2) but are in L^2 in a generalized sense. For any $s \in \mathbf{R}$ denote by $W^s = W^s(M)$ the uniform (Γ -invariant) Sobolev space of distributions on M , based on the space $W^0 = L^2(M)$ constructed with the use of a smooth Γ -invariant measure on \bar{M} (see e.g. [S1] for the details on the Sobolev spaces). The space W^{-s} for large $s > 0$ contains in particular holomorphic functions on M with power singularities at the boundary. For any $s \in \mathbf{R}$ the space W^s is a Hilbert Γ -module with respect to the natural action of Γ . Denote by $W^s \mathcal{O}(M)$ the space of all elements in W^s which are actually holomorphic functions on M . Now we can formulate another version of Theorem 0.2.

Theorem 0.3. For any $x \in bM$ and any integer $N > 0$ there exists $s > 0$ and a closed Γ -invariant subspace $L \subset W^{-s} \mathcal{O}(M)$ such that

- (i) $\dim_{\Gamma} L = N$;
- (ii) $L \cap L^2(M) = \{0\}$ but for any $f \in L$ and any Γ -invariant neighbourhood U of x in \bar{M} we have $f \in L^2(M - U)$.

It is also possible to construct L^2 -holomorphic functions on M which are in $C^\infty(\bar{M})$:

Theorem 0.4. For any integer $N > 0$ there exists a Γ -invariant subspace $L \subset L^2 \mathcal{O}(M) \cap C^\infty(\bar{M})$ such that $\dim_{\Gamma} \bar{L} = N$ where \bar{L} is the closure of L in $L^2(M)$.

Examples. 1) Let X be a compact real-analytic manifold with an infinite fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$. Assume that X is imbedded into its complexification Y and a Riemannian metric is chosen on Y . Let X_ε be a ε -neighbourhood of X in Y where ε is

sufficiently small. It is known ([M1], [Gr]) that then X_ε is strongly pseudoconvex. Let M be the universal covering of X_ε . Theorems 0.1–0.4 can be applied to M and we conclude in particular that there are sufficiently many L^2 holomorphic functions on M .

A particular case: strip $\{z \mid |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$ in \mathbf{C} with the action of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}$ by translations along \mathbf{R} . Of course in this case L^2 holomorphic functions can be obtained by the Fourier transform or explicitly (e.g. take $1/(a^2 + z^2)$ where $a > 1$).

2) Let X be a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic positive vector bundle E on X . The positivity means that E is supplied with an hermitian metric and ε -neighbourhood X_ε of X in the total space of E is strongly pseudoconvex (for some $\varepsilon > 0$ or, equivalently, for any $\varepsilon > 0$).

Note that X_ε is not a Stein manifold because it has a non-trivial compact complex submanifold X (the zero section of E). But we are again in the situation of the Theorems 0.1–0.4 and these theorems give extensions of some results of Gromov [Gro] and Napier [Na]. Namely let M be the universal covering of X_ε . Theorems 0.2–0.4 guarantee that there are many L^2 holomorphic functions on M . In particular, $\dim_\Gamma L^2 \mathcal{O}(M) = \infty$. Spaces of L^2 holomorphic functions with a finite positive Γ -dimension were constructed in [Gro], [Na] from functions which are polynomial along the fibers.

Remarks.

1) If in the assumptions of the theorems above M/Γ is a Stein manifold then Stein [St] proved that M is also a Stein manifold. It follows from this result that there are sufficiently many holomorphic functions on M then, but it does not follow that there exist non-trivial L^2 holomorphic functions. On the other hand it can happen that M/Γ is not Stein (see Example 2 above). Then even the existence of any holomorphic function on M which is not constant along orbits of Γ is not obvious.

