### DAVID RUELLE Integral representation of measures associated with a foliation

*Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, tome 48 (1978), p. 127-132. <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\_1978\_48\_127\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\_1978\_48\_127\_0</a>

© Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. » (http://www. ihes.fr/IHES/Publications/Publications.html), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/legal.php). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF MEASURES ASSOCIATED WITH A FOLIATION

### by DAVID RUELLE

To Jean Leray

Let M be a compact differentiable manifold,  $\mathscr{F}$  a foliation of codimension k, and  $\mathscr{S}$  the set of open submanifolds of dimension k transversal to  $\mathscr{F}$ . A transverse measure  $\rho$  for  $\mathscr{F}$  is a collection of real measures  $\rho_{\Sigma}$  on the  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$ , such that these measures correspond to each other by the canonical isomorphisms defined by  $\mathscr{F}$ . For a discussion of these notions, and applications, see Plante [8], Ruelle and Sullivan [10], Schwartzmann [11], Edwards, Millett and Sullivan [6], Sullivan [14], Garnett [7]. We note that we can, as in [10], assume that  $\mathscr{F}$  is only a partial foliation of M, and that the orientation assumptions of [10] are unnecessary here.

We generalize the notion of transverse measure by introducing measures associated with a cocycle. We call *cocycle* a family  $(f_{\tau})$  indexed by the canonical isomorphisms, such that:

(a) If  $\tau$  maps  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$  onto  $\Sigma' \in \mathscr{S}$ , then  $f_{\tau}$  is a continuous function on  $\Sigma'$  with strictly positive real values.

(b) If  $\tau'$  maps  $\Sigma'$  onto  $\Sigma''$ , then:

$$f_{\tau'\circ\tau} = f_{\tau'} \cdot (f_{\tau} \circ \tau'^{-1}).$$

We say that a collection  $\rho = (\rho_{\Sigma})$  of real measures on the  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$  is a measure associated with the cocycle  $(f_{\tau})$ , or is a  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure, if the image of the measure  $\rho_{\Sigma}$  by  $\tau : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$  is  $f_{\tau} \cdot \rho_{\Sigma'}$ . Otherwise stated:

$$f_{\tau} = \frac{d(\tau \rho_{\Sigma})}{d \rho_{\Sigma'}}$$
 a.e.

for each local isomorphism  $\tau: \Sigma \to \Sigma'$ . The transverse measures are those associated with the trivial cocycle  $(I_{\tau})$ . The notion of  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure occurs naturally in the work of Connes [5]; see also Bowen [1].

The  $(f_{\tau})$ -measures form a real vector space  $\mathcal{J}$ . We call vague topology the topology defined on  $\mathcal{J}$  by the semi-norms:

$$\rho \mapsto |\rho_{\Sigma}(\varphi)|$$

127

#### DAVID RUELLE

where  $\varphi$  is a real continuous function with compact support in  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$ . We write  $\rho \ge 0$  if  $\rho_{\Sigma} \ge 0$  for all  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$ . With these definitions  $\mathscr{J}$  is an ordered topological vector space.

Choose  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$  and a compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$ . There is a map  $\alpha_K$  of  $\mathscr{J}$  in the space  $\mathscr{C}(K)^*$  of measures on K, such that  $\alpha_K \rho$  is the restriction of  $\rho_{\Sigma}$  to K. The map  $\alpha_K$  is linear and order-preserving.

Lemma 1. — Let  $\Sigma$ , K be such that each leaf of  $\mathscr{F}$  intersects the interior of K in  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\alpha_{K}$  is an isomorphism of the ordered vector space  $\mathscr{J}$  onto a subspace of  $\mathscr{C}(K)^{*}$  closed for the vague topology.

Remember that the vague topology is the  $w^*$ -topology of  $\mathscr{C}(K)^*$  as dual of the space  $\mathscr{C}(K)$  of real continuous functions on K. Note that  $\alpha_K$  need not be continuous for the vague topologies.

To prove the lemma we remark that if K' is compact in  $\Sigma' \in \mathscr{S}$ , there are finitely many open  $L_i$  in  $\Sigma'$  covering K', and canonical isomorphisms  $\tau_i : L_i$  into  $\Sigma$  such that the closure of  $\tau_i L_i$  lies in the interior of K. Therefore, using a partition of unity, and the fact that  $\rho$  is associated with the cocycle  $(f_{\tau})$ , we obtain an order preserving map  $\pi$ from the continuous functions on  $\Sigma'$  with support in K' to the continuous functions on  $\Sigma$  with support in K, such that  $\rho_{\Sigma'}(\varphi) = \rho_{\Sigma}(\pi\varphi) = (\alpha_K \rho) (\pi\varphi)$ . Thus  $\alpha_K$  is injective, and  $\rho \ge 0$  if and only if  $\alpha_K \rho \ge 0$ . Furthermore, if  $\alpha_K \rho$  tends to a limit vaguely,  $\alpha_{K'} \rho$ also converges vaguely, hence  $\rho$  converges vaguely, and the limit is obviously associated with the cocycle  $(f_{\tau})$ .

