

# Donaldson-Thomas invariants

Maxim Kontsevich  
IHES  
Bures-sur-Yvette, France

Mathematische Arbeitstagung June 22-28, 2007  
Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany

## 1 Stability conditions

Here I'll introduce a refined version of Bridgeland's stability condition on a triangulated category (see [Br]). It can be called a *compact non-commutative algebraic variety endowed with a polarization*. Here is the data:

- a triangulated  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear category  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $\mathbf{k}$  is a base field,
- a homomorphism  $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Lambda$  where  $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$  is a free abelian group of finite rank,
- an additive map  $Z : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,
- a collection  $\mathcal{C}^{ss}$  of (isomorphism classes of) non-zero objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  called the semistable ones, such that  $Z(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$  for any  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}$ ,
- a choice  $\log Z(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{C}$  of the logarithm of  $Z(\mathcal{E}) \forall \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}$ .

Also we assume that it makes sense to speak about families of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  parametrized by a scheme over  $\mathbf{k}$ . A typical example of such category is  $D^b(\text{Coh}X)$ , the bounded derived category of the category of coherent sheaves on a smooth compact algebraic variety  $X/\mathbf{k}$ . Lattice  $\Lambda$  can be thought as the image of  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  in  $H^*(X)$  under the map given by the Chern character.

More generally, for a non-necessary compact smooth variety  $X$  endowed with a closed compact subset  $X_0 \subset X$  the corresponding category consists of complexes of sheaves with cohomology supported at  $X_0$ . Another example is the homotopy category of finite complexes of free  $A$ -modules with finite-dimensional cohomology where  $A$  is a finitely generated associative algebra of finite cohomological dimension.

For  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}$  we denote by  $\text{Arg}(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}$  the imaginary part of  $\log Z(\mathcal{E})$ .

The above data should satisfy the following axioms:

- $\forall \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\mathcal{E}[n] \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}$  and  $\log Z(\mathcal{E}[n]) = \log Z(\mathcal{E}) + \pi i n$ ,
- $\forall \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}$  with  $\text{Arg}(\mathcal{E}_1) > \text{Arg}(\mathcal{E}_2)$  we have  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = 0$ ,

- for any object  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $n \geq 0$  and a chain of morphisms  $0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}$  (an analog of a filtration) such that the corresponding “quotients”  $F_i := Cone(\mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i+1})$  are semistable and  $Arg(\mathcal{F}_0) > Arg(\mathcal{F}_1) > \cdots > Arg(\mathcal{F}_{n-1})$ ,
- $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda \in \{0\}$  the “moduli stack”  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^{ss}$  of semistable objects in class  $\lambda$  is an Artin stack of finite type,
- pick a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\exists C > 0$  such that  $\forall \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}$  one has  $|Z(\mathcal{E})| > C \|\mathcal{E}\|$ .

The last condition implies that the set  $\{Z(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}\}$  is a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  with at most polynomially growing density at infinity. Also it implies that the stability condition is locally finite in the sense of Bridgeland.

Any stability condition gives a bounded t-structure on  $\mathcal{C}$  with the corresponding heart consisting of semistable objects  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $Arg(\mathcal{E}) \in (0, \pi]$ , and their extensions. The case of classical Mumford stability with respect to an ample line bundle is not an example of Bridgeland stability, it is rather a limiting degenerate case of it.

For given  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\Lambda$  denote by  $Stab(\mathcal{C})$  the set of stability conditions  $(Z, \mathcal{C}^{ss}, (\log Z(\mathcal{E}))_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}^{ss}})$  (we skip  $\Lambda$  from the notation). This space can be endowed with certain non-trivial Hausdorff topology.