2) If $bM = \emptyset$ then it follows from the arguments of Atiyah [A] that $\dim_\Gamma L^2 \bar{H}^{p,q}(M) < \infty$ for all p, q (including $q = 0$). In this case in fact $L^2 \mathcal{O}(M) = \{0\}$. If E is a positive Γ -invariant holomorphic line bundle, then the Atiyah index theorem [A] implies that $\dim_\Gamma L^2 \mathcal{O}(M, E^k) > 0$ for large k ; in particular, the space of all holomorphic sections of E^k is infinite-dimensional in the usual sense. (See [Gro] and [Na] for further results.)

3) Theorems 0.2–0.4 remain valid if we replace holomorphic functions by holomorphic $(p, 0)$ -forms. More generally all Theorems 0.1–0.4 are true for sections of arbitrary holomorphic vector Γ -bundles over M .

4) Theorems 0.1, 0.3, 0.4 can be extended to the case when M is strongly pseudoconvex but with possibly non-smooth boundary i.e. we can drop the requirement $\nabla \rho \neq 0$ on bM in (0.1) but require instead that the Levi form (0.3) is positive for all $z \in bM$ and all $w \neq 0, w \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

5) Let us assume that the Levi form (0.3) is non-degenerate on $T_z^c(bM)$ for all $z \in bM$ and the boundary bM is connected (this is automatically true if bM is strongly pseudoconvex). Let r be the number of negative eigenvalues of the Levi form in $T_z^c(bM)$. Then

$$\dim_\Gamma L^2 \bar{H}^{0,r} = \infty$$

and

$$\dim_{\Gamma} L^2 \bar{H}^{p,q} < \infty, \quad q \neq r.$$

This is a generalization to the covering case of the classical theorems by Andreotti-Grauert and Andreotti-Vesentini (see [A-V], [F-K], [Hö]).

6) First applications of von Neumann algebras to constructions of non-trivial spaces of L^2 -holomorphic functions or sections of holomorphic vector bundles are due to M. Atiyah [A] and A. Connes [Co]. J. Roe proved existence of an infinite-dimensional space of L^2 holomorphic sections of a power E^k for a uniformly positive holomorphic line bundle E over a complete Kähler simply connected manifold of non-positive curvature without any action of a discrete group (see [R] for further results and references).

1. $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomologies of pseudoconvex coverings.

1. In this section we will prove Theorem 0.1. We will start by extending the Kohn-Morrey estimates ([F-K],[M2]) to our case. We will always assume that M is strictly pseudoconvex.

First we will consider a general Γ -invariant analytic situation. Namely let M be a C^∞ -manifold (possibly with boundary) with a free action of a discrete group Γ such that \bar{M}/Γ is compact. Let E be a (complex) vector Γ -bundle on \bar{M} with a Γ -invariant hermitian metric in the fibers of E . We shall use Γ -invariant Sobolev spaces W^s of sections of E over M . The scale of the Hilbert spaces $W^s = W^s(M, E)$ is based on the Hilbert space $L^2(M, E)$ which is taken with respect to a smooth Γ -invariant measure on \bar{M} and the given Γ -invariant hermitian metric on E over \bar{M} . Let \tilde{M} be a Γ -invariant complex neighbourhood of \bar{M} . Assume that E and the measure on M are extended to \tilde{M} in a smooth Γ -invariant way. For any $s \in \mathbf{R}$ the space $W^s = W^s(M, E)$ is a Hilbert space which consists of all restrictions to M of finite linear combinations of all sections Au where $u \in L^2(\tilde{M}, E)$ and A is a properly supported Γ -invariant pseudodifferential operator of order $-s$ on \tilde{M} (see e.g. [A] or [S1]). The norm in W^s is denoted $\|\cdot\|_s$.

In particular Γ -invariant Sobolev spaces $W^s \Lambda^{p,q}$ of (p, q) -differential forms on M are well defined.