Lemma 2. — Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a linear subspace of the space  $\mathscr{C}(K)^*$  of real measures on the compact set K. If  $\rho \in \mathscr{G}$  implies  $|\rho| \in \mathscr{G}$ , then the cone  $\mathscr{G}_+$  of positive measures in  $\mathscr{G}$  is simplicial. If  $\rho$ ,  $\rho'$  belong to distinct extremal generatrices of the cone  $\mathscr{G}_+$ , they are disjoint measures.

Remember that a cone C in a real vector space is simplicial if the order that it defines on itself is a lattice (any two points have a min and a max). The easy proof of Lemma 2 is left to the reader.

Theorem. — The cone C of positive elements of  $\mathcal{J}$  is simplicial. If  $\rho$ ,  $\rho'$  belong to distinct extremal generatrices of C, then the measures  $\rho_{\Sigma}$ ,  $\rho'_{\Sigma}$  are disjoint for all  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ .

In view of Lemma 1, the theorem immediately follows from Lemma 2 applied to  $\mathscr{G} = \alpha_{K} \mathscr{J}$ .

The cone C is closed and has a basis B which is convex, compact, and metrizable. For instance, if  $\Sigma$ , K are as in Lemma 1, let  $\varphi$  have compact support in  $\Sigma$ ,  $\varphi \ge 0$ , and  $\varphi(x) = 1$  if  $x \in K$ ; one can take:

 $B = \{ \rho \in \mathcal{J} : \rho \ge o \text{ and } \rho(\varphi) = I \}.$ 

According to Choquet's theory [4], the theorem implies that each  $\rho \ge 0$  has a unique integral representation in terms of extremal elements of B:

$$\rho = \int_{B} \sigma m_{\rho}(d\sigma)$$

128

128

where  $m_{\rho}$  is carried by the set of extremal points of B. The arbitrariness in the choice of B corresponds to the fact that there is no natural normalization of positive  $(f_{\tau})$ -measures, but all choices of B give equivalent decompositions. If  $\rho$  is an extremal point of some B (*i.e.* if  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\rho$  belongs to an extremal generatrix of C) we say that  $\rho$  is a *pure*  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure (respectively a *pure transverse measure* in the case of the trivial cocycle). The theorem gives thus a unique decomposition of  $(f_{\tau})$ -measures into pure  $(f_{\tau})$ -measures, and states that two pure  $(f_{\tau})$ -measures are either proportional or disjoint  $(^{1})$ .

Given a positive  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure  $\rho$ , we let  $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}$  be the algebra of classes of bounded real functions on M which are constant on leaves of  $\mathscr{F}$ , and such that their restriction to each  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$  is  $\rho_{\Sigma}$ -measurable. Two functions are in the same class if their restrictions to each  $\Sigma \in \mathscr{S}$  are equal  $\rho_{\Sigma}$ -almost everywhere.

Proposition. — A positive  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure  $\rho$  is pure if and only if  $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}$  is trivial (consisting of the constant functions).

If  $\rho$  is not pure, let  $\rho = \rho^1 + \rho^2$  with non proportional  $(f_{\tau})$ -measures  $\rho^1, \rho^2 \ge 0$ . Choose  $\Sigma$ , K as in Lemma 1, and let  $\sigma^i = \rho_{\Sigma}^i - \inf(\rho_{\Sigma}^1, \rho_{\Sigma}^2)$ . There are  $\rho_{\Sigma}$  measurable functions  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \ge 0$  such that  $\sigma^i = \psi^i \rho_{\Sigma}$ . We have  $\psi^1 + \psi^2 \neq 0$  (because

$$\sigma^1 + \sigma^2 = \sup(\rho_{\Sigma}^1, \rho_{\Sigma}^2) \neq 0)$$

and  $\psi_1.\psi_2 = 0$  a.e. (because  $\sigma^1$ ,  $\sigma^2$  are disjoint). Choosing some Riemann metric d on the leaves of  $\mathscr{F}$ , let:

$$\Psi^{i}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \min\{\psi_{i}(y), y \in \mathbf{K}, d(x, y) \leq n\}.$$

Clearly  $\Psi^1$ ,  $\Psi^2$  belong to  $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}$  and are not proportional, so that  $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}$  is non trivial. Conversely, if  $\mathscr{A}_{\rho}$  is non trivial, it is immediate that  $\rho$  is not pure.

