

MMF & Umbral Moonshine

1. jacobi form and ADE
2. meromorphic mac form & (optimal) mock jacobi forms
3. Niemeier lattices and the umbral moonshine conjecture
4. A theorem on optimal mock jacobi forms

mc-Duncan-Harvey '12, '13

Dabholkar-Murthy-Zagier '12

1. jac form and ADE

Def.

We first discuss Jacobi forms following [35]. For every pair of integers k and m with $m > 0$, we define the m -action of the group \mathbb{Z}^2 and the (k, m) -action of the group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the space of holomorphic functions $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$(\phi|_m(\lambda, \mu))(\tau) = e(m(\lambda^2\tau + 2\lambda z)) \phi(\tau, z + \lambda\tau + \mu) \quad (3.8)$$

$$(\phi|_{k,m}\gamma)(\tau) = e(-m \frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d}) \text{jac}(\gamma, \tau)^k \phi(\gamma(\tau, z)) \quad (3.9)$$

where $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say a holomorphic function $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an *(unrestricted) Jacobi form* of weight k and index m for the Jacobi group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if it is invariant under the above action, $\phi = \phi|_{k,m}\gamma$ and $\phi = \phi|_m(\lambda, \mu)$, for all $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. In what follows we refer to the transformations (3.8) and (3.9) as the *elliptic* and

• an odd weight Jac. form of ind

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{r=-m+1}^{m-1} h_r(\tau) \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z), \quad \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) =$$

$$\text{with } h_r(\tau) = -h_{-r}(\tau)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} h_r(\tau) (\theta_{m,r} - \theta_{m,-r})$$

Q: What is the $\sqrt{2m \times 2m}$ matrix Σ s.t.

$$\sum_{r,r' \text{ mod } 2m} h_r \pi_{r,r'} \theta_{m,r'} \in J_{k,m} \Leftrightarrow \sum_r h_r \theta_{m,r} \in J_{k,m} ?$$

A: $\dim \mathcal{H}^{(k)} = \sigma^k(m) = \sum_{d|m} 1$

Spanned by $\{\Sigma(d) \mid d|m\}$

$$\sum_{r,r' \text{ mod } 2m} h_r \pi_{r,r'}^{(d)} \theta_{m,r'} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{a,b=0}^{d-1} e\left(m\left(\frac{a^2}{d^2}\tau + 2\frac{a}{d}z + \frac{ab}{d^2}\right)\right) \psi\left(\tau, z + \frac{a}{d}\tau + \frac{b}{d}\right)$$

"Eichler-Zagier map on $J_{k,m}$ "
/Atkin-Lehner

k odd

Q: What are the $2m \times 2m$ matrices Σ s.t.

$$\sum_{r, r' \text{ mod } m} h_r \Sigma_{r, r'} \theta_{m, r'} \in J_{k, m} \Leftrightarrow \sum_r h_r \theta_{m, r} \in J_{k, m}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} h_r (\theta_{m, r} - \theta_{m, -r})$$

and $\Sigma_{r, r'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ w. $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_{-1} = 1$

Prop. such matrices $\xleftrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}}$ A-D-E root systems

$M = \text{Cox. } \ast \text{ of } X$

$\Sigma_{r, r} - \Sigma_{r, -r} = \alpha_r^X = \text{multiplicities of the Coxetor exponent } r$

cf. Cappelli - Itzykson - Zuber (87) $\in \{0, 1, 2\}$

2. meromorphic mac form & (optimal) mock jacobi forms

Generalisation: merom. Jac. form (as $\tau \mapsto \varphi(\tau, z)$, at torsion pt
 \downarrow
 mock theta series)
 $z \in \mathbb{Q}\tau + \mathbb{Q}$)

Consider, $w+1$ index in merom. Jac. Form w. simple poles

$$\psi = \psi^P + \psi^F$$

(Dabholkar-Murthy-Zagier)

e.g. poles at $z \in \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$

$$\psi^P = c \cdot \text{Av}_m \left[\frac{y+1}{y-1} \right],$$

$$\text{Av}_m [F(y)] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{mk^2} y^{2mk} F(q^k y)$$

$$\psi^F = \sum_{\substack{r \bmod \\ 2m}} H_r(\tau) \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z)$$

$$S_{m,r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta_{m,r} \Big|_{z=0}$$

$$\hat{\psi}^P(\tau, z, y) = A_{V_m} \left[\frac{y+1}{y-1} \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}(4i)^{1/2}} \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r}(-\tau')} d\tau'$$

ψ^F is a
 \Rightarrow mock Jac. form w.
 shadow $S_m = (S_{m,r})$

$$\psi(\tau, z) := \tau_2^{k-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}} \widehat{\varphi}(\tau, z) \doteq \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}} \overline{g_\ell(\tau)} \vartheta_{m,\ell}(\tau, z). \quad (7.21)$$

(Here \doteq indicates an omitted constant.) The function $\psi(\tau, z)$ is holomorphic in z , satisfies the same elliptic transformation property (4.2) as φ does (because each $\vartheta_{m,\ell}$ satisfies this), satisfies the heat equation $(8\pi i m \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2})\psi = 0$ (again, because each $\vartheta_{m,\ell}$ does), and, by virtue of the modular invariance property of $\widehat{\varphi}(\tau, z)$, also satisfies the transformation property

$$\psi\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) = |c\tau + d| (c\bar{\tau} + d)^{2-k} e^{\frac{2\pi i mz^2}{c\tau + d}} \psi(\tau, z) \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2; \mathbb{Z}) \quad (7.22)$$

with respect to the action of the modular group. These properties say precisely that ψ is a *skew-holomorphic Jacobi form* of weight $3 - k$ and index m in the sense of Skoruppa [101, 102], and the above discussion can be summarized by saying that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J_{k,m}^{\text{weak}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}_{k|0,m}^{\text{weak}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} J_{3-k,m}^{\text{skew}} \quad (7.23)$$

(and similarly with the word “weak” omitted), where $\mathbb{J}_{k|0,m}^{\text{weak}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{J}_{k|0,m}$) denotes the space of weak (resp. strong) pure mock Jacobi forms and the “shadow map” \mathcal{S} sends φ to ψ .