Let us consider $\bar{\partial}$ as the maximal operator in L^2 and let $\bar{\partial}^*$ be the Hilbert space adjoint operator. We shall also use the corresponding Laplacian

$$\square_{p,q} = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial} \quad \text{on} \quad L^2 \Lambda^{p,q}(M).$$

We shall denote the domain of any operator A by $D(A)$. Let $\Lambda_c^*(\bar{M})$ denotes the set of all C^∞ forms with compact support on \bar{M} .

For any complex 1-form α denote by $i(\alpha)$ the substitution operator $i(v)$ of the (complex) vector field v corresponding to the form α with the use of the given hermitian metric, so $i(\alpha) : \Lambda^p(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1}(M)$. In particular we shall use $i(\partial\rho)$ where $\partial : \Lambda^{p,q} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1,q}$ appears in the standard decomposition $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ for the de Rham differential d .

The following Lemma gives a description of the operators $\bar{\partial}^*$, \square (as well as their domains $D(\bar{\partial}^*)$, $D(\square)$).

Lemma 1.1. (i) *The operator $\bar{\partial}^*$ can be obtained as the closure from the initial domain*

$$(1.1) \quad D_0(\bar{\partial}^*) = \{\omega \mid \omega \in \Lambda_c^*(\bar{M}), i(\partial\rho)\omega = 0 \text{ on } bM\} .$$

(ii) *The operator $\square = \square_{p,q}$ can be obtained as the closure from the initial domain*

$$(1.2) \quad D_0(\square) = \{\omega \mid \omega \in \Lambda_c^*(\bar{M}), i(\partial\rho)\omega = 0 \text{ and } i(\partial\rho)\bar{\partial}\omega = 0 \text{ on } bM\} .$$

Proof. The proof is a simple combination of the one given by Hörmander [Hö] in the compact case and Gaffney's cut-off trick (see [G], [Gro]). \square

Remark. The conditions on ω in (1.2) are called the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann conditions.

Proposition 1.2. *The domain $D(\square_{p,q})$, $q > 0$, is included into $W^{1/2}$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following estimate is true*

$$\|\omega\|_{1/2}^2 \leq C((\square_{p,q}\omega, \omega) + \|\omega\|_0^2), \quad \omega \in D(\square_{p,q}), \quad q > 0 .$$

Proof. Summing up the corresponding local Morrey estimates from [M1], [M2] with the use of a Γ -invariant partition of unity we obtain the following fundamental inequality:

$$\|\omega\|_{L^2(bM)}^2 \leq C((\square_{p,q}\omega, \omega) + \|\omega\|_0^2), \quad \omega \in \Lambda_c^{p,q}(\bar{M}), \quad q > 0 .$$

This inequality, the Kohn-type estimate (see [F-K])

$$\|u\|_{1/2}^2 \leq C(\|u\|_{L^2(bM)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}u\|_{L^2(M)}^2), \quad u \in C^\infty(\bar{M}),$$

and Lemma 1.1 imply the necessary statement. \square

Corollary 1.3. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\omega\|_{1/2} \leq C\|\omega\|_0, \quad \omega \in \text{Ker } \square_{p,q}, \quad q > 0,$$

where $\text{Ker } \square = \{\omega \mid \omega \in D(\square), \square\omega = 0\}$.

2. Let us formulate the necessary version of the Hodge-Kodaira decomposition (see e.g. [F-K]):

Proposition 1.4. *The following orthogonal decompositions hold:*

$$(1.3) \quad L^2\Lambda^*(M) = \overline{\text{Im } \bar{\partial}} \oplus \text{Ker } \square \oplus \overline{\text{Im } \bar{\partial}^*}$$

and

$$\text{Ker } \bar{\partial} = \overline{\text{Im } \bar{\partial}} \oplus \text{Ker } \square .$$

In particular we have an isomorphism of Hilbert Γ -modules

$$L^2\bar{H}^{p,q}(M) = \text{Ker } \square_{p,q} .$$

We shall use the following rather general

Lemma 1.5. *Let L be a closed Γ -invariant subspace in $L^2(M, E)$, $L \subset W^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_\varepsilon \leq C\|u\|_0, \quad u \in L.$$

Then $\dim_\Gamma L < \infty$.