**Theorem 1** (Bridgeland) *The forgetting map  $Stab(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r \simeq \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $(Z, \mathcal{C}^{ss}, \dots) \mapsto Z$ , is a local homeomorphism.*

Hence,  $Stab(\mathcal{C})$  is a complex manifold, not necessarily connected. Under our assumptions one can show also that the group  $Aut(\mathcal{C})$  acts properly discontinuously on  $Stab(\mathcal{C})$ . On the quotient orbifold  $Stab(\mathcal{C})/Aut(\mathcal{C})$  there is a natural non-holomorphic action of  $GL_+(2, \mathbb{R})$  arising from linear transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$  preserving the standard orientation. A similar geometric structure appears on the moduli spaces of holomorphic Abelian differentials, see e.g. [Z] for a recent review.

## 2 Donaldson-Thomas invariants

Let us assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a CY3 category. i.e. it is endowed with a functorial pairing  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})^* \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}[3])$ . For example,  $\mathcal{C} = D^b(Coh(X))$  where  $X$  is a smooth compact 3-dimensional variety with trivialized canonical bundle. Deformation theory of any object  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}$  is governed by certain homotopy Lie algebra whose cohomology is  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[n])$ . If  $\mathcal{E}$  is semistable then the amplitude of this algebra is in  $[0, 1, 2, 3]$ . In the case  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = k \cdot id_{\mathcal{E}}$  (such object is called a *Schur object*), one can modify the deformation complex of  $\mathcal{E}$  and get a new one with the amplitude in  $[1, 2]$ , which lead to the possibility to define a virtual fundamental class. In the case when the moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^{ss}$  is compact Hausdorff and consists of Schur objects, the virtual dimension is zero, and the class is just an integer  $DT(\lambda)$ . It is the virtual number of points in  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^{ss}$  and is called the Donaldson-Thomas invariant (see [DT]). For  $\mathcal{C} = D^b(Coh(X))$

this happens when  $\lambda = (1, 0, ?, ?) \in H^0(X) \oplus H^2(X) \oplus H^4(X) \oplus H^6(X)$  and the stability condition is close to the Mumford stability associated with some polarization of  $X$ . Semistable objects under the consideration are torsion-free sheaves which are in fact the ideal sheaves of subschemes  $S \subset X$  with  $\dim S \leq 1$  (fat points and curves), and the moduli stack is the corresponding Hilbert scheme. In [MNOP] a remarkable conjecture was made relating the Donaldson-Thomas invariants  $DT(1, 0, ?, ?)$  depending on degrees in  $H^4(X) = H_2(X)$ ,  $H^6(X) = \mathbb{Z}$  with the Gromov-Witten invariants of  $X$  counting curves of all degrees and genera in  $X$ . In particular, in the case of fat points one get an identity (see [BF] for the proof):

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} DT(1, 0, 0, -n) q^n = [(1+q)(1-q^2)^2(1+q^3)^3 \dots]^{-\chi(X)}, \quad (1)$$

$$\implies DT(1, 0, 0, -1) = -\chi, \quad DT(1, 0, 0, -2) = \frac{\chi^2 + 5\chi}{2}, \dots, \quad \chi = \chi(X)$$

The work of K. Behrend (see [Be]) gives a way to define Donaldson-Thomas invariants for not necessarily compact loci in stacks  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^{ss}$  consisting of Schur objects. At the moment it is not clear how to extend Behrend's definition to non-Schur objects. Nevertheless, we hope that one can do it and define for a CY3 category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a stability condition  $(Z, \mathcal{C}^{ss}, \dots)$  where  $Z$  is "generic" (i.e.  $\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$  with  $\mathbb{R} \cdot Z(\lambda_1) = \mathbb{R} \cdot Z(\lambda_2) \subset \mathbb{C}$  one has  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot \lambda_1 = \mathbb{Q} \cdot \lambda_2$ ), certain even function  $DT : \Lambda \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . It should be supported on classes of *indecomposable* semistable objects. Moreover, function  $DT$  should change "nicely" if we move  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{C}^r$ . D. Joyce proposed in [J] a hypothetical complicated rule describing the behaviour of function  $DT$  for abelian categories, i.e. in the special case when the  $t$ -structure does not change and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the derived category of its heart. In the following section I'll describe a different proposal (by Y. Soibelman and myself), in the general triangulated case, which is (presumably) compatible with Joyce's.