**Interpretation of the decomposition.** — Let h be a diffeomorphism of a compact manifold B, and  $\mathscr{F}$  be the foliation by the orbits of the suspension of h. We identify B with a submanifold of codimension 1 of M, transverse to  $\mathscr{F}$ . The transverse measures of  $\mathscr{F}$  correspond then to the h-invariant measures on B. The pure transverse measures correspond to the h-ergodic measures, and the decomposition into pure transverse measures corresponds to the ergodic decomposition. The integral representation of positive  $(f_{\tau})$ -measures appears thus as an extension of ergodic theory. A different, deeper, relation is with the theory of Gibbs states in statistical mechanics, as discussed in the following example.

*Example.* — Let  $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be hyperbolic, *i.e.* the spectrum of A is disjoint from  $\{z : |z| = 1\}$ . Let  $V^s$  (respectively  $V^u$ ) be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  associated with the eigenvalues less than I (respectively larger than I) in absolute value. We call  $\hat{A}$  the map

<sup>(1)</sup> For cases where there is only one pure  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure, see Bowen and Marcus [2], and also the Example below.

#### DAVID RUELLE

induced by A on  $\mathbf{T}^n = \mathbf{R}^n / \mathbf{Z}^n$ , and  $W^s$ ,  $W^u$  the images of  $V^s$ ,  $V^u$  in  $\mathbf{T}^n$ . It is readily seen that  $\mathbf{G} = W^s \cap W^u$  is a *n*-generator subgroup of  $\mathbf{T}^n$ , G is dense in  $\mathbf{T}^n$  because  $W^s$ ,  $W^u$  are dense.

Choose  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that their images in  $\mathbb{T}^n$  are generators of G. Write  $a_i = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in})$ , take  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and define:

$$\Gamma_x = \{ (x_1 + \sum_i t_i a_{i1}, \ldots, x_n + \sum_i t_i a_{in}, t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} : t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbf{R} \}.$$

The images  $\mathbf{F}_x$  of the  $\Gamma_x$  in  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{T}^n \times \mathbf{T}^n$  constitute a codimension *n* foliation of M, with holonomy group G with respect to the Section  $\mathbf{T}^n = \mathbf{T}^n \times \{0\}$ . We shall define functions  $f_{\tau} : \mathbf{T}^n \to \mathbf{R}$  when  $\tau \in \mathbf{G}$ , i.e. for the canonical isomorphisms of the section  $\mathbf{T}^n$ . It is easy to extend this definition to that of a cocycle for  $\mathscr{F}$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a real Hölder continuous function on T<sup>n</sup>. We let:

$$f_{\tau}(x) = \exp \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\varphi(\widehat{A}^k \tau^{-1} x) - \varphi(\widehat{A}^k x)).$$

There is one and only one measure  $\rho$  associated with this cocycle. In fact:

$$\rho_{\mathbf{T}^n} = \lim_{m \to +\infty} \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{N}_m} (\exp \sum_{k=-m}^m \varphi(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^k x)) \, dx$$

where dx is Haar measure on  $\mathbf{T}^n$ , and  $N_m$  a normalizing factor. These statements have their origin in a relation between statistical mechanics and differentiable dynamical systems introduced by Sinai:  $\rho_{\mathbf{T}^n}$  is a *Gibbs state* for the function  $\varphi$  (see Sinai [13], Capocaccia [3], Ruelle [9], Chapter 7). We notice that if  $\varphi = 0$  then  $\rho_{\mathbf{T}^n} = dx$ , and uniqueness follows from the fact that G is a dense subgroup of  $\mathbf{T}^n$ . For the general case the reader is referred to the papers quoted above.

In view of the frequent non-uniqueness of Gibbs states we conjecture that, for the foliation discussed here, there exist cocycles with several non proportional associated measures.

**Invariance under a diffeomorphism.** — Let g be a diffeomorphism of M preserving  $\mathscr{F}$  (i.e. permuting the leaves). Suppose that  $(f_{\tau})$  is a cocycle *compatible* with g, *i.e.* such that:

$$f_{g\circ\tau\circ g^{-1}}=f_{\tau}\circ g^{-1}.$$

This condition is for instance always satisfied by the trivial cocycle  $(I_{\tau})$ .