To prove this Lemma we need the following simple Lemma about estimates of Sobolev norms on compact manifolds with boundary.

Lemma 1.6. *Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold, possibly with a boundary. Let E be a (complex) vector bundle with an hermitian metric over \bar{X} . Denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the induced hermitian inner product in the Hilbert space $L^2(X, E)$ of square-integrable sections of E over X . Denote by $W^s = W^s(X, E)$ the corresponding Sobolev space of sections of E over X , $\|\cdot\|_s$ the norm in this space. Let us choose a complete orthonormal system $\{\psi_j; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in $L^2(X, E)$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_0 \leq \delta\|u\|_\varepsilon \text{ provided } u \in W^\varepsilon \text{ and } (u, \psi_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof. Assuming the opposite we conclude that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for every $N > 0$ there exists $u_N \in W^\varepsilon$ with $(u_N, \psi_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, N$ satisfying the estimate $\|u_N\|_\varepsilon \leq \delta^{-1}\|u_N\|_0$. Normalizing u_N we can assume that $\|u_N\|_0 = 1$, so the previous estimate gives $\|u_N\|_\varepsilon \leq \delta^{-1}$ for all N . It follows from the Sobolev compactness theorem that the set $\{u_N | N = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is compact in $L^2 = L^2(X, E)$. On the other hand obviously $u_N \rightarrow 0$ weakly in L^2 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\|u_N\|_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ which contradicts to the chosen normalization. \square

Proof of Lemma 1.5. Let us choose a Γ -invariant covering of M by balls $\gamma B_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \gamma \in \Gamma$, so that all the balls have smooth boundary (e.g. have sufficiently small radii). Let us choose a complete orthonormal system $\{\psi_j^{(k)}; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in $L^2(B_k, E)$ for every $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\{(\gamma^{-1})^*\psi_j^{(k)}, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ will be an orthonormal system in γB_k (here we identify the element γ with the corresponding transformation of M).

Given the subspace L satisfying the conditions in the Lemma let us define a map

$$P_N : L \longrightarrow L^2\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{C}^{mN}$$

$$u \mapsto \{(u, (\gamma^{-1})^*\psi_j^{(k)}), j = 1, 2, \dots, N; k = 1, \dots, m; \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Since $\dim_\Gamma L^2\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{C}^{mN} = mN < \infty$ the desired result will follow if we prove that P_N is injective for large N . Assume that $u \in L$ and $P_N u = 0$. Using Lemma 1.6 we get then

$$\|u\|_{0, \gamma B_k}^2 \leq \delta_N^2 \|u\|_{\varepsilon, \gamma B_k}^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, m; \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

where $\delta_N \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|u\|_{s, \gamma B_k}$ means the norm in the Sobolev space W^s over the ball γB_k . Summing over all k and γ we get

$$\|u\|_0^2 \leq C_1^2 \delta_N^2 \|u\|_\varepsilon^2,$$

where $C_1 > 0$ does not depend on N . This clearly contradicts (1.4) unless $u = 0$. \square

Remark 1.7. It is not necessary to require that L is closed in L^2 in Lemma 1.5. For any L satisfying (1.4) we can consider its closure \bar{L} in L^2 . Then obviously $\bar{L} \subset W^\varepsilon$ and Lemma 1.5 implies that $\dim_\Gamma \bar{L} < \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Propositions 1.2, 1.4 and Lemma 1.5 immediately imply Theorem 0.1. \square

2. L^2 holomorphic functions.

1. We shall use some simple linear algebra and Γ -Fredholm operators in Hilbert Γ -modules. Necessary background and similar arguments can be found in [B] and [S2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a Hilbert Γ -module, L_1, L_2 its Hilbert Γ -submodules such that $\dim_\Gamma L_1 > \text{codim}_\Gamma L_2$ where $\text{codim}_\Gamma L_2$ means the Γ -dimension of the orthogonal complement of L_2 in L . Then $L_1 \cap L_2 \neq \{0\}$. Moreover*

$$(2.1) \quad \dim_\Gamma L_1 \cap L_2 \geq \dim_\Gamma L_1 - \text{codim}_\Gamma L_2 .$$