### 3 New wall-crossing formula

Assume that  $\Lambda$  is endowed with a skew-symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\forall \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n rk \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}[n])$$

Consider the Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with basis  $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  and the commutator given by the formula

$$[e_{\lambda_1}, e_{\lambda_2}] = (-1)^{\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle} \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle e_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

This Lie algebra is isomorphic (non-canonically) to the algebra of Laurent polynomials on the algebraic torus  $\operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , endowed with a translation-invariant Poisson bracket associated with the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

Let  $Z : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an additive map, generic in the sense introduced above, and let  $DT : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be an even map supported on the set of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that

$|Z(\lambda)| > C \| \lambda \|$  for some constant  $C > 0$  (here  $\| \cdot \|$  is a norm on  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ). We associate with any angle  $V \subset \mathbb{C}$  with center at zero ( $V$  is strictly less than  $180^\circ$ ) a group element given by an infinite product

$$A(V) := \prod_{\lambda \in Z^{-1}(V)}^{\rightarrow} \exp \left( DT(\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{n\lambda}}{n^2} \right) \quad (2)$$

The product takes value in certain pro-nilpotent Lie group  $G_V$ . We will describe its Lie algebra. Let us consider the convex cone  $U = U(V)$  in  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  which is the convex hull of the set of points  $v \in Z^{-1}(V)$  such that  $|Z(v)| > C \| v \|$ . The Lie algebra  $Lie(G_V)$  is defined to be the infinite product  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda \cap U} \mathbb{Q} \cdot e_\lambda$ .

The right arrow in the superscript in (2) means that the product is taken in the *clockwise* order on the set of directions of rays  $\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot Z(\lambda) \subset V \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

Now we are able to formulate a rule describing the modification of function  $DT$  as we move additive map  $Z$  continuously. First of all, for any given  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  the value  $DT(\lambda)$  should jump only on a locally-finite collection of walls in  $\mathbb{C}^r = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $(Z_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  be a generic piece-wise smooth path in the complex vector space  $\mathbb{C}^r$ . For a countable set of values of  $t$  the map  $Z_t$  will be not generic. Our rule says (roughly) that  $A(V)$  stays the same as long as no lattice point  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $DT_t(\lambda) \neq 0$  crosses the boundary of  $Z_t^{-1}(V)$ . Of course such bad crossings could happen at infinitely many values of  $t$ , but for infinitesimally small intervals in the parameter space  $[0, 1]$  (in the sense of non-standard analysis) we can avoid such crossings.

One can check that this rule is equivalent to the following. Consider the value  $t_0$  in the parameter space for which the map  $Z_{t_0}$  is not generic. In this case we have either a non-zero vector  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$  with  $Z_{t_0}(\lambda) = 0$ , or a rank two lattice  $\Lambda' \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  such that its image  $Z_{t_0}(\Lambda')$  is contained in a real line  $\mathbb{R} \cdot e^{i\alpha} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . In the first case all the values of  $DT$  will not jump, in the second case only the values  $DT(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \notin \Lambda'$  will not jump. The rule describing the change of values  $DT(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda'$  is purely two-dimensional. We will describe it now.

Denote by  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  the value of the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the basis of  $\Lambda' \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ . We assume that  $k \neq 0$ , otherwise there will be no jump in values of  $DT$  on  $\Lambda'$ . The group elements which we are interested in can be identified with products of the following formal symplectomorphisms (automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Q}[[x, y]]$  preserving the symplectic form  $(xy)^{-1} dx \wedge dy$ ):

$$T_{a,b} : (x, y) \mapsto \left( x \cdot (1 - (-1)^{ab} x^a y^b)^b, y \cdot (1 - (-1)^{ab} x^a y^b)^{-a} \right), a, b \geq 0, a+b \geq 1$$

Any exact symplectomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{Q}[[x, y]]$  can be decomposed uniquely into the clockwise and an anticlockwise product:

$$\phi = \prod_{a,b}^{\rightarrow} T_{a,b}^{kc_{a,b}} = \prod_{a,b}^{\leftarrow} T_{a,b}^{k\tilde{c}_{a,b}}$$

with certain exponents  $c_{a,b}, \tilde{c}_{a,b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . These exponents should be interpreted as DT invariants. The passage from the clockwise order (when the slope  $a/b \in$

