

## The Riemann-Roch theorem for general elliptic operators

Mikhaël GROMOV and Mikhail A. SHUBIN

**Abstract** — The classical Riemann-Roch theorem is generalized to the solution of general elliptic equations with isolated singularities on an arbitrary compact manifold.

### Le théorème de Riemann-Roch pour les opérateurs elliptiques généraux

**Résumé** — Le théorème de Riemann-Roch classique est généralisé pour les solutions d'équations elliptiques générales avec singularités isolées sur une variété compacte arbitraire.

**Version française abrégée** — Soient  $X$  une variété  $C^\infty$  fermée,  $\dim X = n \geq 2$ ,  $E$  et  $F$  des fibrés vectoriels sur  $X$ ,  $q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_x = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F_x$  la dimension de leurs fibres,  $\Gamma(U, E)$  l'espace des sections  $C^\infty$  de  $E$  sur un ouvert  $U \subset X$ . Soit  $A : \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F)$  un opérateur elliptique différentiel d'ordre  $d$ ,  $\text{ind } A$  son indice qui peut être calculé par le théorème de l'indice d'Atiyah-Singer. Soient  $\Omega(X)$  le fibré des densités complexes sur  $X$ ,  $E^* = \text{Hom}(E, \Omega(X))$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  la dualité bilinéaire naturelle  $\Gamma(X, E) \times \Gamma(X, E^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  et  $A^t$  l'opérateur transposé défini par les dualités  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  dans  $E$  et  $F$ , ainsi  $A^t : \Gamma(X, F^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E^*)$  et

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \langle u, A^t v \rangle, \quad u \in \Gamma(X, E), \quad v \in \Gamma(X, F^*).$$

Introduisons un diviseur  $\mu = x_1^{p_1} x_2^{p_2} \dots x_m^{p_m}$ ,  $x_i \in X$ ,  $x_i \neq x_j$  si  $i \neq j$ ,  $p_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\mu^{-1} = x_1^{-p_1} x_2^{-p_2} \dots x_m^{-p_m}$  est le diviseur dual. Le degré de  $\mu$  est défini par

$$d(\mu) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \text{sign } p_i \left[ \binom{|p_i| + n - 1}{n} - \binom{|p_i| + n - 1 - d}{n} \right]$$

où  $\binom{N}{n} = N! / n!(N-n)!$  si  $N \geq n$  et 0 sinon.

Soient  $\text{supp } \mu = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ ,  $\lambda = \prod_{p_i \geq 0} x_i^{p_i}$ ,  $\nu = \prod_{p_i \leq 0} x_i^{p_i}$ . Soient

$L(\mu, A) = \{u \mid u \in \Gamma(X - \text{supp } \nu, E), Au = 0 \text{ dans } X - \text{supp } \nu;$

$$u(x) = o(|x - x_i|^{d-n-|p_i|}) \text{ lorsque } x \rightarrow x_i, x_i \in \text{supp } \nu;$$

$$u(x) = O(|x - x_i|^{p_i}) \text{ lorsque } x \rightarrow x_i, x \in \text{supp } \lambda \},$$

$$r(\mu, A) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L(\mu, A).$$

**THÉORÈME 1.** —  $r(\mu, A) = \text{ind } A - qd(\mu) + r(\mu^{-1}, A^t)$ .

Le théorème de Riemann-Roch classique est un cas particulier si  $X$  est une courbe algébrique complexe non-singulière,  $A = \bar{\partial} : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow \Lambda^{0,1}(X)$ . Le cas de l'opérateur de Laplace scalaire sur une variété riemannienne a été considéré par N. S. Nadirashvili [1].

Donnons quelques applications simples :

**COROLLAIRE 3.** —  $r(\mu, A) \geq \text{ind } A - qd(\mu)$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** — Supposons que  $A^t$  a la propriété de prolongement unique, c'est-à-dire si  $A^t U = 0$  et  $u$  a un zéro d'ordre infini en  $x_0$ , alors  $u = 0$  dans un voisinage de  $x_0$ . Fixons  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$  et  $N_0 > 0$ .

Note présentée par Mikhaël GROMOV.