Proof. Denote by $L_1 \ominus L_2$ the orthogonal complement of $L_1 \cap L_2$ in L_1 . Clearly $\dim_\Gamma L_1 \ominus L_2 \leq \text{codim}_\Gamma L_2$. Therefore if (2.1) is not true, then we get

$$\dim_\Gamma L_1 = \dim_\Gamma L_1 \cap L_2 + \dim_\Gamma L_1 \ominus L_2 \leq \dim_\Gamma L_1 \cap L_2 + \text{codim}_\Gamma L_2 < \dim_\Gamma L_1$$

which is a contradiction. \square

We will use unbounded Γ -Fredholm operators. The corresponding definition slightly extends the corresponding definition for bounded operators given by M.Breuer [B] (see also [S2]).

Definition 2.2. Let L_1, L_2 be Hilbert Γ -modules, $A : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ a closed densely defined linear operator (with the domain $D(A)$) which commutes with the action of Γ in L_1 and L_2 . The operator A is called Γ -Fredholm if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\dim_\Gamma \text{Ker } A < \infty$;
- (ii) there exists a closed Γ -invariant subspace $Q \subset L_2$ such that $Q \subset \text{Im } A$ and $\text{codim}_\Gamma Q (= \dim_\Gamma(L_2 \ominus Q)) < \infty$.

Let us also recall the following definition from [S2]:

Definition 2.3. Let L be a Hilbert Γ -module, $Q \subset L$ is a Γ -invariant subspace (not necessarily closed). Then

- (i) Q is called Γ -dense in L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Γ -invariant subspace $Q_\varepsilon \subset Q$ such that Q_ε is closed in L and $\text{codim}_\Gamma Q_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$ in L .
- (ii) Q is called *almost closed* if Q is Γ -dense in its closure \bar{Q} .

If Q is Γ -dense in L then it is also dense in L in the usual sense i.e. $\bar{Q} = L$ (see Lemma 1.8 in [S2]). Note also that if Γ is trivial (or finite) then Q is Γ -dense in L if and only if $Q = L$ (in particular in this case Q is almost closed if and only if it is closed).

Lemma 2.4. *If $A : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a Γ -Fredholm operator then $\text{Im } A$ is almost closed.*

Proof. This statement can be reduced to the case when A is bounded by replacing L_1 by $D(A)$ with the graph norm. Then the statement is due to M.Breuer [B] (see also Lemma 1.15 in [S2]). \square

Lemma 2.5. ([S2]) *Let L be a Hilbert Γ -module, $L_1 \subset L$ and $Q \subset L$ its Γ -invariant subspaces in L such that L_1 is closed and Q is Γ -dense in L . Then $Q \cap L_1$ is Γ -dense in L_1 . More generally, if Q is almost closed then $Q \cap L_1$ is almost closed and its closure equals $\bar{Q} \cap L_1$.*

Corollary 2.6. *Let $A : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be a Γ -Fredholm operator, $L_3 \subset L_2$ is a closed Γ -invariant subspace such that $L_3 \subset \overline{\text{Im } A}$. Then $L_3 \cap \text{Im } A$ is Γ -dense in L_3 .*

Now let us return to the analytic situation described above.

Proposition 2.7. *Let A be a self-adjoint linear operator in $L^2(M, E)$ such that A commutes with the action of Γ , $D(A) \subset W^\varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq C(\|Au\|^2 + \|u\|_0^2), \quad u \in D(A).$$

Then A is Γ -Fredholm.

Proof. It follows from (2.2) that the estimate (1.4) is satisfied on $L = \text{Ker } A$. Therefore Lemma 1.5 implies that $\dim_\Gamma \text{Ker } A < \infty$.