$[0, +\infty] \cap \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  decreases) to the anticlockwise order (the slope increases) gives the change of  $DT|_{\Lambda'}$  as we cross the wall. The *integrality* of DT invariants is not obvious, it follows from

**Conjecture 1** *If one decomposes the product  $T_{1,0}^k \cdot T_{0,1}^k$  in the opposite order:*

$$T_{1,0}^k \cdot T_{0,1}^k = \prod_{a/b \text{ increases}} T_{a,b}^{kd(a,b,k)} \quad (3)$$

then  $d(a, b, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall a, b, k$ .

Here are decompositions for  $k = 1, 2$ :

$$T_{1,0} \cdot T_{0,1} = T_{0,1} \cdot T_{1,1} \cdot T_{1,0} \quad (4)$$

$$T_{1,0}^2 \cdot T_{0,1}^2 = T_{0,1}^2 \cdot T_{1,2}^2 \cdot T_{2,3}^2 \cdot \cdots \cdot T_{1,1}^4 \cdot T_{2,2}^2 \cdot \cdots \cdot T_{3,2}^2 \cdot T_{2,1}^2 \cdot T_{1,0}^2 \quad (5)$$

For  $k \geq 3$  or  $k \leq -1$  the decomposition is not yet known completely. Computer experiments give a conjectural formula for the diagonal term with slope  $a/b = 1$ . The corresponding symplectomorphism is given by the map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x \cdot F_k(xy)^k, y \cdot F_k(xy)^{-k})$$

where the series  $F_k = F_k(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$  is an algebraic hypergeometric series given for  $k \geq 3$  by formulas

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{(k-1)^2 n + k - 1}{n} \frac{t^n}{(k-2)n + 1} = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{(k-1)^2 n}{n} \frac{k}{(k-1)^2} \frac{t^n}{n} \right)$$

The example (4) with  $k = 1$  is compatible with the expected behavior of DT invariants when we have two spherical objects  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  (sphericity means that  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_i[n]) = H^*(S^3)$ ) such that there exists only one non-trivial extension

$$\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2[1]) = \mathbf{k}, \quad \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2[n]) = 0 \text{ for } n \neq 1$$

In this case on one side of the wall we have two semistable objects  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ , on the other side we have three semistable objects  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_{1+2}$  where  $\mathcal{E}_{1+2}$  is the extension of  $\mathcal{E}_2$  by  $\mathcal{E}_1$ .

Let  $X$  be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold, consider the whole subcategory of  $D^b(\text{Coh}X)$  generated by  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and the ideal sheaves  $J_x$  of all closed points  $x \in X$ . Some of the putative DT invariants for certain stability condition on this category are exactly the original DT invariants for fat points, see (1). One can check that after crossing a wall one obtains a much simpler function, with the only non-trivial values on  $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  given by

$$DT(1, 0) = DT(-1, 0) = 1, \quad DT(0, n) = -\chi(X), \quad \forall n \neq 0$$

The value  $DT(1, 0) = 1$  corresponds to the isolated spherical object  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , values  $DT(0, n) = -\chi(X)$  correspond to the ‘‘counting’’ of indecomposable torsion sheaves on  $X$ .

## References

- [Be] K. Behrend, *Donaldson-Thomas invariants via microlocal geometry*, math/0507523,
- [BF] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds*, math/0512556.
- [Br] Th. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, math/0212237.
- [DT] S. Donaldson, R. Thomas, *Gauge theory in higher dimensions* in *The geometric universe: science, geometry and the work of Roger Penrose*, S. Huggett et. al. eds., Oxford Univ. Press, 1998.
- [J] D. Joyce, *Holomorphic generating functions for invariants counting coherent sheaves on Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, hep-th/0607039.
- [MNOP] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I*, math/0312059.
- [Z] A. Zorich, *Flat Surfaces*, math/0609392.