Alors il existe  $N > 0$  tel que, si  $\sum_{p_i > 0} p_i \leq N_0$  et  $\sum_{p_i < 0} |p_i| \geq N$ , alors

$$r(\mu, A) = \text{ind } A - qd(\mu).$$

PROPOSITION 5. — Soit  $A$  une matrice  $(q \times q)$  opérateur elliptique d'ordre  $d$  définie dans un voisinage de 0 dans  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Soit  $\mathcal{E}_0^{(k)}$  l'espace des germes de fonctions  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$  ( $U$  est un voisinage de 0 dans  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) telles que  $\partial^d f(0) = 0$  pour  $|d| \leq k$ .

Alors l'application  $A = \mathcal{E}_0^{(k+d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^{(k)}$  est surjective.

1. INTRODUCTION. — The classical Riemann-Roch theorem for non-singular complex algebraic curves has been generalized in different ways to  $n$ -dimensional manifolds. The most known generalizations are the Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch theorem and the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem in the algebraic geometry. In this Note we suggest a generalization motivated by the theory of solutions of elliptic equations with point singularities. The case of the scalar Laplacian was considered in a beautiful paper by N. S. Nadirashvili [1], which we took as a starting point. But our proof is simpler than that in [1] due to a use of duality arguments. We also give a number of applications including those which are similar to those of the classical Riemann-Roch theorem, and a local solvability result with an additional condition on the order of zero of the solution at the given point. Further applications will be given in a subsequent detailed paper.

2. NOTATIONS AND THE MAIN RESULT. — Let  $X$  be a compact  $C^\infty$ -manifold,  $\dim X = n \geq 2$ ,  $E$  and  $F$  complex vector bundles over  $X$ ,  $q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_x = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F_x$  the dimension of their fibres,  $\Gamma(U, E)$  the space of the  $C^\infty$ -sections of  $E$  over an open set  $U \subset X$ ,  $A: \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F)$  an elliptic differential operator of order  $d$ ,  $\text{ind } A$  its index which can be calculated by the Atiyah-Singer index formula,  $\Omega(X)$  the bundle of complex densities on  $X$ ,  $E^* = \text{Hom}(E, \Omega(X))$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the natural bilinear pairing  $\Gamma(X, E) \times \Gamma(X, E^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $A'$  the transposed operator to  $A$  defined by the pairings  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  in  $E$  and  $F$ , i.e.  $A': \Gamma(X, F^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E^*)$  and

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \langle u, A'v \rangle, \quad u \in \Gamma(X, E), \quad v \in \Gamma(X, F^*).$$

Let us introduce a divisor  $\mu = x_1^{p_1} x_2^{p_2} \dots x_m^{p_m}$ ,  $x_i \in X$ ,  $x_i \neq x_j$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $p_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\mu^{-1} = x_1^{-p_1} x_2^{-p_2} \dots x_m^{-p_m}$  is the dual divisor. The degree of  $\mu$  is defined as

$$d(\mu) = \sum_{i \leq m} \text{sign } p_i \left[ \binom{|p_i| + n - 1}{n} - \binom{|p_i| + n - 1 - d}{n} \right]$$

where  $\binom{N}{n} = N!/n!(N-n)!$  if  $N \geq n$  and 0 otherwise. Let  $\text{supp } \mu = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ ,  $\lambda = x_1^{p_1^+} \dots x_m^{p_m^+}$ ,  $\nu = x_1^{p_1^-} \dots x_m^{p_m^-}$ , where  $p_i^+ = \max(p_i, 0)$ ,  $p_i^- = \min(p_i, 0)$ , so  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  are positive and negative part of  $\mu$  respectively,  $\text{supp } \mu = \text{supp } \lambda \cup \text{supp } \nu$ ,  $\text{supp } \lambda \cap \text{supp } \nu = \emptyset$ .

Now let us introduce the space of solutions of the equation  $Au = 0$  with the prescribed point singularities ("poles") on  $\text{supp } \nu$  and zeros of prescribed orders on  $\text{supp } \lambda$ :

$$L(\mu, A) = \{u \mid u \in \Gamma(X - \text{supp } \nu, E), Au = 0 \text{ on } X - \text{supp } \nu;$$

$$u(x) = o(|x - x_i|^{d-n-|p_i|}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow x_i, x_i \in \text{supp } \nu;$$

$$u(x) = O(|x - x_i|^{p_i}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow x_i, x \in \text{supp } \lambda \}.$$

The latter condition can be also written as  $j_{x_i}^{p_i-1} u = 0, x \in \text{supp } \lambda$ , where  $j_{x_i}^{p_i-1} u$  is the jet of order  $p_i-1$  of the section  $u$  at  $x_i$ . Now let  $r(\mu, A) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L(\mu, A)$ .