Let \tilde{E}_δ be the spectral projection of A corresponding to the interval $(-\delta, \delta)$. Then again $\dim_\Gamma \text{Im } \tilde{E}_\delta < \infty$ by Lemma 1.5. On the other hand

$$\text{Im}(I - \tilde{E}_\delta) = (\text{Im } \tilde{E}_\delta)^\perp \subset \text{Im } A,$$

which immediately implies that A is Γ -Fredholm. \square

2. Now using Theorem 0.1 we will be able to provide the complete proof of Theorem 0.2. We shall start with the following elementary

Lemma 2.8. *Let U be an arbitrary set, $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an unbounded function. Then for any integer $N > 0$ the functions g, g^2, \dots, g^N are linearly independent modulo bounded functions i.e. if $B(U)$ is the space of all bounded functions on U and*

$$(2.3) \quad c_1 g + c_2 g^2 + \dots + c_N g^N \in B(U),$$

then $c_1 = \dots = c_N = 0$.

Proof. Assuming that (2.3) is fulfilled consider the polynomial

$$p(t) = c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_N t^N, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then (2.3) implies that this polynomial is bounded along an unbounded sequence of complex values of t . Clearly this is only possible if the polynomial p is identically 0. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.2. We shall use the notations from the introduction to this paper.

Let us choose a defining function ρ of the manifold M (see (0.1)) so that the Levi form (0.3) is positive for all $w \in \mathbf{C}^n - \{0\}$ (and not only for $w \in T_z^c(bM) - \{0\}$) at all points $z \in bM$. Using (0.4) we see that $\operatorname{Re} f(x, z) < 0$ if $x \in bM$ and $z \in M$ is sufficiently close to x . It follows that we can choose a branch of $\log f(x, z)$ so that $g_x(z) = \log f(x, z)$ is a holomorphic function in $z \in M \cap U_x$ where U_x is a sufficiently small neighbourhood of x in \overline{M} . Note that we can (and will) choose $U_{\gamma x} = \gamma U_x$.

Let us fix an arbitrary point $x \in bM$. Clearly $g_x^m \in L^2(\overline{M} \cap U)$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and all functions g_x^m have a peak point at x . Besides all these functions are linearly independent modulo bounded functions by Lemma 2.8.

Let us choose a cut-off function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(U)$ where U is a sufficiently small neighbourhood of x , so that $\chi = 1$ in a neighbourhood of x . We shall identify χ with its extension by 0 to \overline{M} , so it becomes a function from $C_c^\infty(\overline{M})$. The translation of χ by $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a function $\gamma^* \chi$ which is supported in a small neighbourhood of γx : $\gamma^* \chi(z) = \chi(\gamma^{-1} z)$.

Denote by L the closed Γ -invariant subspace in $L^2(M)$ generated by all functions χg_x^m ; $m = 1, \dots, N$. Clearly

$$(2.4) \quad L = \left\{ f \mid f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{m=1}^N c_{m,\gamma} \gamma^*(\chi g_x^m); \sum_{m,\gamma} |c_{m,\gamma}|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

where $c_{m,\gamma}$ are complex constants. It follows that L has the form $L^2 \Gamma \otimes \mathbf{C}^N$, hence $\dim_\Gamma L = N$.

Let us consider the set of $(0,1)$ -forms (which are smooth on \overline{M} and have compact support):

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{\partial}(\chi g_x^m); \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

They are linearly independent for any integer $N > 0$. Indeed, assuming that

$$c_1 \bar{\partial}(\chi g_x) + c_2 \bar{\partial}(\chi g_x^2) + \dots + c_N \bar{\partial}(\chi g_x^N) = 0$$

with some complex constants c_1, \dots, c_N , we see that

$$c_1 \chi g_x + c_2 \chi g_x^2 + \dots + c_N \chi g_x^N$$

is holomorphic on M and has a compact support, hence it is identically 0, which implies that $c_1 = \dots = c_N = 0$ due to Lemma 2.8.