Def. $\varphi \in \overline{J}_{1,m}^{wk}$ is called optimal when $q^{\frac{1}{4m}} H_r(\tau) = O(1)$

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m} H_r \cdot \theta_{m,r} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m.$$

Thm. If $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \overline{J}_{1,m}^{wk}$ are 2 optimal forms w. the same shadow, then $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

Q: For what $\phi \in J_{2,n}^{\text{skew}}$ does the pre-image contain an optimal form ??

3. Niemeier lattices and the umbral moonshine conjecture Focus on "ADE shadows"

$$\psi^F = \sum_{\substack{r \text{ mod} \\ 2m}} H_r(\tau) \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) = H^T \cdot \theta_m \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{1,m}^{wk}$$

$$\hat{\psi}^F = \psi^F - \chi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \frac{1}{(4i)^{1/2}} \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{i\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r}(-\tau')} d\tau'$$

For a Dynkin rt system \mathfrak{Y} w. Coxeter # m .

$$\psi^F|_{W^Y} := H^T \cdot \underline{\alpha}^Y \cdot \theta_m \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{1,m}^{wk}$$

$$S(\psi^F|_{W^Y}) = \overline{S}_m \cdot \underline{\alpha}^Y \cdot \theta_m$$

$$\underline{\alpha}^Y_i = \sum_i \underline{\alpha}^Y_i$$

Thm: (Niemeier '73)

There are exactly 24 even, unimodular (+)-def. lattices of rk 24.

One of them is the Leech lattice (Leech '67) with no roots ($\substack{\text{lattice} \\ \text{vectors} \\ w. \\ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = 2}$)

The other 23 have^a rk 24 root system X which uniquely specifies the corresponding lattice Λ^X (the "Niemeier lattice").

The 23 root systems^V are specified by
(Niemeier root sys)

- ① they are rank 24 union of simply-laced (ADE) root systems
- ② each irred. component has the same Coxeter number $h()$

Q: For a given Niemeier system X , does there exist (unique)
 $\text{cox}(X)=m$

optimal $\psi^X \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{1,m}^{\text{wk}}$ w. $S(\psi^X) = \overline{S_m} \cdot \mathcal{L}^X \cdot \theta_m$?

A: Yes, for all $\exists X$.

Niemeier
FT system X

$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{1,m}^{\text{wk}}(\overline{T}_0(N))$



$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{1,m}^{\text{wk}}$



$\psi_g^X \xleftarrow{\text{(opt)}} \psi^X = H^X \cdot \theta_m \text{ (opt)}$

finite grp

$G^X := \text{Aut}(\Lambda^X)/\text{Weyl}(X)$

$$\text{eg. } X = 24A_1, \quad H_1^X = -H_{-1}^X = 2\bar{q}^{-\frac{1}{8}}(-1 + \underline{45q} + \underline{231q^2} + \underline{770q^3} + \dots)$$

$$G^X = M_{24} = \text{Aut}(\text{binary Golay code}) \quad (\text{EOT } \dagger_0)$$

$$\text{cf. } J(z) = q^{-1} + \frac{196884}{1+196883} q + \frac{21493760}{1+196883+21296876} q^2 + \dots$$

UM conjecture: There exists an ∞ -dim, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}$ -graded
 G^X -module $K^X = \bigoplus_{r,\alpha} K_{r,\alpha}^X$
s.t. Hg^X is the graded character of K^X

i.e.

$$Hg_{r,\alpha}^X = \sum_{\alpha} q^{\alpha} (\text{Tr}_{K_{r,\alpha}^X} g)$$

4. A theorem (and some conjectures) on optimal mock jacobi forms

Thm. (CDH'12)

Consider $\Psi_{(m)} \in \mathcal{T}_{1,m}^{wk}$ w $S(\Psi_{(m)}) = \overline{S}_m \cdot \theta_m = \overline{S}_m \cdot \mathcal{L}^{A_{m+1}} \cdot \theta_m$

\exists such $\Psi_{(m)}$ that is optimal iff $m-1 \mid 24$

(hence \exists Niemeier rt system $\frac{24}{m-1} A_{m+1}$).

Pf. If such opt $\Psi_{(m)}$ exists, $L(f, 1) = 0 \quad \forall f \in S_2(T_0(m))$.

Conj: Optimal wk muck Jac form has a genus 0 property.

Eg.

Q: $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, when does $\text{genus}(\widehat{\Gamma_0(m)} \backslash \mathbb{H}) = 0$?

A: Iff \exists Niem. rt sys. X w. $\text{Cox}(X) = m$, and
 X contains an A-type component.

Similarly for D-type. $\widehat{\Gamma_0(m)} \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma_0(m)} + m/2$