If  $\rho = (\rho_{\Sigma})$  is a  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure, then  $g\rho = (g\rho_{g^{-1}\Sigma})$  is again a  $(f_{\tau})$ -measure. This is because:

$$\tau(g\rho_{g^{-1}\Sigma}) = g(g^{-1}\circ\tau\circ g)\,\rho_{g^{-1}\Sigma} = g(f_{g^{-1}\tau g}\rho_{g^{-1}\Sigma})$$
$$= g((f_{\tau}\circ g)\,\cdot\rho_{g^{-1}\Sigma}) = f_{\tau}\,\cdot(g\rho_{g^{-1}\Sigma}).$$

Thus  $g \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}$ , and in fact g C = C, where C is the cone of positive measures in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{J} \neq 0$ , and let B be a compact basis of C. We have  $B = C \cap \{\rho : \lambda(\rho) = I\}$ 

130

for some continuous linear functional  $\rho$  on  $\mathscr{J}$ . The map  $\rho \mapsto g\rho/\lambda(g\rho)$  has a fixed point  $\rho_0 \in B$ . Therefore  $g\rho_0 = \lambda_0 \rho_0$ , where  $\lambda_0 = \lambda(g\rho_0) > 0$ , and  $\lambda_0$  is in general different from 1.

Consider now the case of the trivial cocycle, *i.e.* of transverse measures. Under suitable conditions, discussed in [10], [14],  $\lambda_0$  is an eigenvalue of the action of g on cohomology, and the corresponding class is associated with a geometric current determined by  $\rho_0$ . If the class is nonzero,  $\lambda_0$  is thus an algebraic number (in fact, a unit in the ring of algebraic integers).

Question: under what conditions do the numbers  $\lambda_0$  associated with the transverse measures of a foliation form a finite set of algebraic numbers? A. Connes has pointed out to me that this is not always the case.

**Diffeomorphisms which expand leaves.** — Let the foliation  $\mathscr{F}$  contain a leaf with polynomial growth (*i.e.* the Riemann volume of a ball  $B(x, r) \subset L$  increases polynomially with its radius r) then Plante [8] has shown that  $\mathscr{F}$  has a transverse measure  $\rho \neq 0$  with support in the closure of L.

If the diffeomorphism g preserves  $\mathscr{F}$  and expands the leaves (*i.e.* multiplies sufficiently small distances on leaves, with respect to some Riemann metric, by a factor >C>1), then the leaves have polynomial growth. This was proved by Sullivan and Williams [15]; see also Shub [12]. In particular  $\mathscr{F}$  has a transverse measure  $\rho \neq 0$ , and by the preceding Section we may assume that  $g\rho_0 = \lambda_0 \rho_0$ . We recover thus a result stated in another context by Sullivan (see [14], III, 13): if the diffeomorphisms g preserves  $\mathscr{F}$ and expands the leaves, there is a transverse measure  $\rho_0 \neq 0$  such that  $g\rho_0 = \lambda_0 \rho_0$ .

Acknowledgements. — My thanks are due to Dennis Sullivan who convinced me that measures associated with a foliation are interesting, and to Alain Connes who explained to me his beautiful recent results.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. BOWEN, Anosov foliations are hyperfinite. Preprint.
- [2] R. BOWEN and B. MARCUS, Unique ergodicity for horocycle foliation. Preprint.
- [3] D. CAPOCACCIA, A definition of Gibbs state for a compact set with Z<sup>v</sup> action, Commun. Math. Phys., 48 (1976), 85-88.
- [4] G. CHOQUET et P.-A. MEYER, Existence et unicité des représentations intégrales dans les convexes compacts quelconques, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 13 (1963), 139-154.
- [5] A. CONNES. Unpublished.
- [6] R. EDWARDS, K. MILLETT and D. SULLIVAN, Foliations with all leaves compact, Topology, 16 (1977), 13-32.
- [7] L. GARNETT, An ergodic theory for foliations. Preprint.
- [8] J. PLANTE, Foliations with measure preserving holonomy, Ann. Math., 102 (1975), 327-362.
- [9] D. RUELLE, Thermodynamic formalism, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1978.

#### DAVID RUELLE

[10] D. RUELLE and D. SULLIVAN, Currents, flows and diffeomorphisms, Topology, 14 (1975), 319-327.

[11] S. SCHWARTZMANN, Asymptotic cycles, Ann. Math., 66 (1957), 270-284.

[12] M. SHUB, Endomorphisms of compact differentiable manifolds, Amer. J. Math., 91 (1969), 175-199.

 [13] Ia. G. SINAI, Gibbsian measures in ergodic theory, Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 27, nº 4 (1972), 21-64. English translation, Russian Math. Surveys, 27, nº 4 (1972), 21-69.

[14] D. SULLIVAN, Cycles for the dynamical study of foliated manifolds and complex manifolds, Inventiones math.,
36 (1976), 225-255.

[15] D. SULLIVAN and R. F. WILLIAMS, On the homology of attractors, Topology, 15 (1976), 259-262.

IHES/PM/77/181, mai 1977.