Let L_1 be a closed Γ -invariant subspace in $L^2 \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ generated by the set of forms (2.5). Then again

$$L_1 = \left\{ \omega \mid \omega = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{m=1}^N c_{m,\gamma} \bar{\partial}(\gamma^*(\chi g_x^m)), \sum_{m,\gamma} |c_{m,\gamma}|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

where $c_{m,\gamma}$ are complex constants, and $\dim_{\Gamma} L_1 = N$. Clearly $L_1 \subset C^{\infty}\Lambda^{0,1}(\overline{M})$ i.e. all elements of L_1 are C^{∞} forms of type $(0,1)$ on \overline{M} . Also $L_1 \subset \text{Im } \bar{\partial}$, hence $L_1 \subset \overline{\text{Im } \square}$ due to the orthogonal decomposition (1.3).

Now we can apply Corollary 2.6 to conclude that $\text{Im } \square \cap L_1$ is Γ -dense in L_1 . Hence for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a closed Γ -invariant subspace $Q_1 \subset L_1$ such that $Q_1 \subset \text{Im } \square$ and $\dim_{\Gamma} Q_1 > N - \delta$. Solving the equation $\square\omega = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in Q_1$ we can assume that $\omega \perp \text{Ker } \square$ and in this case the solution ω will be unique. Denote the space of all such solutions by K . Then $\dim_{\Gamma} K = \dim_{\Gamma} Q_1 > N - \delta$.

Applying $\bar{\partial}$ to both sides of the equation $\square\omega = \alpha$ we see that $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$, hence $\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$. Therefore $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega = \alpha$. Also $\omega \in \Lambda^{0,1}(\overline{M})$ (i.e. $\omega \in C^{\infty}$ on \overline{M}) for any such solution ω due to the local regularity theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ Neumann problem (see [F-K]).

Now denote

$$Q = \{f \mid f \in L, \bar{\partial}f = \alpha \in Q_1\}.$$

As we have seen earlier $\bar{\partial}$ is injective on L , hence $\dim_{\Gamma} Q = \dim_{\Gamma} Q_1 > N - \delta$. If $f \in Q$ then we can find a (unique) solution $\omega \in K$ of the equation $\square\omega = \alpha = \bar{\partial}f$ and then $h = f - \bar{\partial}^*\omega \in L^2\mathcal{O}(M)$. All these functions h form a closed Γ -invariant subspace $H \subset L^2\mathcal{O}(M)$ with $\dim_{\Gamma} H > N - \delta$. Hence $\dim_{\Gamma} L^2\mathcal{O}(M) = \infty$. Besides using the Γ -invariance of H we see that we can always find a function $h \in H$ such that one of the coefficients $c_{m,e}; m = 1, \dots, N$, in the expansion (2.4) (for the corresponding function f) does not vanish. The point x will be a local peak point for this function. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.3. We should modify the proof of Theorem 0.2 by another choice of locally given holomorphic functions with singularities at a point $x \in bM$. Namely, if f is a holomorphic polynomial from (0.4), then we should use $\{f^{-k}, f^{-2k}, \dots, f^{-kN}\}$ with sufficiently large integer $k > 0$ instead of $\{\log f, \dots, (\log f)^N\}$ as we did in the proof of Theorem 0.2. It is easy to check that all functions χf^{-k} are in appropriate Sobolev spaces. Then we should apply Lemma 2.1 to evaluate the Γ -dimension of the intersection $L_1 \cap L_2$ where L_1 is the Γ -invariant subspace generated by all forms $\bar{\partial}(\chi f^{-km})$, $m = 1, \dots, N$, and $L_2 = \overline{\text{Im } \bar{\partial}}$ in $L^2\Lambda^{0,1}(M)$.