THEOREM 1:

$$(1) \quad r(\mu, A) = \text{ind } A - qd(\mu) + r(\mu^{-1}, A')$$

*Examples.* - (a) Let  $X$  be a compact connected complex manifold,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 1$ , i.e.  $X$  is a complex non-singular algebraic curve. Take  $A = \bar{\partial}: C^\infty(X) \rightarrow \Lambda^{0,1}(X)$  and identify  $\Omega(X) = \Lambda^2(X)$  using the canonical orientation. Then  $A' = \bar{\partial}: \Lambda^{1,0}(X) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(X)$  and  $\text{ind } A = 1 - g$  where  $g$  is the genus of  $X$ . Theorem 1 then becomes the classical Riemann-Roch theorem if we note that here  $n = 2, d = 1$ , hence  $d(\mu) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} p_i$ .

(b) Let  $X$  be a compact Riemannian manifold and  $A = \Delta$  is the scalar Laplace-Beltrami operator. Then  $A' = A$  and denoting  $r(\mu) = r(\mu, \Delta)$  we obtain

$$r(\mu) = -d(\mu) + r(\mu^{-1}).$$

This was proved in [1] where also non-compact manifolds with boundary were allowed (with suitable boundary conditions and conditions at infinity).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. - Denote  $\mathcal{D}'(X, F)$  the space of distribution sections of  $F$  (dual space to  $\Gamma(X, F^*)$ ) and  $S(\mu, F)$  the space of  $s \in \mathcal{D}'(X, F)$ , such that  $\text{supp } s \subset \text{supp } v$  and locally near the points  $x_i \in \text{supp } v$  (i.e. the points entering in  $\mu$  with negative exponents)  $s$  can be written as

$$s = \sum_{(i|p_i < 0)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq |p_i| - 1} C_{i\alpha} \partial_x^\alpha \delta(x - x_i)$$

where  $\delta$  is the Dirac measure,  $C_{i\alpha} \in F_{x_i}$ . So actually  $S(\mu, F) = S(v, F)$ .

Introduce the space  $\Gamma(X, \mu, A)$  of sections  $u \in \Gamma(X - \text{supp } v, E)$  having prescribed poles and zeros [as in definition of  $L(\mu, A)$ ] but not necessarily solutions of  $Au = 0$ :

$$\Gamma(X, \mu, A) = \{ u \mid u \in \Gamma(X - \text{supp } v, E), j_{x_i}^{p_i-1} u = 0 \text{ if } x_i \in \text{supp } \lambda;$$

for every  $x_i \in \text{supp } v$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_i$

and a representation  $u = u_s + u_r$  where  $u_s \in \Gamma(U \setminus x_i, E)$ ,

$$Au = 0 \text{ in } U \setminus x_i, u(x) = O(|x - x_i|^{d-n-|p_i|}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow x_i,$$

and  $u_r$  can be extended to a section  $\bar{u}_r \in \Gamma(U, E)$  }.

For every  $u \in \Gamma(X, \mu, A)$  we can find a "regularization"  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{D}'(X, E)$  such that  $\tilde{u} = u$  on  $X - \text{supp } v$  and  $A\tilde{u} = f + s$  with  $f \in \Gamma(X, F)$  and  $s \in S(\mu, F)$ . Using the standard elliptic regularity result and the well-known structure of fundamental solutions of elliptic operators [2] it is easy to check that the space  $\tilde{\Gamma}(X, \mu, A)$  of all such regularizations  $\tilde{u}$  can be described as a set of  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{D}'(X, E)$  such that  $\tilde{u} \in C^\infty$  is a neighbourhood of  $\text{supp } \lambda$ ,  $j_{x_i}^{p_i-1} u = 0$  for every  $x_i \in \text{supp } \lambda$  and  $A\tilde{u} = f + s$  with  $f \in \Gamma(X, F)$  and  $s \in S(\mu, F)$ .