All other arguments are similar to the ones used in the proof of Theorem 0.2. \square

Remark. An interesting feature of Theorem 0.3 is that its proof does not use the regularity results for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem and so this theorem can be extended to a number of less regular situations.

Proof of Theorem 0.4. We should apply the arguments given in the proof of Theorem 0.3 to a strongly pseudoconvex Γ -invariant neighbourhood \hat{M} of \overline{M} , find a sufficiently large space H of holomorphic functions on \hat{M} with singularities on the boundary of \hat{M} and then take the space L of restrictions of all functions from H to M . Since the restriction operator is injective the closure of L will have the same Γ -dimension as H . \square

3. Open questions.

Here we give a list of open questions of various difficulty. It is assumed in all questions that we are in the situation of Theorems 0.1–0.4.

1. Does there exist a finite number of functions in $L^2\mathcal{O}(M) \cap C(\overline{M})$ which separate all points in bM ?
2. Does there exist $f \in L^2(M) \cap C(\overline{M})$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in bM$?
3. Is it true that for every CR-function $f \in L^2(bM) \cap C(bM)$ ($\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$) there exists $F \in L^2\mathcal{O}(M) \cap C(\overline{M})$ such that $F|_{bM} = f$?

References.

- [A] Atiyah, M.: Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras. *Astérisque* **32-33**, 43-72 (1976)
- [A-V] Andreotti, A., Vesentini, E.: Carleman estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami equation on complex manifolds. *Publications Math. IHES*, **25**, 81-130 (1965)
- [B] Breuer, M.: Fredholm theories in von Neumann algebras. I, II. *Math. Annalen*, **178**, 243-254 (1968), **180**, 313-325 (1969)
- [C] Cohen, J.M.: Von Neumann dimension and the homology of covering spaces. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford, Ser. (2)*, **30**, 133-142 (1974)
- [Co] Connes, A.: Sur la théorie non commutative de l'intégration. *Lecture Notes Math.*, **725**, 19-143 (1979)
- [D] Dixmier, J.: Von Neumann algebras. North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1981
- [F-K] Folland, G.B., Kohn, J.J.: The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, **75**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1972
- [G] Gaffney, M.: A special Stokes theorem for Riemannian manifolds. *Annals of Math.*, **60**, 140-145 (1954)
- [Gr] Grauert, H.: On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds. *Annals of Math.*, **68**, 460-472 (1958)
- [Gro] Gromov, M.: Kähler hyperbolicity and L_2 -Hodge theory. *J. Diff. Geom.*, **33**, 263-292 (1991)
- [H] Henkin, G.: The Lewy equation and analysis on pseudoconvex manifolds. *Russian Math. Surveys*, **32**, 59-130 (1977)
- [Hö] Hörmander, L.: L^2 -estimates and existence theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator. *Acta Math.*, **113**, 89-152 (1965)
- [M1] Morrey, C.B., Jr.: The analytic embedding of abstract real analytic manifolds. *Annals of Math.*, **68**, 159-201 (1958)
- [M2] Morrey, C.B., Jr.: The $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. *Differential Analysis, Bombay Colloq.*, Oxford University Press, London, 1964, 81-133

- [N] Naimark, M.A.: Normed rings. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964
- [Na] Napier, T.: Convexity properties of coverings of smooth projective varieties. Math. Annalen, **286**, 433-479 (1990)
- [R] Roe, J.: Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **104**, no.497 (1993)
- [S1] Shubin, M.A.: Spectral theory of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds. Astérisque **207**, 35-108 (1992)
- [S2] Shubin, M.A.: L^2 Riemann-Roch theorem for elliptic operators. Geometric Analysis and Functional Analysis, **5**, 482-527 (1995)
- [St] Stein, K.: Überlagerungen holomorph-vollständiger komplexe Räume. Arch. Math., **7**, 354-361 (1956)
- [T] Takesaki, M.: Theory of operator algebras, I. Springer-Verlag, 1979