Now introduce the "reduced" divisor

$$\tilde{u} = x_1^{\tilde{p}_1} x_2^{\tilde{p}_2} \dots x_m^{\tilde{p}_m},$$

where  $\tilde{p}_i = \text{sign } p_i \cdot (|p_i| - d)_+$  (the factors  $x_i^{\tilde{p}_i}$  with  $\tilde{p}_i = 0$  have to be omitted) and denote

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F) = \{ f \mid f \in \Gamma(X, F), j_{x_i}^{\tilde{p}_i} f = 0 \text{ if } \tilde{p}_i > 0 \}.$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow S(\tilde{\mu}, E) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \tilde{\Gamma}(X, \mu, A) & \xrightarrow{r} & \Gamma(X, \mu, E) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow A_S & & \downarrow \tilde{A} & & \downarrow \hat{A} & & \\
 0 \rightarrow S(\mu, F) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F) \oplus S(\mu, F) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F) & \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $i_1, i_2$  are inclusion maps,  $r$  is the restriction map,  $\pi$  is the natural projection and the vertical maps  $A_S, \hat{A}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are the restrictions of  $A$  to the corresponding function or distribution spaces. Both rows in the diagram are exact, hence due to the well-known property of Euler characteristic we have

$$\text{ind } \tilde{A} = \text{ind } \hat{A} - \text{ind } A_S = \text{ind } \hat{A} - \dim S(\tilde{\mu}, F) + \dim S(\mu, F) = \text{ind } \hat{A} - qd(v),$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim S(\mu, F) &= q \sum_{p_i < 0} \binom{n + |p_i| - 1}{n}, \\
 \dim S(\tilde{\mu}, E) &= q \sum_{p_i < 0} \binom{n + |p_i| - d - 1}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now use the following two commutative diagrams with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu}(X, E) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\Gamma}(X, \mu, E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \cdot A} & S(\mu, F) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow A_{\mu} & & \downarrow \tilde{A} & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \\
 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F) & \rightarrow & \Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F) \oplus S(\mu, F) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & S(\mu, F) & \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu}(X, E) & \xrightarrow{i_{\mu}} & \Gamma(X, E) & \xrightarrow{p_{\mu}} & J_{\mu}(E) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow A_{\mu} & & \downarrow A & & \downarrow J_{\mu}(A) & & \\
 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F) & \rightarrow & \Gamma(X, F) & \rightarrow & J_{\tilde{\mu}}(F) & \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $J_{\mu}(E) = \Gamma(X, E) / \Gamma_{\mu}(X, E) = \bigoplus_{p_i > 0} J_{x_i}^{p_i - 1}(E)$ ,  $i_{\mu}$  and  $p_{\mu}$  are natural inclusion and restriction maps,  $J_{\mu}(A)$  is the natural quotient map. Then we find

$$\text{ind } \tilde{A} = \text{ind } A_{\mu} = \text{ind } A - \text{ind } J_{\mu}(A) = \text{ind } A - \dim J_{\mu}(E) + \dim J_{\tilde{\mu}}(F) = \text{ind } A - qd(\lambda).$$

Hence

$$(2) \quad \text{ind } \tilde{A} = \text{ind } A - q(d(v) + d(v)) = \text{ind } A - qd(\mu).$$

Now evidently  $\text{Ker } \tilde{A} = L(\mu, A)$  so we only have to identify  $\text{Coker } \tilde{A}$  with  $\text{Ker } \tilde{A}^t$ . This is done by using nondegenerated pairings

$$\Gamma(X, \mu, A) \times \Gamma_{\mu^{-1}}(X, E^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F) \times \Gamma(X, \mu^{-1}, A^t) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

which are defined by usual integration over  $X - \text{supp } \mu$  (all integrals converge!) and denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

**THEOREM 2.** - (i)  $\langle \tilde{A}u, v \rangle = \langle u, \tilde{A}^t v \rangle$ ,  $u \in \Gamma(X, \mu, A)$ ,  $v \in \Gamma(X, \mu^{-1}, A^t)$ .

(ii)  $\text{Im } \tilde{A} = (\text{Ker } \tilde{A}^t)^{\circ}$  i.e.  $f \in \text{Im } \tilde{A}$  iff  $f \in \Gamma_{\tilde{\mu}}(X, F)$  and  $\langle f, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in \text{Ker } \tilde{A}^t$ .

(iii)  $\dim \text{Coker } \tilde{A} = \dim \text{Ker } \tilde{A}^t$ .